

This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

Usage guidelines

Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:

- + *Make non-commercial use of the files* We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.
- + Refrain from automated querying Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.
- + *Maintain attribution* The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.
- + *Keep it legal* Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.

About Google Book Search

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at http://books.google.com/



48.422

• • .



• . .



• : . ; ; •



• • .




52


•


.



	٠	
		1
	·	







52

14. 22

1-1



οὐ γάρ τί μοι Ζεὺς ἢν ὁ κηρύξας τάδε, οὐδ' ἡ ξύνοικος τῶν κάτω θεὼν Δίκη.

ΣΟΦΟΚΛΕΟΥΣ ΑΝΤΙΓΟΝΗ.

THE

ANTIGONE OF SOPHOCLES

IN

GREEK AND ENGLISH

WITH

AN INTRODUCTION AND NOTES:

BY

JOHN WILLIAM DONALDSON, B.D.,

FELLOW OF THE BOYAL ASIATIC SOCIETY OF GREAT BRITAIN AND IRELAND; OF THE ROYAL GEOGRAPHICAL SOCIETY OF LONDON; OF THE GERMAN ORIENTAL SOCIETY, ETC. ETC.

HEAD MASTER OF KING EDWARD'S SCHOOL, BURY ST. EDMUND'S, AND FORMERLY FELLOW AND CLASSICAL LECTURER OF TRINITY COLLEGE, CAMBRIDGE.

JOHN W. PARKER, WEST STRAND.

M.DCCC.XLVIII.



Cambridge : Brintet at the Anthernity Press.

TO HIS MAJESTY

FREDERIC WILLIAM THE FOURTH,

KING OF PRUSSIA,

THE LIBERAL AND ENLIGHTENED PATRON

OF

LITERATURE, SCIENCE AND ART,

TO WHOM

THE ANTIGONE OF SOPHOCLES

OWES ITS REVIVAL ON THE MODERN STAGE,

THIS WORK

IS MOST RESPECTFULLY DEDICATED.

			•	
		•		
		·		
k	·			

PREFACE.

IN a recent number of a German periodical¹, there is a paper on "The latest Antigone-Literature," at the head of which appears a list of no fewer than eighteen works,—editions, translations, and essays—referring to this Play, and all, more or less, occasioned by its revival on the Berlin stage. And, perhaps, this list would be more than doubled, if we added to it every book relating to Sophocles which has appeared in Germany during the last twenty years. But although we have followed the example, which the good taste of the King of Prussia has induced the Germans to propose for our imitation, and though the frequenters of English and French theatres in the metropolis have received with applause the somewhat heterogeneous compound of Sophocles and Mendelssohn-Bartholdy, our scholars have done nothing that deserves to be mentioned, either for Sophocles in general, or for the Antigons in particular, since the publication of Elmsley's Scholia Romana in 1825, and the appearance of Dr. Gaisford's Edition in 1826. undertaking, therefore, an original Edition of this masterpiece of the Greek Drama, I enjoy one advan-

¹ Zeitschrift für die Alterthumswissenschaft, 1846, nr. 78 segg. p. 617.

tage, of which no German could boast—that I need not fear any disadvantageous comparison with the contemporary labours of my own countrymen.

Neither this Edition, nor the English Version which accompanies it, is the work of yesterday. For many years I have been preparing a critical recension of the seven plays of Sophocles, of which the present publication may be taken as a specimen, and an earnest. Willingly accepting the suggestions of other scholars, where I felt assured that they had discovered the truth, I have also emended the text in many passages where, without their aid, I thought I saw my way to certain or highly probable restorations. Unless the received text is obviously corrupt, no discreet editor would tamper with the traditionary and manuscript readings of a Greek poet. But, on the other hand, I am not one of those who would shrink from conjectural criticism, where it presents itself in a reasonable shape; and there are many cases in which I feel that no manuscript evidence could strengthen my confidence in an emendation proposed by an experienced and sagacious scholar. With regard to the conjectures, which are brought forward in these pages for the first time, it will be a great satisfaction to me if they meet with the approbation of those practised critics, to whose collective judgment every philological labourer submits his handiwork.

The English Version was commenced in the autumn of 1842, at the suggestion of a friend, who is not only

eminent as a Translator, but also known as one of the most profound and original writers of the present day. It appeared to him strange that the business of classical translation should be so entirely neglected in this country, and he thought that a literal, but readable, version of Sophocles, would be a great boon to those who are capable of admiring the beauty of these Plays, but have neither leisure nor knowledge sufficient for the careful study of so difficult an author. But though I commenced this version some five years ago, and published a specimen of it in a London periodical in February 1845, other avocations prevented me from completing my work, until the leisure of last summer, and the encouragements of a circle of accomplished gentlemen, with whom I then had the happiness of spending some days in a country-house, furnished at once the opportunity and the inducements which were necessary to bring me back to my long-suspended employment.

As it was a task of no ordinary difficulty, I may be pardoned for making a few observations on the rules which I laid down for myself in thus attempting to transfuse into English a work written by the most profound of poets, for the most ingenious of audiences². O. Müller has justly remarked, in the Preface to his version of the *Eumenides*, that "every Translation, but particularly the imitation of poetical works in another language, is a problem which can never be completely solved; for the Translator, with a hundred conflicting

² Müller, Hist. Lit. Gr., I. pp. 355, 6.

duties, can attain to nothing without relinquishing some-Now it appeared to me, that if Sophocles thing else." were to be translated at all, the work could only be done by some one who had made classical scholarship the business of his life3: and that the main object must be to give a full representation of the author's meaning. It remained only to be seen how far a Translator, struggling to effect this object, could comply with the requirements of good taste,-in short, how far the translation could be literal without becoming For myself, I make no pretension to the unreadable. gift of poetry: and if I have succeeded in throwing a little spirit into my faithful copy of the original,—if indeed this Version is free from absolute tameness and languor, I shall have compassed all my own expectations, and shall, perhaps, have done as much as could be reasonably demanded of a professed grammarian and philologer.

With regard to the form of the Translation, it was clearly idle to attempt what the Germans have often effected—to reproduce all the metres of the original.

^{*} The great difficulties of the plays of Sophocles are due rather to the subtlety of the poet's mind, than to the obscurity of his diction. One might say of Sophocles and Æschylus, what Jean Paul remarks of Göthe and Klopstock (Levana, § 150. Werke, xxxviii. p. 125): "Klopstock is more frequently easy than Göthe—because difficulties of diction (Sprachschwierigkeiten) may be conquered by teaching and industry; but difficulties of conception (Fassungschwierigkeiten) can only be mastered by that mental maturity, which is the growth of years."

The English language would not bear such an experiment. Nor could the Translation be made effectively in the conventional rhythm of our English prose. Even Landor would scarcely attempt to write a tragic dialogue Much of the Faust has been most adein this style. quately rendered in Mr. Hayward's prose version 4, and Dr. Carlyle's forthcoming translation of the Inferno would hardly gain by metrical confinement; but in formal Tragedy, the English ear expects the measured flow of dramatic blank verse; and this style of composition is so easy and unconstrained, that I did not feel myself at liberty to relinquish it. Nor do I think, that, by this concession to the rules of the modern stage. I have unnecessarily expanded the Translation, or omitted any thing-even the force of a compound word-in the original. As there are twelve syllables, at least, in every Greek senarius, and only ten or eleven in the English, which is also hampered by articles, prepositions, and auxiliaries, I could not translate the Greek line for line, except in the stichomythic dialogues, where an allowable abruptness, and a freedom from particles of connexion, give our language the advantage. The chorusses are

⁴ Mr. Hayward, in the Preface to his prose translation of Faust, informs us that Mr. Charles Lamb once remarked to Mr. Cary, the translator of Dante, that he had derived more pleasure from the meagre Latin versions of the Greek Tragedians, than from any other versions of them with which he was acquainted. This must be understood as a censure of the professed English translations: no man would take a Latin prose version as his representative of the meaning of a Greek poet, if his own literature furnished him with any tolerable substitute.

rendered by irregular iambic rhythms, not unlike those which Milton has employed in his Samson Agonistes; but I have not arranged them in corresponding strophes. The anapæstic movements, however, are accurately imitated in the version: for this march-cadence is common in our language, as in every other. Without endeavouring to write archaic English, I have not hesitated to introduce words and expressions, which occur in our older dramatic writers, and, throughout, I have preferred a plain, straightforward, and manly expression, to the feeble elegances of modern versification.

The notes are not intended to furnish a running commentary on the text. They dwell only on those passages in which I thought that the text was really in want of a fuller exegesis, or where I had an emendation to propose and justify. But the version itself will serve the same purpose as a body of notes written in the usual style, and I think that, with the introductory matter, even the young student will not require any further elucidation of this play.

This mode of publishing a Greek play is supported by many precedents in Germany's; and although it is

⁵ With regard to the orthography of the Greek names, I may remark that I have always written K, and not C, "making exception for such names as the English reader has been so accustomed to hear with the C, that they may be considered as almost Anglicized." (Grote, Hist. of Greece, I. p. 20.)

⁶ Besides the well-known translation of the Eumenides, by K. O. Müller, I may refer to the Oresteia of Franz, the Gefesselter Prometheus of Schömann, and to the translations by Böckh and others of this play. Even Aristotle has appeared in a critical edition with an interpaged German version.

probable that this will not be the only specimen of the kind in this country, it may be expected, that, proceeding as this work does from a person who has been for many years engaged in the business of tuition, it will have some reference to prospective use in the In my own opinion, nothing school or lecture-room. is wanted by the classical student who has the advantage of listening to the oral expositions of a competent Tutor, except a good text of the author whom he is reading: but if any one proposes to employ this volume as a vehicle of instruction, and asks how far it is suitable for such a purpose, the answer is easy. The few, who are capable of giving original tuition in a play of Sophocles, will care little whether their pupils have more or less assistance from the book before them. The many, who profess to teach Greek without the requisite appliances of learning, ought not to object, if their pupils enjoy, in common with themselves, the results of a careful study of this most difficult author. In any case, the use of a translation need not supersede that grammatical analysis which should be required from every student.

⁷ This work will be speedily followed, or even anticipated, by a similar publication of the *Agamemnon*, which has been announced by a young Oxford scholar.

King Edward's School, Bury St. Edmund's, 22nd Feb., 1848.

ERRATA.

Page 76, line 770, for ἀλλ' τηνικαῦθ, read ἀλλὰ τηνικαῦθ'.

- 83, . . . 13 Translation, for Dirke's, read Dike's.
- 84, ... 854, for lερον όμμα, read lρον όμμα.
- 122, ... 1277, for συγκέκραμαι, read συγκέκραμαι.

INTRODUCTION.

- § 1. Date of the Antigone. § 2. Position and Sentiments of Sophocles at this time. § 3. General Design of the Play. § 4. The Dramatis Persona and their distribution among the three actors. § 5. The Chorus. § 6. The Time, and § 7. the Place of Action. § 8. Subdivisions of the Play. § 9. Analysis of the Plot. § 10. Bibliography of this Drama.
- § 1. THE date of the Antigone has been made a subject of discussion among Scholars. Petit, Bentley, Musgrave, Böckh, and Bernhardy, have referred it to Ol. 84, 3. Seidler argues for Ol. 85, 1. With Süvern, Wex, Clinton, and Müller, I believe that the first representation took place in Ol. 84, 4, that is, in the early spring of 440 B. C., probably at the great Dionysia in Elaphebolion, the ninth month of the Attic year. Without entering upon the details of this controversy, I will remark that, according to a well-attested and generallyadmitted statement, Sophocles was appointed one of the ten strategi, or prætors, in the Samian war, in consequence of the approbation with which this play was Now as this must have been the great war received 1. in which Pericles shared his command with nine colleagues, and not his preliminary expedition with forty

¹ Aristophanes of Byzantium, in his argument to the Play, p. 244. Gaisf. Strabo, xiv. p. 446. Suidas, v. Μέλιτος. Athen. xiii. p. 603, f. Schol. Arist. Pax. v. 696. Cic. Offic. i. 40. § 144. Plut. Pericl. c. viii. Plin. H. N. xxxvii. 2. Val. Max. iv. 3.

galleys², and as that great war continued for about nine months, from the summer of 440 to the spring of 439, it seems more than probable that Sophocles was elected to the prætorship at the annual Archæresia in Thargelion, the eleventh Attic month³, when the popularity he had acquired by his Drama was fresh and efficacious. Of the performances of Sophocles in this war, we know only that he was one of the officers in command of the squadron which was sent to bring up reinforcements from Lesbos and Chios⁴.

To the reader of the Antigons, the date of the play, thus established, is chiefly interesting, from the light which this synchronism throws upon the general tone of the drama itself. At this time, the influence of Pericles was paramount⁵, and while those who were ambitious of public employment would be most likely to attain their object by judiciously paying court to the great statesman, he could not but be sensible of the importance of securing the aid of the most experienced and popular dramatist of the day. As Æschylus some years before had pleaded from the tragic stage for the views of Aristides⁶, Sophocles, we may be sure, did not neglect the opportunities which his art afforded of recommending, by indirect but circumstantial panegyric, the counter policy of his friend Pericles. To what extent he had previously done this, we have no means of judging:

² See Thirlwall, *Hist. of Greece*, Vol. III. p. 48, sqq., and Wex, *Prolegom*. c. 1.

³ Petersen, in the Zeitschrift f. d. Alterthumswissenschaft, 1846, No. 75, p. 595.

⁴ Atheneus, xIII., p. 603, r. ⁵ See Thirlwall, III. p. 47.

⁶ Müller, Eumeniden, § 38, p. 120.

for although he was 55 years old when he produced the Antigone, it is the earliest of his extant tragedies? there can be little difficulty in recognizing his advocacy of Pericles and his authority in many passages of this play. The sentiments put into the mouth of Kreon (vv. 178, sqq.) are less suited to a tyrant, than to the leader of a free state, and were probably an echo of much that had fallen from the lips of Pericles. lecture on obedience to constituted authority, and its connexion with martial discipline (vv. 663, sqq.), seems to me to have a direct and obvious reference to the position occupied by Pericles at this particular time. The frequentative construction, in v. 6578, would not be applicable to the case of any but an elective ruler; and though the despot speaks out in the following line, the hyperbole is all in favour of the general rule respecting military discipline. But perhaps the most distinct personal reference to Pericles is that in v.352 sqq., where he speaks of man's self-taught attainments as consisting in eloquence, Anaxagorean philosophy, and statesmanship, —the three most prominent characteristics of the statesman in question—where he dwells on the architecture which Pericles so largely patronized, and where he draws a contrast between the exaltation (v. 368, ὑψίπολις) of Pericles, which was due to his popular measures, and the recent ostracism (v. 369, απολις) of his rival Thucydides, the son of Melesias, who had taken up the Laco-

⁷ Müller, Hist. of Lit. of Greece, 1. p. 338.

⁸ ἀλλ' δυ πόλις στήσειε, τοῦδε χρὴ κλύειν καὶ σμικρὰ, καὶ δίκαια, καὶ τἀναυτία.

[&]quot;No! when a city constitutes a chief, It well befitteth all men to obey His great or small, just or unjust, behests."

nian policy of Kimon⁹. That Sophocles afterwards, like most of the literary or middle-class party at Athens 10. joined the enemies of the old Athenian constitution, and was actually one of the Probuli, or committee of safety. who paved the way for the downfal of democracy at the close of the Peloponnesian war¹¹, is well known: but this need not prevent us from believing that he was attached to the popular party, and opposed to the aristocratizing faction, in the glorious days of Pericles. Great changes took place in the views of cultivated Athenians, in the interval between the years 445 and To trace the various steps of this change from Sophocles and Pericles through Euripides and Theramenes to Plato and Xenophon, would be to write the political history of Athens during the Peloponnesian It is sufficient to state here that the change did take place, and that the easy-minded Sophocles, who voted for the abolition of a popular constitution when there was only in his judgment a choice of evils 12, went hand-in-hand with Pericles in his great plans for the subversion of the anti-popular government of Samos, and in all his schemes of domestic policy. course, which is said to have passed between Sophocles and the historian Herodotus, may be taken as an additional illustration of the liberal opinions of the former.

⁹ Thirlwall, m. p. 44.

¹⁰ This view I put forth some years ago, in the continuation of Müller's *Hist. Lit. Gr.*, Vol. II. p. 127, and have since repeated it in an eminent London Review.

¹¹ Thucyd. vIII. 1. Arist. Rhet. III. 18. Pol. vI. 5, 10. Thucyd. vIII. 67.

¹² Arist. Rhet. III. 18: οὐ γὰρ ἦν ἄλλα βελτίω.

As I have elsewhere shown 13. Herodotus was not only an admirer of Athens as administered by Pericles, but also a frequent visiter of the city, and, after the conquest of Samos, where he resided, a metweus or foreign resident there, or in Lampon's Athenian colony at Thurii. That the metaci were generally friends of the democracy, is clear from the part which they took in the reaction under Thrasybulus; and Lysias, who was a fellow-colonist with Herodotus, was particularly remarkable for the strength of his popular predilections 14. The whole character of Herodotus favours the supposition that he was one of the popular party at Samos, in support of whom the expedition of Pericles was originally undertaken; and as Sophocles composed a lyric poem for him at this very time, he must either have joined the Athenian camp during the siege, or have left Samos for Athens before the war broke out. There is good reason for the inference, that Herodotus wrote his third book when the Antigone was fresh in his recollection 15.

§ 3. On the general design and leading ideas of this Play, it is quite unnecessary to enlarge. Every reader must see that it is the poet's object to represent, in their antagonism, the duty of obedience to the constituted authority in a state, and the duty of carrying out the laws of religious and family piety. Kreon, as a ruler, forbids the burial of Polyneikes, who had brought the Argive host against his native city. Antigone feels herself bound, as a sister, to pay the neces-

¹⁸ Transactions of the Philological Society, Vol. 1. No. 15.

¹⁴ Vit. X. Oratorum, p. 835.

 $^{^{15}}$ Transactions of the Philological Society, 1. p. 164. SOPH. ANT.

sary funeral honours to his corpse. Thus far their counter resolutions admit of reciprocal justification. Kreon's resolve to make a marked distinction between his treatment of Eteokles, who died valiantly fighting on behalf of Thebes, and of Polyneikes, who had brought fire and sword against that city, would be approved by many among the Athenian spectators, who recollected the attempt of Hippias some 50 years before the performance of this play. But while the pre-eminent funeral honours (see on v. 24) which he paid to Eteokles. were not only justifiable, but praiseworthy, the laws of religion did not sanction his treatment of Polyneikes: and to Antigone, as a sister, it appeared not only a contempt of the laws of heaven, but a special insult to herself (v. 31). Accordingly, when she is detected in her attempt to undo the King's ordinance, she is not content with merely pleading the duties of religion, but addresses the King with a contemptuous bitterness, which excites his furious indignation, and leads him to add to the impiety of refusing interment to a corpse, the still greater abomination of burying a living soul. Death by stoning was the punishment originally set forth in the proclamation forbidding the sepulture of Polyneikes The fear of a conspiracy among the citizens first exasperates the tyrant, and leads him to form plans of aggravated vengeance; and, when at last he is bearded by his excited kinswoman, he loses all self-control, and dooms to an unnatural death the child of his sister, and the bride of his son. Antigone meets with a fate, which, but for her ungovernable rage, might have been averted; and Kreon's cruel and contemptuous violation of all that Greece held holy, is visited by the worst of family visitations—the suicidal deaths of his wife and only son. The double $\dot{a}\phi\rho\sigma\sigma\dot{\nu}\nu\eta$ of Kreon and Antigone worked their double ruin; but the impiety and boasting words of the former brought upon him an additional chastisement, as the Chorus distinctly tells us in its march from the orchestra, at the close of the play.

§ 4. Before entering on an analysis of the plot which gives a theatrical development to these ideas, it may be advisable to say a few words about the *dramatis* personæ, and Chorus, and about the time and place of the action.

The characters who appear on the stage, are Antigone and Ismene, the two surviving offspring of the ill-fated marriage of Œdipus and his mother Jocasta; their uncle Kreon, who after having previously exercised an authority almost regal 16, had, on the death of his nephew Eteokles, been invested with the absolute sovranty of Thebes; his son, Hæmon, who was betrothed to his cousin Antigone; the queen, Eurydike; Teiresias, the blind prophet; one of the sentinels appointed to watch the body of Polyneikes; one of the King's immediate attendants, who is an eye-witness of the suicide of the young prince; and lastly, a servant of the palace, who makes known the closing catastrophe of the Queen. As, according to the rules of the Greek drama, only three of these personages could appear on the stage at

¹⁶ It appears from the end of the Œdipus Tyrannus, and from the part which Kreon plays in the Œdipus Coloneus, that after the catastrophe of Œdipus and Jocasta, the government of Thebes was, according to the legend, mainly in the hands of Kreon.

one time, and as, consequently, only three actors were provided, it may be useful to inquire how these parts were distributed among them. The first actor or protagonist, as he was called, always undertook those characters in which the interest of the play chiefly centered; and as the actions and sufferings of this person generally took place off the stage, the same actor was enabled to perform the part of the messenger, whose business it was, by a vivid narrative (facundia præsens)17, to fill up those important details in the action of the piece, which the taste of the day pronounced unfit for the eyes of the audience. As these narratives, which originally constituted the whole epic element of the Greek lyrical drama, were to the last of great importance, it was necessary that they should be intrusted to a first-rate performer, and we are told that some of the best actors especially prided themselves on the manner in which they delivered the long speeches of the messengers 18. can be little doubt, then, that the protagonist in this play undertook the parts of Antigone, and of the attendant who describes the death of Hæmon. The character which stands second in importance, is undoubtedly that of Kreon. Now we learn from Demosthenes 19, that Æschines, who did not aspire to a higher rank than that of tri-

¹⁷ Horat. Ars Poetica, 184.

¹⁸ Proverbia e codice Coisliniano, 124: ἦν γὰρ Νικόστρατος ὑποκριτὴς τραγικὸς ἄριστος, καὶ μάλιστα ἐν ταῖς τῶν ἀγγέλων ἐξαγγελίαις.

¹⁹ Demosth. De Corond, p. 288, § 180: Κρεσφόντην ή Κρέοντα ή δν ἐν Κολύττω ποτὲ Οἰνόμαον κακὸς κακῶς ὑποκρινόμενος ἐπετρίψας. De falsa Legat. p. 428. § 274: ἄστε γὰρ δήπου τοῦθ, ὅτι ἐν ἄπασι τοῖς δράμασι τοῖς τραγικοῖς ἐξαίρετον ἐστιν ὅσπερ γέρας τοῖς τριταγωνισταῖς τὸ τοὺς τυράννους καὶ τοὺς τὰ σκήπτρα ἔχοντας εἰσιέναι. ταῦτα τοίνυν ἐν τῷ δράματι τούτω σκέψασθε ὁ Κρέων Αἰσχίνης οἶα λέγων πεποίηται τῷ ποιητῆ. κ.τ.λ.

tagonist, had to perform, among other similar parts, that of Kreon in the Antigone. But even though we make no allowance for the rhetorical exaggerations of the orator. it does not at all follow from this, that the part of Kreon in the Antigone was performed by the third actor, at the original representation of the play²⁰. ing the importance of the character, the length of the part, and the special impression which the poet intended to produce by the speeches assigned to the Theban King²¹, I should not hesitate to assign it to the second actor; while the flimsy part of Ismene, the semi-grotesque character of the Sentinel, and the few words allotted to Eurydike and the Exangelus, might very well be intrusted to the tritagonist. This will leave to the main tragic actor,—besides the chief part, Antigone,—the interesting character of Hæmon, the solemn speeches of Teiresias, and the description of the catastrophe by the attendant of Kreon. The changes of masks and dresses, which this arrangement would involve, would be simpler than in any other distribution of the parts, and there would be little interruption to the unity of tone, which the different actors would respectively maintain. Thus the similarity between the male and female costume on the Athenian stage, would enable the actor to pass from Antigone to Hæmon, by merely changing his mask and upper robe, and by girding on

²⁰ That the practice mentioned by Demosthenes could not have been universal in the time of Sophocles, is obvious; for the best actor must have undertaken the part of *Œdipus Tyrannus*, of *Agamemnon*, &c.: see Lucian, *Negyomant*. 16. *Apol*. 5.

²¹ It may be added, that by assigning a Kommos to Kreon as well as to Antigone, the poet has placed him in a very advanced position of tragic interest.

a sword, which, as the catastrophe tells us, he must have worn.

The Chorus, consisting, as was generally the case in the time of Sophocles²², of fifteen persons, represents the privy council of aged Theban nobles, who have been especially summoned to receive the King's instructions, and to carry them into effect. Although the real leaders of the old dithyrambic Chorus were now represented by the actors on the stage23, the coryphæus, or choir-leader, still performed their functions. shalled the Chorus; he recited the anapæstic systems, which regulated their entrance to the orchestra, and announced the appearance of new characters on the logeium; and he carried on those colloquies with the latter, which assisted in the development of the leading ideas of the drama, and explained to the audience the tendencies of the plot. "You are a good Chorus, my lord," says Ophelia to Hamlet²⁴; and, in Shakspere's time, the coryphæus would have been a sort of showman to eke out, with direct information, the imperfect developements of the stage. But the Chorus of Sophocles had a higher part to perform, and one which was especially important in the tragedy before us.

²² Schol. Arist. Equ. 586: δ δὲ τραγικὸς χορὸς ιέ. J. Poll. IV. § 108: πεντεκαίδεκα γὰρ ἦσαν δ χορός. cf. Vita Sophoelis, and Müller Eumeniden, § I. p. 71: who, however, seems to think that the Chorus might have consisted of twelve only in this play. Ibid. § 10. p. 79.

²³ See Dictionary of Greek and Roman Antiquities, art. Chorus; p. 226. c. 2.

²⁴ Act III. sc. 2. The common reading is, "You are as good as a Chorus." The allusion, as Hamlet's answer shows, is to the man who explained the motions of the puppets in a pulcinello show, or to the sort of Chorus which appears in *Henry V*. and *Pericles*.

here I may remark on the happy selection which the poet has made, in choosing the Senators of Thebes as the vehicles of his by-play. As the religious element in the Greek drama was never forgotten, and was always most strongly marked in the choral portion of the drama, we may readily understand how a body of aged counsellors, tremblingly alive to their own safety, and constitutionally anxious for the maintenance of existing authority, but obliged, as a Chorus, to assert the duties of religion, would minister to the illustration of the antagonism between divine and human ordinances, on which the plot is made to depend. While they admire and applaud the sentiments of Kreon (v. 673), and have certainly no wish to incur the penalty of death by violating his decree (v. 220), and while they are shocked by the stern and stubborn temper of Antigone (v. 469). they timidly suggest whether the burial of Polyneikes may not have been effected by divine intervention (v. 278); they recognize the merits, while they censure the frowardness of the heroine; they are horrified by their discovery that love has triumphed over filial duty in the case of Hæmon, and yet they second his arguments on behalf of Antigone; they embrace with eagerness the King's proposal to obey Teiresias, and exult religiously in the hope that all the mischief will be averted; when the catastrophe has taken place, they are not slow to point out to Kreon that he, as well as Antigone, has rued his own errors; and they conclude the Play with a wise saw or two on the importance of self-control and religious reverence. They thus fulfil all the functions of their dramatic position; as representing the citizens

of Thebes, they are the advocates of loyalty and obedience; as a Tragic Chorus, they must not abstain from censuring whatever verges on want of respect for religion: "If such practices," says the Chorus in the *Œdipus Tyrannus*, "are held in honour, what need is there for me to play the Chorus²⁵?"

§ 6. The action is supposed to commence at daybreak, after the night which witnessed the precipitate retreat of the Argive host from the gates of Thebes. Ismene speaks of the night as scarcely past (v. 16); the Chorus on entering greet the rising sun (v. 100); it was the first day-watch (v. 253) who discovered the attempt to perform funeral honours to the body of Polyneikes. The events on which the action depends, had, therefore, taken place very recently. There is a minute accuracy and consistency in regard to these antecedent events, which may convince us that Sophocles had in his mind a very distinct picture of the mythical transactions from which he has derived his plot. For example, although Antigone had borne a part in the sepulture of Eteokles (v. 875), the ως λέγουσι of v. 23 shows that she had but just learnt the intention of Kreon to pay him posthumous honours; his funeral, therefore, must be conceived as having been celebrated only a short time before. It appears, however, from v. 410, that the corpse of Polyneikes was becoming putrescent. duel therefore of the brothers, and their mutual fratricide, must have taken place at least a day or two before the retreat of the invading army. Plato says that the

²⁵ ΝΝ. 895, β. εί γὰρ αί τοιαίδε πράξεις τίμιαι, τί δει με χορεύειν;

body of a healthy man will escape corruption for a considerable time after death in the climate of Greece 26, and even in Palestine four days might pass, after death by disease, without decomposition 27. Taking the play and Apollodorus together 28, we may infer that Sophocles conceived the following order of events. The first day's battle commenced without the gates, near the Ismenian hill, and after a hard fight, the Thebans were driven back to their walls. On the second day, the Argives attacked the gates, and Kapaneus had almost established himself on the rampart, when Jupiter struck him down with lightning from the top of his scaling ladder. Upon this, the Argives were seized with a panic, and retired from their immediate attack upon the The Thebans again sallied forth, and another pitched battle took place with varying success, till at last, at the request of both armies³⁰, the two brothers agreed to settle the matter by a single combat. can be little doubt that, according to the Epos which Sophocles followed, this agreement was preceded, as in

²⁸ Phædo, p. 80, c: τὸ σῶμα—ἐπιεικῶς συχνὸν ἐπιμένει χρόνον, ἐὰν μέν τις καὶ χαριέντως ἔχων τὸ σῶμα τελευτήση καὶ ἐν τοιαύτη ώρα καὶ πάνυ μάλα.

²⁷ St. John xi. 39: ἤδη ὄζει, τετάρταιος γάρ ἐστι, where see the Commentators.

²⁸ Böckh thinks (Abh. 1. p. 146) that Sophocles derived his materials from the Cyclical Thebais, or from an Œdipodia, and that Apollodorus borrowed from the same source.

²⁹ The English reader will find in Mr. Grote's *History of Greece*, (I. p. 366, sqq.) an account of the Sieges of Thebes, in which all the authorities have been consulted. I have, naturally, made rather more use, than he has, of the present play.

³⁰ Apollod. III. 6. § 8, 1 : ως δε ἀπώλλυντο πολλοί, δόξαν έκατέροις τοις στρατεύμασιν, Ἐτεοκλῆς καὶ Πολυνείκης περὶ τῆς βασιλείας μονομαχοῦσι καὶ κτείνουσιν ἀλλήλους.

the third book of the *Iliad*, by a solemn truce between the armies, and that after the undecided, because mutually fatal, duel between the two brothers, the war broke out afresh: for Sophocles speaks (v. 150) not of a single war, but of "the wars," which had just termi-For these proceedings, we may assign a third The fourth day probably comprised the day at least. feats of the sons of Astacus³¹. And we may suppose that on the fifth day, after a fierce battle, which lasted till nightfall, the effects of the self-sacrifice of Megareus or Menœkeus, the son of Kreon, were felt by the Argives. who fled away in panic terror, preceded by the πρόδρο- μ_{0} $i\pi\pi \acute{o}\tau \alpha_{5}$, Adrastus, who was saved by the swiftness of his horse Arion³², and followed by the avenging spear of Periclymenus³³. According to this computation, the drama begins on the sixth day after the arrival of the Argive army, and three days after the death of the two brothers. Kreon, who had exercised previously the power delegated to him by Eteokles³⁴, became, on the defeat of the enemy, absolute monarch of Thebes. The poet places his saving the state, by means of the sacrifice of his son, in immediate connexion with his ele-

³¹ We may assume in the old Epos a book called the 'Αστακιδών ἀριστεία, just as it appears from this play that the preceding day's battle may have been described in a book with the title Έτεοκλεόνε ἀριστεία.

³² Apollod. III. 6. § 8. 7. "Αδραστον δὲ μόνον ἵππος διέσωσεν 'Αρείων. So the Cyclic Thebais, apud Paus. VIII. 25. § 9: εἴματα λυγρὰ φέρων, σὺν 'Αρείονι κυανοχαίτη. This flight is alluded to in the Parodos of the play, where the φυγάδα πρόδρομον ὀξυτέρφ χαλίνφ (108, 9) must refer to a man on horseback riding before the van: see Æschyl. Sept. c. Theb. 80: ῥεῖ πολὺς ὧδε λεὼς πρόδρομος ἱππότας.

³⁸ Apollod. III. 6, § 8, 6 : ᾿Αμφιαρά φ δὲ φεύγοντι παρὰ ποταμὸν Ἰσμηνον, πρὶν ὑπὸ Περικλυμένου τὰ νῶτα τρωθ $\hat{\eta}$, κ. τ. λ.

⁸⁴ Eurip. Phæn. 1602: ἀρχὰς τῆσδε γῆς ἔδωκέ μοι Ἐτεοκλέης.

vation to the throne³⁵. And it is clear that this had only just taken place³⁶. I should conclude, therefore, in spite of Apollodorus³⁷, that the devotion of Megareus manifested itself on the day which preceded the action of the piece, and thus the aggravation of the Queen's distress would be all the more pressing. It will be remarked by the reader of this play, that it was mid-day when Antigone was seized by the sentinels (v. 413), so that time is supposed to travel more rapidly than the mere performance required.

§ 7. The scene represents the open space in front of the royal palace at Thebes: and the proscenium exhibits the outer wall of that building, probably adorned with the trophies of six Argive warriors. The centre door led to the apartments of Kreon himself; the left-hand door to that of the women, and that on the right to the men's apartments. On the periaktos, or changing scene, to the left, was exhibited the city of Thebes, the locality of Dirke, &c.; and as this was on the Eastern side of the Theatre at Athens, the allusion to the quarter of the rising sun, in v. 105, might have greater propriety for the the spectator, than a description more

⁸⁵ Antig. 1128, 9, compared with 1026.

³⁶ Antig. 156, sqq.

s7 Apollod. III. 6, § 7, 8. It will be observed that Apollodorus calls the young prince who slew himself *Mencekeus*, the name which Sophocles gives to the father of Kreon. I cannot help thinking that, according to one legend, his name must have been Αὐτοφόνος. The fathers of the two leaders of the ambush which awaited Tydeus on his return to the Argive camp were Hæmon and Autophonus, Π. IV. 394, 395. Now Hæmon was the brother of Megareus, and every one knows the commexion between legendary brotherhood and dualisms of this kind.

geographically correct would have had³⁸. On the right hand periaktes was depicted a tract of up-land³⁹—skirted at the foot by olive-plantations⁴⁰—which represented the scene of the dead body's exposure—perhaps the lower slopes of the Ismenian hill, where the Argives had pitched their camp, and at the foot of which the battles took place. With the exception of the ekkyklėma in v. 1259, there is no change of scene in this Play.

§ 8. The Antigone is remarkable for the regularity of its structure, and presents a good example of all the usual subdivisions and component parts of a Greek Tragedy. It has a Prologos of two actors, a Parodos, four Stasima, an Emmeleia or solemn tragic dance, two Kommi, five Episodia, i.e. interludes or acts, and an Exodos, in which all three of the actors appear. There are some tragedies in which there are no Kommi or Emmeleia; the other parts, as Aristotle tells us, are common to all tragedies⁴¹.

Scholars have found some difficulty in discriminating the *Parodos* and the *Stasimon*. Aristotle's definition is: "The *Parodos* is the first speech of the whole Chorus; the *Stasimon* is a song of the Chorus which is without any anapæst or trochee; and the *Kommos* is a lamentation, in which the Chorus and actors take part together 42."

³⁸ See however the note on the passage.

⁸⁹ v. 409 compared with 1078 and 1163.

⁴⁰ Cf. 418 with 1168, and the note on the latter passage. Also see Arnold on Thucyd. II. 75.

⁴¹ Aristot. Poet. 12. I have given below my reasons for thinking that some tragedies were, strictly speaking, without a regular Parodos.

⁴² Ibid, § 7: χορικοῦ δὲ πάροδος μὲν ἡ πρώτη λέξις ὅλου χοροῦ, στάσιμον δὲ μέλος χοροῦ τὸ ἄνευ ἀναπαίστου καὶ τροχαίου, κομμὸς δὲ θρῆνος κοινὸς χοροῦ καὶ ἀπὸ σκηνῆς.

This definition, though doubtless true as far as it goes. does not sufficiently describe the differences to a modern reader. Without discussing at length the opinions of former writers 43, I will simply state the case as it appears to me. The stasimon, as its name denotes, is an ode sung by the Chorus standing at its proper place -on the thymele or stage representing the altar of Bacchus in the centre of the orchestra—and unaccompanied by any motion beyond cheironomic gesticulation. absence of anapæsts and trochees44, which are the metrical accompaniments of motion, distinguishes the stasimon from the parodos; the absence of any interchange of words with the actors distinguishes the stasimon from the kommos. With regard to the parodos, the statement that it is the first song of the whole Chorus, though it implies, does not convey, the full explanation of the The name itself suggests the most accurate description of this ode. When the Chorus was formally drawn up in the orchestra, it represented the assemblage of worshippers banded together in the xopo's, or public place of the city, from which it derived at once its functions and its name 45. Now the wings of the

⁴³ Hermann El. Doctr. Metr. III. 22. K. O. Müller, Eumeniden, § 16. p. 88, note, and in Rhein. Museum for 1837. pp. 348 sqq., 360 sqq. Böckh, Antigone, pp. 179, 281.

⁴⁴ It is scarcely necessary to add, that Aristotle, in excluding from the *Stasimon* the anapæst and the trochee, is not speaking of single feet, but of systems.

⁴⁵ See Theatre of the Greeks, p. 7, (note) ed. 4; New Cratylus, p. 301, where I have quoted Æschyl. Suppl. 976: λαῶν ἐν χώρω τάσσεσθε, as confirming the the connexion of χορὸς with χῶρος. Mr. Paley (ad loc.) expresses his surprise, and proposes to construe λαῶν with βάξει. This is not the place for any discussion on the subject;

dromos or iter, by which the Chorus entered the orchestra, were called πάροδοι, and it would be quite in accordance with analogy if we supposed that the πάροδος was, what the name denotes, a song of the χορὸς ἐν παρόδω, i.e. of the choreutæ in the act of passing along the δρόμος to the θυμελή. Accordingly, this is the definition given by the Scholiast on Euripides is the parodos is a song of the Chorus when it is moving, being sung at the time of its entrance." It will be observed that there is not, strictly speaking, a parodos in every Play. Confining ourselves to Sophocles, I should say that in the Œdipus Tyrannus the Chorus is already grouped on the thymele when the Play begins, and that the first Chorus is a stasimon, as is pretty clearly indicated by the address of the Priest of Jupiter, which precedes it:

"My children, let us take our stand: we came Hither but to obtain what he has promised 47."

In the Œdipus Coloneus and Philoctetes, the Chorus first appears on the stage, and unless the ode at v. 668 in the former, is to be considered as a parodos (which I much doubt), there is no entrance-song for the Chorus in either Play. The first ode in the Trachinics (v. 205

but I will refer Mr. Paley to Müller, Hist. Gr. Lit. I. p. 297: "The opposition of the Chorus and the scenic actors is generally that of the \(\lambda aol\) and \(\tilde{a}\nu\pi\epsilon\epsilon\)." And I will remind him that the Chorus had been disarranged in the orchestra by the violence of the Egyptian herald, and that the anapæsts recited by them and the king are the proper measure for the evolution by which they would resume their places on the thymele. For the thymele, as the dancing-stage of the Chorus, see Jahrb. f. Phil. u Pidag. Vol. Li. p. 3—22.

⁴⁶ Ad Phæniss. 210: πάροδος δέ έστιν φδή χοροῦ βαδίζοντος, ἀδομένη ἄμα τῆ ἐσόδφ.

⁴⁷ v. 147: ⁹Ω παίδες ἱστώμεσθα· τῶνδε γαρ χάριν Καὶ δεύρ' ἔβημεν ὧν ὅδ' ἐξαγγελλεται.

sqq.) is neither a stasimon nor a parodos, but, as the Scholiast tells us, a little dancing-song to express the joy of the attendants of Deianeira 48. But we may plainly recognize the parodos in the Play before us. The Chorus, entering by the left hand πάροδος, files away to the thymele in three parties of five each. After the first address to the Sun, which is sung in the mapolos by the Chorus at large, the coryphæus leads the first four to the north side of the thymele, chanting the anapæstic march-tune, v. 110—116. Then, the antistrophe having been sung by the whole Chorus, the coryphæus, now stationed on the thymele, with two of his own origon on either side, marches the next five of the choreutæ to their place, immediately to the south of his own orivos. by chanting the second anapæstic march, v. 127-133. The second strophe follows, sung as before: and the coryphæus then completes his Chorus by making the remaining five choreutæ march to the south of the second rank, while he chants the third set of anapæsts. v. 141-147. With the whole Chorus thus drawn up, in three στίχοι of five each facing the stage, the second antistrophe is sung; and then the coryphæus introduces Kreon to the audience with the closing system of anapæsts (v. 155, sqq.); and the same rhythm accompanies the subsequent entrances of Antigone, Ismene, and Hæmon; and also the final departure of Kreon at the end of the play. In the Ajax of Sophocles, the parodos commences with a system of anapæsts recited by the coryphæus; and the same is the case in the Supplices, Persæ, and Agamemnon of Æschylus. But in the Electra

⁴⁸ το γάρ μελύδριον οὐκ ἔστι στάσιμον, άλλ' ὑπο τῆς ἡδονῆς ὀρχοῦνται.

of Sophocles, the heroine herself plays the part of leader to the Chorus; and, conversely, Tecmessa, in the Ajax, follows up the parodos with an anapæstic dialogue $a'\pi \delta$ $\sigma \kappa n \nu \hat{n} s$.

- § 9. The following may suffice as an analysis of the plot or action.
- I. Πρόλογος.—Just before sun-rise, Antigone, to escape being overheard in the apartments of the women. leads forth her sister Ismene into the open space before the palace, and communicates to her Kreon's decree, forbidding the sepulture of Polyneikes, and her own resolve to violate it. Ismene vainly endeavours to dissuade her, and is greeted in return with indignant reproaches. They part: Ismene returns by the lefthand door into the women's apartments, and Antigone descends by the right-hand steps into the orchestra, in order to visit the spot, delineated on the right hand periaktes, where the body lay. The reader must fancy the actors dressed in sweeping under-garments of black, fringed with gold, and in upper robes of pale green, or bright yellow¹⁹. Their masks would be expressive of the highest female beauty, and would be surmounted by the glittering frontlet which marked the woman of exalted rank 50. Antigone carries in her hand the prochus, or pitcher with which she poured forth the triple libations around the dead body. She wears, also, the long linen girdle crossing over her bosom, and passing

 $^{^{49}}$ J. Poll. IV. § 118: τ $\hat{\eta}$ s δ' ἐν συμφορ \hat{q} , δ μὲν συρτὸς μέλας, τὸ δ' ἐπίβλημα γλαυκὸν $\hat{\eta}$ μήλινον.

⁵⁰ Whence the epithet λιπαράμπυξ.

round her waist, with which she afterward destroyed herself 51.

II. $\Pi \acute{a}\rho o \delta o s$.—The choreutæ enter the orchestra by the lower entrance to the left, and file away to the thymels, as described in the previous section. They briefly describe the siege of Thebes, and the defeat of the Argive host, and express their joy and thankfulness to the gods. It may be necessary to remark, for the information of some readers, that the choreutæ, who were much nearer to the audience than the actors on the logium, were not exaggerated in stature or size by high soles or padding, but, in the case before us, appeared as old men of the upper class, deckt out in Bacchic costume of the most brilliant and expensive description ⁵².

III. Έπεισόδιον πρῶτον.—Kreon comes forth from the centre door of the Proscenium with a retinue of attendants. He wears his crown, and royal robes, and bears in his right hand the long sceptre, which is seen in ancient works of art⁵³. He alludes to his throne in

⁵¹ See note on Pind. O. vi. 31. For the figure of Antigone in the frontispiece I must be held responsible. It was reduced by the artist from a sketch, which I had composed after the best authorities. Although it is designed to exhibit Polus as he might be supposed to appear when masked for this character, I have ventured to make a few departures from the ungainly stiffness of the tragic attire. For instance, I have avoided all exaggeration in the mask, and have substituted the thick-soled sandal for the clumsy cothurnus. The prochus is borne by a figure in a tragic scene, found at Pompeii. M. Bocage, in arranging the mise en scéne for the French version of this tragedy, introduces Antigone with a full-sized amphora on her shoulder!

⁵² See, for example, Demosth. c. Mid. pp. 519, 520, 531; and Antiphanes apud Athon. III. p. 103, F:

ή χορηγός αίρεθείς,

ίμάτια χρυσα παρασχών τῷ χορῷ.

⁵³ I am disposed to think that the word κράτη in ver. 173 is an soph. ANT.

173, and probably took his seat upon it. Addressing the Chorus, he states the reasons which induced him to forbid the burial of Polyneikes under the penalty of death, and while he invites them to sanction his enactment by giving no countenance to the disobedient, he informs them that he has already posted a watch over the dead body. In the mean time, Antigone has, at day-break, performed the necessary rites, and has then concealed herself in the olive-grove hard by, in order to watch the proceedings of the sentinels. One of them now makes his appearance to inform Kreon of what had been done, the first day-watch having speedily discovered the attentions which had been paid to the corpse. This watchman, or sentinel, who, of course, approaches from the right, probably wore the glaiva ouly, or outer cloak of thick piled wool⁵⁴, and the Bœotian fir-cone hat 55: he would bear on his left arm the Bootian shield. with indentations for the lance 56; and in his right hand some sort of spear. The reader will observe that Sophocles has used this character much in the same way as Shakspere employs his clowns—by way of contrast to the elevated and tragic tone of the drama. The Sentinel is, in the lowest sense of the term, φαῦλος, or "vulgarminded ⁵⁷." Antigone, as naturally $\epsilon \sigma \theta \lambda \eta$, is willing to

allusion to the sceptre in his hand, which was the emblem of his power, and which is so constantly mentioned in connexion with the throne; cf. Œd. Col. 426: δε νῦν σκῆπτρα καὶ θρόνους ἔχει. 450: θρόνους καὶ σκῆπτρα κραίνειν. 1356: σκῆπτρα καὶ θρόνους ἔχων. Pind. P. IV. 152: καὶ σκᾶπτον μόναρχον, καὶ θρόνος, ὧ πότε Κρ. ἐγκαθίζων ἱππόταις εὕθυνε λαοῖς δίκας.

⁵⁴ That it was necessary for watchmen, &c. to have such a cloak, is clear from Hom. Od. xiv. 478, sqq.

⁵⁵ Theophrast. Hist. Pl. III. 9.

⁵⁶ Müller, Ancient Art and its remains, p. 352. Engl. Tr.

⁵⁷ For this term, as the regular opposite to καλὸς κάγαθὸς, see

brave all danger in the performance of her duty; but this man openly avows his selfish timidity, and does not face any danger, except as the best means of escaping some-At the same time, he has all the shrewdthing worse. ness of the ayopa, and can chop logic with his betters. The sausage-seller, in the Knights of Aristophanes, is a broader and coarser sketch of the same sort of person: and doubtless there were many of a similar kind among the audience who witnessed the first performance of this On hearing this man's tale, the coryphæus, who, in his function of Chorus, is bound to maintain the religious view of the matter, suggests the thought, that the funeral honours paid to Polyneikes may have been due to supernatural agency. Kreon is greatly exasperated by this suggestion, which he considers the height of folly: he attributes the deed to the watchmen, who, he thinks, have been bribed by a party among the citizens unfavourable to his authority: and he returns to his palace uttering the direct threats against the Sentinel, if he does not forthwith produce the offender.

- IV. Στάσιμον πρῶτον.—The Chorus sings of the wit and the works of man, and greets the approach of Antigone with anapæsts expressive of their extreme surprise at recognizing in her the audacious culprit.
- V. Ἐπεισόδιον δεύτερον.—Kreon, coming forth by chance from the palace, finds Antigone before the door,

Æsch. in Ctes. p. 65. Thucyd. vi. 18. Eurip. Bacch. 431. Plato, Resp. IV. p. 431, c. I have allowed the ἀγοραία φαυλότης of the first speech delivered by the Sentinel to appear in prose, with a mere soupçon of Tragic rhythm in the cadences.

and learns from the Sentinel, that, while the watchmen were blinded by a cloud of dust, she had returned from her concealment, and was caught by them in the act of renewing the covering of dust, which they had removed from the corpse. She avows and justifies what she has Kreon threatens her with death, and sends for Ismene, whom he considers as implicated in the crime. Upon this ensues a scene between the two sisters, in which Ismene claims a share in the destined fate of her sister, who, however, indignantly repudiates her as a partner in the deed or its consequences. them back by the left-hand door, which led to the prison, as well as to the women's apartments, forcibly. expressing the thought, that imprisonment was the proper lot of their sex. Kreon probably remains on the stage, seated on his royal throne.

VI. $\Sigma \tau \acute{a}\sigma\iota\mu o\nu \delta \acute{e}\acute{\nu}\tau\epsilon\rho o\nu$.—The Chorus expresses, in somewhat oracular language, the belief in the inevitable transmission of ancestral misfortunes, and in the universal dominance among men of $\check{a}\tau\eta$, or the principle of mischief. Some anapæsts accompany the approach of Hæmon, from the left-hand parascenia, or the city, where he has overheard the sympathizing murmurs of the townsmen.

VII. Έπεισόδιον τρίτον.—The young Prince, who may be conceived as attired in a purple chlamys, and who would of course wear the sword with which he subsequently destroys himself, professes obedience to his father, but endeavours, by representing the opinions which he has heard generally expressed in the city, to

deter Kreon from carrying into execution the sentence of death which he had pronounced against Antigone. In the angry conversation which follows, Kreon loses all control over himself, and orders Antigone to be brought forth and slain on the spot before the eyes of Hæmon, to whom she is betrothed. Upon this the latter leaves the stage by the right, signifying that he will lay hands upon himself, if Antigone is put to death; and after his departure, Kreon, although he remits, on the suggestion of the Chorus, the punishment he had designed for Ismene, announces his intention of burying Antigone alive in one of his treasure-tombs, instead of submitting her to the public stoning announced in his proclamation. Upon this he returns to the palace.

VIII. Στάσιμον τρίτον.—The Chorus briefly discusses the power of love which can so triumph over the obligations of filial duty; and then, in sorrowful anapæsts, announces the return of Antigone, on her way to the living sepulchre.

IX. Έπεισόδιον τέταρτον καὶ κομμὸς πρῶτος.—Antigone from the stage bewails her imminent and unnatural death. The Chorus consoles her in anapæsts, and chides her in iambico-antispastic verse. Kreon comes forth, and, interrupting the kommos, bids the guards lead her away to the tomb-dungeon. Antigone, turning to the right, as though she had the scene of her imprisonment before her eyes, addresses her grave, and justifies the deed which has brought her to it. A few anapæsts are recited by Kreon, the Chorus, and Antigone, as she is led away by the right-hand parasoenia. Kreon takes

his seat on the throne, while the Chorus, looking after Antigone and still addressing her, sings the following ode.

Χ. Στάσιμον τέταρτον.—Although the Chorus has fully acknowledged the guilt of Antigone in disobeying the King's decree, it still maintains its functions as a vindicator of the religious rites to which she is a martyr; and in this stasimon selects three cases of persons confined in a similar way, in which there is a distinct reference to the hope of the Chorus, that she may be delivered, and to their sense of Kreon's impiety. Danaë was confined as Antigone was, but only to gain the greater glory. Lycurgus was similarly imprisoned, but he had impiously attacked religious rites. patra was cruelly and wickedly immured, but she was liberated and avenged. There is here a gradation. All the city acknowledged the glory of Antigone. The impiety of Kreon, like that of Lycurgus, and the cruel treatment of Antigone, like that of Kleopatra, must receive their acknowledgement also 58.

XI. Έπεισόδιον πέμπτον.—Teiresias, the blind prophet, led by a boy, and attired in the reticulated uppergarment which indicated his office 50, enters from the

ss Bishop Thirlwall has not thought it necessary to remark that, according to the view which he has so ably developed in his Essay on the Irony of Sophocles (Philol. Mus. II. p. 483, sqq.) this stasimon indicates the critical position in the play. Kreon seated on his throne, proudly contemplating the full accomplishment of his mandates, is on the eve of learning the disastrous consequences to which they had led. He stands at this very moment $i\pi l$ $\xi \nu \rho o \hat{\nu} \tau i \chi \eta s$, ver. 963, and is about to be thoroughly involved (ver. 1277) in a $\delta i \eta$ as inextricable as that which punished the impiety of Lycurgus.

 $^{^{59}}$ J. Pollux, IV. 116: ἀγρηνόν · τὸ δ' ἦν πλέγμα ἐξ ἐρίων δικτυῶδες περὶ πῶν τὸ σῶμα, δ Τειρεσίας ἐπεβάλλετο ἥ τις ἄλλος μάντις. "Mimum

left: for his augural throne was near the temple of Fortune in the city 60. The seer announces to Kreon the ill omens, which he has observed, and which he attributes to the King's double offence of keeping the dead unburied, and burying the living. Kreon insolently ascribes this warning to bribery; and Teiresias thereupon declares the visitations which are about to come upon the royal house, and the vengeance of the neighbouring cities, which will be provoked by the pollution brought to their altars. On his departure, the King. terrified by his dreadful vaticinations, resolves to go in person, and to undo all he has done, by burning the corpse of Polyneikes and releasing Antigone. leaves the stage by the right-hand parascenia, followed by a number of attendants, bearing axes to cut down wood for the funeral pile.

XII. 'Ορχηστικόν.—As the Senators move about on the thymele in a stately and solemn dance ⁶¹, they implore Dionysus, the tutelary God of Thebes, to come from his favourite haunts in Phocis and Eubœa, and to

άγρηνφ ejusmodi (nisi me forte fallit) indutum non agnovit Caylus Recueil d'Antiquités, t. III. tab. 76, p. 281, ubi Germani mastrucati effigiem arbitratur: similisque opinor exstat Hamilton Vas. Græc. ed. Neap. 1766, t. I. tab. 59, et alibi. Divêrsa tamen sententia de ἀγρηνφ est Winckelmanni, Hist. de l'art. Vers. Gall. a. 1802, t. I. p. 522." Hase, in Steph. Thes. s. v.

⁶⁰ Pausan. 1x. 16, 1.

⁶¹ That this pair of strophes is not a stasimon, but a dancing-song, has been shown by Böckh, Antig. p. 280, sqq. "This appears," says he, "partly from the contents and partly from the form. The Chorus hopes and wishes that Dionysus will come to their aid; this imparts a sort of merriment, which expresses itself very suitably in a tragic Έμμελεια; the Bacchic allusions also lead to movement, for Bacchanalian worship particularly favours the dance."

relieve his mother-city from the violent plague under which it is labouring.

Έξοδος καὶ κομμός δεύτερος.—One of the King's attendants returns, axe in hand, from the right, and announces the occurrence of a dreadful disasterthe suicidal death of Hæmon. The Queen, Eurydike, who was coming forth to pray at the temple of Pallas, overhears this tale and faints away; but she soon recovers herself, and appearing on the stage (in her royal robe, with its purple stripe)62, calls upon the attendant to tell his story at length. The reader will perhaps recollect something very similar in the beautiful scene between Thecla and the Swedish officer 63. urged, the attendant proceeds with his dismal narrative, and informs the Queen that, after burning the body of Polyneikes, the King and his retinue had proceeded to the vault in which Antigone was entombed, and there discovered her hanging by her girdle, while Hæmon was clinging to her body, in all the desperation of disappointed love. On Kreon's entering the tomb, and entreating his son to leave the scene of death, Hæmon draws his sword, and the King flies, thinking, as he had thought before (v. 743), that his son meditated parricide64: but the unhappy youth is bent only on self-

⁶² J. Pollux, IV. 118: παράπηχυ λευκόν τῆς βασιλευούσης. cf. VII. 53: τὸ δὲ παράπηχυ ἰμάτιον ἦν τι λευκόν, πῆχυν πορφυροῦν ἔχον παρυφασμένον.

⁶⁸ Schiller's Wallenstein Aufzug. IV. Auftritt 10.

⁶⁴ Schol: σὐχ εἶλκε δὲ τὸ ξίφος κατὰ τοῦ πατρὸς, ὤσπερ ῷετο · εἶπεν γὰρ ἄνω · ἡ δ' οὖν ὁλεῖται, καὶ θανοῦσ' ὁλεῖ τινα. ὁ ἄγγελος δὲ οὖτω νομίζων ἀγγέλλει. Aristotle seems to have thought that Sophocles intended to represent Kreon as the first object of Hæmon's rage (Poet. c. 14), and he is followed by Böckh and Hermann. It appears

destruction, and stabs himself before the eyes of the King and his followers. Here again the reader, who is acquainted with the modern drama, will recollect a The closing scene in Romeo and Juliet has many points in common with this catastrophe. dike now leaves the stage without saving a word, and while the Attendant and the Chorus are indulging in gloomy forebodings as to her intentions, Kreon returns to the stage followed by his retinue, and bearing in his arms the dead body of his son. Before the kommos, or lamentation between him and the Chorus, has proceeded very far, a servant comes forth from the palace and announces the suicide of the Queen. Thereupon the scene opens, and, by means of the contrivance called ekkyklema, the dead body of Eurydike is wheeled forward, and the servant, standing by her side, holds up the sacrificial kmife with which she had stabbed herself, and details her last words. This fresh stroke completes the misery of Kreon, and he is led from the stage into his palace, as the Chorus, in a few closing anapæsts, chant the blessings which spring from prudence, religious reverence, and government of the tongue.

§ 10. One of the most recent Editors of Sophocles
—W. Dindorf—properly remarks that the true read-

to me more natural to understand it as the Scholiast has done. The verb $\eta_{\mu\pi\lambda\alpha\kappa\epsilon}$ (v. 1200) shows that the Messenger is made to think, with Kreon, that the young Prince's anger was, in the first instance, directed against his father. But the *ethos* of the passage should convince us that Hæmon would not revenge himself upon his father otherwise than by slaying his only son before his eyes, just as Kreon had threatened to execute Antigone in the presence of her lover (v. 752).

ing of his Plays must be derived from three distinct sources,—the manuscript copies, the quotations in the old grammarians, and the commentaries of the Greek At the present day, therefore, we need not Scholiasts. go farther back than to the time when these sources of information first became fully available. Now the Scholia of the best Manuscript (that generally known as the Codex Laurentianus A) were first accurately copied by Peter Elmsley, and his transcripts were edited by Dr. Gaisford in 1825; and Elmsley's collations of the same MS. and of others of less note, were first published by Dr. Gaisford in a variorum Edition of Sophocles, which appeared at Oxford in 1826, in two volumes 8vo. Edition was also distinguished by a more accurate collection of citations from the Grammarians,—and the extracts from Suidas in particular were exhibited according to the readings of those MSS., of which Dr. Gaisford subsequently made such good use in his elaborate and splendid Edition of that Lexicographer. With regard, then, to the three sources from which we are to derive the true reading of Sophocles, we find our starting-point in the labours of Elmsley and Gaisford little more than twenty years ago.

But if our first authentic collection of all the outward appliances of criticism is of so recent date, we may still more expect to find, in the publications respecting Sophocles which have subsequently appeared, the results of all that has been done by scholars for the correction and elucidation of his Dramas. And I think I may confidently affirm that the works in the subjoined list supply, either at first or at second hand, every original observation respecting the Antigone, which has hitherto been given to the world. At all events, if there is any other source of information, it is absolutely unknown to me. As I have wished the reader to see at one glance to what extent the text now before him differs from the MSS. hitherto known and collated, I have taken care to mark either with an obelus +, or with an asterisk *, every word for which there is not manuscript authority. The former mark represents the emendations which are due to previous commentators, the latter indicates my own conjectures. If it should appear to any critical reader that I have introduced a great number of alterations 65, I must be allowed to state my conviction, that the corruptions of the text in this Play are long antecedent to any existing manuscripts, and that they seem to have sprung from the errors of some ancient copyist, who confused a faulty and illegible text with marginal notes written in the same hand, or with similar and more easily deciphered words, in the immediate vicinity of passages in which he found a diffi-I think also that I can still detect the traces of a peculiarity in the hand-writing of his original—especially a tendency to confuse γ , π , and γ .

I. Sophoclis Tragædiæ Septem; ad optimorum exempla-

65 The whole number of emendations by previous Scholars, which appear in the text of this edition of the *Antigone* is 80; and I have introduced about 30 corrections of my own. Several of them, however, especially of the older emendations, are merely orthographical, and many of them do but little violence to the text. It may be unnecessary to mention that an Editor's judgment must be held responsible for the emendations which he receives from others, no less than for those which are originated by himself.

rium fidem ac præcipue codicis vetustissimi Florentini emendatæ, cum annotatione tantum non integra Brunckii et Schæferi et aliorum selecta. Accedunt deperditarum tragædiarum fragmenta. Oxonii, 1826. Vol. II. 8vo.

This is the edition, which is generally known as Dr. Gaisford's, and of which I have spoken above.

- II. August Böckh, über die Antigone des Sophokles, (Abh. der K. Ak. d. Wiss.) Berlin, 1826, 1831. See below No. XII.
- III. Sophoclis Antigona, codicum MSS. omniumque exemplarium scriptures discrepantia enotata integra, cum scholiis vetustis, virorumque doctorum curis presse subnotatis, emendatior atque explicatior edita a Fr. Carolo Wex. Lipsiæ, 1829, 1831. 2 Vols. 8vo.

This is the most complete Edition of the Antigone which has ever been published. The second volume contains Elmsley's scholia, and copious selections from all the commentaries published up to that time. The Editor, who is an enthusiastic disciple of the well-known Editor of the Edipus Coloneus, Karl Reisig, is himself an acute and deeply-read scholar, and has thrown out many happy suggestions of his own.

IV. Sophoclis Antigona ad optimorum librorum fidem recensuit et brevibus notis instruxit Car. Gottlob Aug. Erfurdt. Editio tertia cum annotationibus Godofredi Hermanni. Lipsiæ, 1830. 12mo.

One of the best philological efforts of this veteran rival of the Porsonian school in England, and of the archæological school of Berlin and Göttingen. V. Sophoclis Tragædiæ. Recognovit ac brevi annotatione scholarum in usum instrucit FRIDERICUS NEVIUS. Lipsiæ, 1831. 8vo.

Of this work I have made but little use.

VI. Lexicon Sophocleum adhibitis veterum explicationibus, grammaticorum notationibus, recentiorum doctorum commentariis composuit FRIDERICUS ELLENDT. Regimontii Prussorum, 1835. 2 Vols. 8vo.

A painstaking and useful work, written by a zealous, but not very able, partizan of Lobeck and Hermann.

VII. Ad Sophoclis Tragædias annotationes Gulielmi Dindorfii. Oxonii, e typographeo Clarendoniano, 1836. 8vo.

This Commentary abounds in valuable suggestions, many of which I have adopted; but some of the emendations are hastily conceived, and not easily justifiable; and there is too great a readiness to assume the existence of interpolations.

VIII. Sophoclis Tragædiæ. Recensuit et explanavit Eduardus Wunderus. Vol. I. Sect. 1v. Continens Antigonam. Editio secunda multis locis emendata. Gothæ, 1840. 8vo.

This Editor exhibits a good deal of learning and judgment in his interpretations. His criticism follows at the heels of Dindorf.

IX. Jahn's Jahrbücher f. Phil. 1842. Bd. 34, 1. pp. 66—85. A review of the last-named book by the late Dr. Adolphus Emper, reprinted in Adolphu Emperii. Brunopolitani opuscula philologica et historica. Amicorum studio collecta edidit F. G. Schneidevin. Gottingæ, 1847. pp. 246—268.

Of this review, the Editor of the latter collection asks in his preface: "Quis negabit censuram Antigonæ Wunderianæ pæne justæ editionis instar esse?" And I think there have been professed editions of the Play, which have contributed less to the correction of the text, and its elucidation.

- X. Metra Æschyli, Sophoclis, Euripidis, et Aristophanis, descripta a Gulielmo Dindorfio. Oxonii, 1842.
- XI. The Antigone of Sophocles, with notes critical and explanatory, and adapted to the use of Schools and Universities, by T. MITCHELL, M.A. late Fellow of Sidney-Sussex College, Cambridge. Oxford, 1842. 8vo.

Mr. Mitchell acquired considerable reputation some years ago by an Essay prefixed to his translation of Aristophanes; but his subsequent labours have not tended to establish his fame as a judicious or accurate scholar. This Edition of the Antigone is little more than a compilation, in which he is chiefly guided by Wunder and Dindorf. One thought, by which he is haunted, does appear to me very original—namely, that we are entitled to expect special allusions to the Sacred Writings in this Play, because the scene is laid in a country the inhabitants of which claimed a Phænician descent! (See his notes on vv. 265, 582, 856.)

XII. Des Sophokles Antigone, Griechisch und Deutsch, herausgegeben von August Böckh. Nebst zwei Abhandlungen über diese Tragödie im ganzen und über einzelne Stelle derselben. Berlin, 1843. 8vo.

This is a republication, with additions, of the two well-known and valuable Essays cited above, No. II., appended to a new edition and translation of the text, of which it is sufficient to say, that they are worthy of the high reputation of their author.

XIII. Die neueste Antigoneliteratur von Gustav Wolff. (Zeitschrift f. d. Alterthumswissenschaft, 1846, Numbers 78, 79, 80, 93, 94.)

An useful and intelligent review of some recent works respecting the Antigone.

I think it right to add, that I have purposely abstained from even looking into any English version of this Play.

. • • • · •

ΣΟΦΟΚΛΕΟΥΣ ΑΝΤΙΓΟΝΗ.

THE ANTIGONE OF SOPHOCLES.

ΤΑ ΤΟΥ ΔΡΑΜΑΤΟΣ ΠΡΟΣΩΠΑ.

ANTIFONH.

IΣMHNH.

ΧΟΡΟΣ ΘΗΒΑΙΩΝ ΓΕΡΟΝΤΩΝ.

KPE Ω N.

ΦΥΛΑΞ.

 $AIM\Omega N$.

ΤΕΙΡΕΣΙΑΣ.

ΑΓΓΕΛΟΣ.

ΕΥΡΥΔΙΚΗ.

ΕΞΑΓΓΕΛΟΣ.

PERSONS REPRESENTED.

ANTIGONE.
ISMENE.
CHORUS OF THEBAN SENATORS.
KREON, KING OF THEBES.
A SENTINEL.
HÆMON, KREON'S SON.
TEIRESIAS.
A MESSENGER.
EURYDIKE, KREON'S WIFE.

Guards and Slaves of Kreon; Female Attendants of Eurydike.

AN ATTENDANT.

SCENE. Before the King's Palace at Thebes.

ΑΝΤΙΓΟΝΗ.

Α. ΠΡΟΛΟΓΟΣ.

ANTIFONH.

Ω ΚΟΙΝΟΝ αὐτάδελφον 'Ισμήνης κάρα, ἄρ' οἶσθ', † ὅτι Ζεὺς τῶν ἀπ' Οἰδίπου κακῶν ὁποῖον οὐχὶ νῷν ἔτι ζώσαιν τελεῖ; οὐδὲν γὰρ οὕτ' άλγεινὸν, οὕτ' ἄτην ἄγον, οὕτ' αἰσχρὸν, οὕτ' ἄτιμόν ἐσθ', ὁποῖον οὐ 5 τῶν σῶν τε κάμῶν οὐκ ὅπωπ' ἐγὼ κακῶν. καὶ νῦν τί τοῦτ' αὖ φασὶ πανδήμῳ πόλει κήρυγμα θεῖναι τὸν στρατηγὸν ἀρτίως; ἔχεις τι, κεἰσήκουσας; ἤ σε λανθάνει πρὸς τοὺς φίλους στείχοντα τῶν ἐχθρῶν κακά; 10

ΙΣΜΗΝΗ.

ἐμοὶ μὲν ούδεὶς μῦθος, Άντιγόνη, φίλων
 ἐγρ. ὅ, τι.
 ⁴ γρ. ἄτης ἄτερ.

ANTIGONE.

I. PROLOGUE.

Antigone and Ismene enter from the left-hand door in the Proscenium.

ANTIGONE.

Ismene, dear in very sisterhood,
Know'st thou that Zeus, for us while yet we live,
Fulfils,—in what sort does he not—the evils
That flow from Œdipus? For there is nothing
That causes pain or tends to mischief—nothing
That inly shames, or outwardly degrades,
Of such sort, that in thine and my misfortunes
I have not seen it manifest. And now
What is this herald's message, which, they say,
Our leader has this very morn put forth
To all the populace who throng the city?
Is't known to thee, and hast thou lent an ear?
Or, by thee all unheeded, does the malice
Of enemies come up against thy friends?

ISMENE.

To me indeed, Antigone, no tale

ούθ΄ ήδυς, ούτ΄ άλγεινος ἵκετ΄, έξ ότου δυοῖν άδελφοῖν έστερήθημεν δύο, μιᾶ θανόντων ἡμέρα διπλῆ χερί έπεὶ δὲ φροῦδός ἐστιν ᾿Αργείων στρατὸς ἐν νυκτὶ τῆ νῦν, οὐδὲν οἶδ ὑπέρτερον οὕτ΄ ἐὐτυγοῦσα μᾶλλον οὕτ΄ ἀτωμένη.

15

ANTICONH.

ήδη καλώς, καί σ' έκτος αὐλείων πυλών τοῦδ' *είνεκ' εξέπεμπον, ως μόνη κλύοις.

IEMHNH.

τί δ' έστι; δηλοίς γάρ τι καλχαίνουσ' έπος.

20

ANTICONH.

ού γάρ τάφου νών τω κασιγνήτω Κρέων, τὸν μὲν προτίσας, τὸν δ' ἀτιμάσας ἔχει; Έτεοκλέα μέν, ώς λέγουσι, σύν δίκη, * προσθείς δίκαια, καὶ νόμφ, κατά χθονός έκρυψε, τοις ένερθεν έντιμον νεκροίς. τον δ' άθλίως θανόντα Πολυνείκους νέκυν άστοῖσί φασιν ἐκκεκηρύχθαι τὸ μή τάφφ καλύψαι, μηδέ κωκῦσαί τινα, έαν δ΄ ακλαυτον, αταφον, οίωνοις γλυκύν θησαυρον, είσορωσι προς χάριν βορας. τοιαθτά φασι τὸν ἀγαθὸν Κρέοντα σοὶ κάμοι, λέγω γαρ κάμε, κηρύξαντ' έχειν, καὶ δεῦμο νεῖσθαι ταῦτα τοῖσι μη εἰδόσιν σαφή προκηρύξοντα καὶ τὸ πραγμ άγειν ούχ ως παρ' ούδεν άλλ' δς αν τούτων τι δρά, φόνον προκείσθαι δημόλευστον έν πόλει.

30

25

35

¹⁹ γρ. σύνεκ. 24 γρ. χρησθείς δικαία.

Touching our friends,—be it of joy or sorrow,— Has come, since we two lost our brethren twain On the same day by a twin murder slain. But since the Argive host this night departed, I have it yet to learn if farther still Good luck or mischief has been active for me.

ANTIGONE.

I knew 'twas so: and therefore did I bring thee Without the court, that thou alone might'st listen.

ISMENE.

What is't? for sure some tidings stir thee thus.

ANTIGONE.

What! has not Kreon—when our sister-love Might challenge equal sepulture for both Of our departed brethren,—one of them Pre-eminently honoured, and the other Foully disgraced? Eteokles, they tell me, The dues of justice with just rites augmenting, And following all the usages, he buried Deep in the ground, invested with the honours Which grace the dead below: but Polyneikes, Who lies where he so miserably fell,— They say a proclamation to the people Forbids that any man should veil his corpse Within the tomb, or utter wailings for him; But orders that he lie unwept, unburied, A welcome store of food laid up for birds Whenso their greedy eyes desire a banquet. Such is the proclamation, which, they say, Good Kreon hath set forth for thee and me-Aye—e'en for me, I tell thee—and to those Who know it not, they say he cometh here Himself to make his edict clearly known. , He holds this matter in no small account, But whose doeth any one of these things, His death by public stoning is decreed.

ούτως έχει σοι ταῦτα, καὶ δείξεις τάχα, εἴτ' εὐγενής πέφυκας, εἴτ' ἐσθλῶν κακή.

ΙΣΜΗΝΗ.

τί δ', ω ταλαίφρων, εί τάδ' έν τούτοις, έγω λύουσ' αν η 'φάπτουσα προσθείμην πλέον;

40

ANTICONH.

εί ξυμπονήσεις καὶ ξυνεργάσει, σκόπει.

IEMHNH.

ποιόν τι κινδύνευμα; ποῦ γνώμης ποτ' εί;

ANTIFONH.

εί τον νεκρον ξύν τηδε κουφιείς χερί.

ΙΣΜΗΝΗ.

η γάρ νοεις θάπτειν σφ', ἀπόρρητον πόλει;

ANTICONH.

τον γοῦν ἐμον καὶ τον σον, ἢν σὰ μὴ θέλης, 45 αδελφόν. οὰ γὰρ δὴ προδοῦσ ἀλώσομαι.

ΙΣΜΗΝΗ.

ῶ σχετλία, Κρέοντος ἀντειρηκότος;

ANTICONH.

άλλ' ούδεν αὐτῷ τῶν εμῶν εἴργειν μέτα.

IEMHNH.

οίμοι φρόνησον, ω κασιγνήτη, πατήρ ως νών άπεχθης δυσκλεής τ' άπώλετο, πρός αὐτοφώρων άμπλακημάτων διπλάς όψεις άράξας αὐτὸς αὐτουργῷ χερί ἔπειτα μήτηρ καὶ γυνη, διπλοῦν ἔπος, πλεκταῖσιν άρτάναισι λωβάται βίου τρίτον δ' άδελφω δύο μίαν καθ' ἡμέραν

50

55

Thou knowest all: and thou wilt show betimes Whether thou hast an innate nobleness, Or art the base-born child of high-born sires.

ISMENE.

What—ah! unhappy—if 'tis so, could I Effect for good by doing or undoing!

ANTIGONE.

Bethink thee-wilt thou share the work and toil?

ISMENE.

In what bold deed? tell me, I pray, thy drift.

ANTIGONE.

Wilt aid this hand of mine to lift the corpse?

ISMENE.

And wouldst thou bury whom the state proscribes?

ANTIGONE.

Proscribed or not, my brother and thine too, Though it mislike thee. *I* will ne'er renounce him.

ISMENE.

O daring maid—when Kreon has forbidden?

ANTIGONE.

He has no right to keep me from my brother.

ISMENE.

Ah me! consider, sister, how detested And blasted with ill fame our father fell, When for his self-detected sinfulness He pierced his eyes with suicidal hand. And then his mother-wife—a double name—With twisted nooses made away her life. Thirdly, our brothers both upon one day

αὐτοκτονοῦντε τὼ ταλαιπώρω, μόρον κοινὸν κατειργάσαντ' † ἐπαλλήλοιν χεροῖν. νῦν δ αὖ μόνα δὴ νὼ λελειμμένα, σκόπει, ὅσφ κάκιστ' ὁλούμεθ', εἰ νόμου βία ψῆφον τυράννων ἢ κράτη παρέξιμεν. ἀλλ' ἐννοεῖν χρὴ τοῦτο μὲν, γυναῖχ' ὅτι ἔφυμεν, ὡς πρὸς ἄνδρας οὐ μαχουμένα' ἔπειτα δ', οὕνεκ' ἀρχόμεσθ' ἐκ κρεισσόνων καὶ ταῦτ' ἀκούειν κάτι τῶνδ ἀλγίονα. ἐγὼ μὲν οῦν αἰτοῦσα τοὺς ὑπὸ χθονὸς ξύγγνοιαν ἴσχειν, ὡς βιάζομαι τάδε, τοῖς ἐν τέλει βεβῶσι πείσομαι. τὸ γὰρ περισσὰ πράσσειν, οὐκ ἔχει νοῦν οὐδένα.

60

65

ANTICONH.

οῦτ' αν κελεύσαιμ', οῦτ' αν, εί θέλοις ἔτι πράσσειν, έμοῦ γ' αν ηδέως δρώης μέτα. άλλ' ἴσθ' † ὁποία σοι δοκεῖ. κεῖνον δ' έγω θάψω. καλόν μοι τοῦτο ποιούση θανεῖν. φίλη μετ' αὐτοῦ κείσομαι, φίλου μέτα, ὅσια πανουργήσασ' ἐπεὶ πλείων χρόνος, ὑν δεῖ μ' ἀρέσκειν τοῖς κάτω, τῶν ἐνθάδε. ἐκεῖ γὰρ ἀεὶ κείσομαι' σοὶ δ' εἰ δοκεῖ, τὰ τῶν θεῶν ἔντιμ' ἀτιμάσασ' ἔχε.

70

75

ΙΣΜΗΝΗ.

έγω μεν ούκ άτιμα ποιοθμαι το δε βία πολιτών δράν, έφυν αμήχανος.

ANTICONH.

σὺ μὲν τάδ αν προύχοι έγω δε δη τάφον

80

56 γρ. ἐπ' ἀλλήλοιν.

71 γρ. όποῖα σοι.



Slain mutually, wretched pair! have wrought A kindred death by one another's hands.

Now we are left alone: and oh! bethink thee How much the worst of all our fate will be, If we, the law defying, set at nought The sovereign will and mandate of our ruler. But it were well to bear in mind that we Are women born, and must not fight with men. And then that overruling power compels us To hear both these and still more grievous edicts. I then, beseeching my departed friend To pardon me, as I have not my will, Must yield obedience to authority.

For to attempt without the power to do, Is but a poor significance of wisdom.

ANTIGONE.

No more will I exhort thee: no!—and if
Thou wouldst it now, it would not pleasure me
To have thee as a partner in the deed.
Be what it liketh thee to be, but I
Will bury him; and shall esteem it honour
To die in the attempt: dying for him,
Loving with one who loves me I shall lie,
After a holy deed of sin: the time
Of the world's claims upon me may not mate
With what the grave demands: for there my rest
Will be for everlasting! If it likes thee
Go on degrading all the Gods esteem!

ISMENE.

Nay I degrade no rite: but lack the skill To contravene the edicts of the state.

ANTIGONE.

Then take thee that pretext: but I will go

χώσουσ' αδελφῷ φιλτάτφ πορεύσομαι.

IΣMHNH.

οίμοι ταλαίνης, ως υπερδέδοικά σου.

ANTIFONH.

μή † 'μοῦ προτάρβει' τὸν σὸν έξόρθου πότμον.

IΣMHNH.

άλλ' οὖν προμηνύσης γε τοῦτο μηδενὶ τοὖργον' κρυφη δε κεῦθε' σὺν δ' αὕτως έγώ.

ANTICONH.

οίμοι καταύδα. πολλον έχθίων έσει σιγῶσ, εἀν μη πᾶσι κηρύξης τάδε.

ΙΣΜΗΝΗ.

θερμήν έπὶ ψυχροῖσι καρδίαν έχεις.

ANTICONH.

άλλ' οίδ' άρέσκουσ', οίς μάλισθ' άδειν με χρή.

ΙΣΜΗΝΗ.

εί καὶ δυνήσει γ' άλλ' άμηχάνων έρậς.

90

85

ANTIFONH.

ούκουν, όταν δή μή σθένω, πεπαύσομαι.

ΙΣΜΗΝΗ.

άρχην δε θηράν ου πρέπει τάμηχανα.

ANTICONH.

εί ταῦτα λέξεις, ἐχθαρεῖ μὲν ἐξ ἐμοῦ, †ἐχθρὰ δὲ τῷ θανόντι προσκείσει δίκη. ἀλλ' ἔα με καὶ τὴν ἐξ ἐμοῦ δυσβουλίαν παθεῖν τὸ δεινὸν τοῦτο. πείσομαι γὰρ οὐ τοσοῦτον οὐδὲν, ὥστε μὴ οὐ καλῶς θανεῖν.

⁸⁸ γρ. μή μου. ⁹⁴ γρ. ἐχθρὰ.

95

To heap a funeral mound for my dear brother.

ISMENE.

Ah me! unhappy! how I fear for thee.

ANTIGONE.

Fear not for me: set thine own fortunes right.

ISMENE.

At least to no man tell the deed beforehand, But keep it hid: and I will hold my peace.

ANTIGONE.

Ha! speak it out to all: by far more hateful To me will be thy silence than thy blabbing.

ISMENE.

Thy heart is hot upon a chilling business.

ANTIGONE.

I know I please whom most I ought to please.

ISMENE.

Aye: if thou couldst: thy wish transcends thy power.

ANTIGONE.

When that my power has failed, the attempt is o'er.

ISMENE.

But why pursue the impossible at all?

ANTIGONE.

Thus speaking, thou wilt but incur my hatred:
The dead too will regard thee as his foe.
Then suffer me, imprudent as I am,
To meet this menaced evil. Come what will,
It cannot take from me—a noble death!

IEMHNH.

άλλ', εί δοκεί σοι, στείχε τοῦτο δ' ἴσθ', ὅτι ἄνους μεν ἔρχει, τοῖς φίλοις δ' ὁρθῶς φίλη.

Β. ΠΑΡΟΔΟΣ.

ΧΟΡΟΣ.

'Ακτις ἀελίου, τὸ κάλ- στροφὴ α΄. 100 λιστον ἐπταπύλφ φανὲν Θήβα τῶν πρότερων φάος, ἐφάνθης ποτ', ὧ χρυσέας ἀμέρας βλέφαρον, Διρκαίων ὑπὲρ ῥεέθρων μολοῦσα, 105 τὸν λεύκασπιν †Άργέϊον φῶτα βάντα πανσαγία, ψυγάδα πρόδρομον ὀξυτέρφ κινήσασα χαλινῷ,

δυ ἐφ' ἀμετέρα γὰ Πολυνείκης, σύστημα α΄. 110 ἀρθεὶς νεικέων ἐξ ἀμφιλόγων,
† ἥγειρεν' ὁ δ' εἰς γᾶν, αἰετὸς ὥς,
ὀξέα κλάζων ὑπερέπτα,
λευκῆς χιόνος πτέρυγι στεγανός,
πολλῶν μεθ' ὅπλων,
115
ξίν θ' ἰπποκόμοις κορύθεσσι.

¹⁰⁸ γρ. 'Αργόθεν. 118 γρ. όξ. κλ. αlετὸς εἰς γᾶν ὧς ύ.

ANTIGONE.

ISMENE.

Go, if thou art resolved: and know, I hold thee Foolish indeed, but still a peerless friend!

(Ismene returns to the palace: Antigone goes off on the right by the Parascenia. The Chorus immediately enters the orchestra by the lower side entrance on the left.)

II. PARODOS.

CHORUS.

STROPHE I.

Beam of the sun, the fairest light
That ever shone on Theba, seven-gated!
At length thou comest, eye of golden day,
Careering o'er the fountain-streams of Dirke!
For thou, with bridle still more keenly shaken,
Hast urged to flight before the flying van
The Argive hero of the argent shield,
March as he might in garniture of mail.

(Anapæstic Movement.)

Whom Polyneikes against our country,
Roused by the nicest of quarrels, had mustered,
And as an eagle terribly shricking,
With a soaring swoop he alighted.
White as the snow were the pinions that clothed him!
Many his bucklers
And his helmets crested with horse-hair!

140

στὰς δ' ὑπὲρ μελάθρων, †φονώ- ἀντιστ. ά. σαισιν ἀμφιχανών κύκλφ λόγχαις ἐπτάπυλον στόμα, ἔβα, πρίν ποθ' ἀμετέρων 120 αἰμάτων γένυσιν πλησθῆναί τε, καὶ στεφάνωμα πύργων πευκάενθ' "Ηφαιστον ἐλεῖν. τοῖος ἀμφὶ νῶτ' ἐτάθη πάταγος "Αρεος, ἀντιπάλφ 125 δυσχείρωμα δράκοντι.

Ζεὺς γὰρ μεγάλης γλώσσης κόμπους ἀντισύστ. ά. ὑπερεχθαίρει· καί σφας ἐσιδῶν πολλῷ ῥεύματι προσνισσομένους χρυσοῦ, * καναχῆ θ' * ὑπερόπλους, 130 παλτῷ ῥιπτεῖ πυρὶ, βαλβίδων ἐπ' ἄκρων ἥδη νίκην ὀρμῶντ' ἀλαλάξαι.

άντίτυπα δ΄ έπὶ γᾶ πέσε τανταλωθεὶς στροφή β΄.
πυρφόρος, δς τότε μαινομένα ξὺν ὁρμᾶ 135
βακχεύων ἐπέπνει
ρίπαῖς ἐχθίστων ἀνέμων.
εἶχε δ΄ ἄλλα τὰ μὲν,
† ἄλλα δ΄ ἐπ΄ ἄλλοις ἐπενώμα στυφελίζων
μέγας Ἄρης

117 γρ. φονίαισιν.
130 γρ. καναχής ὑπεροπτίας.
138 γρ. τὰ μὲν ἄλλα, τὰ δ' ἐπ'.

δεξιόσειρος.

ANTISTROPHE I.

And having taken his stand above our roofs, Ravening with spears eager for death Around the outlets of the seven portals, Away he went before his jaws were glutted With Theban blood, Before the flame of torches Had caught our circling coronet of towers. Such and so loud the Martial clatter Which pealed about him as he fled—No easy task to grapple with it! The Dragon was his match in war.

(Anapæstic Movement.)

Zeus exceedingly hateth the boastings of
Misproud language: and soon as he saw them,
In a swollen torrent of gold advancing,
And proud in the rattle of armour,
Forth flew his brandisht bolt at the foe, who,
Scaling our ramparts,
Was beginning the pæan of conquest.

STROPHE II.

Thrown from our walls against the solid earth,
Torch in hand, he fell,
Who then with frantic impulse raging
Hurtled in angry hurricanes against us.
So went the war with him!
Elsewhere great Ares others
Roughly entreated, on the right
Our tug of battle aiding.

έπτα λοχαγοί γαρ έφ' έπτα πύλαις συστημα. β'. ταχθέντες ίσοι πρός ίσους, έλιπον Ζηνὶ τροπαίφ πάγχαλκα τέλη: πλην τοίν στυγεροίν, ώ πατρός ένος μητρός τε μιᾶς Φύντε, καθ' αυτοίν 145 δικρατείς λόγχας στήσαντ, έχετον κοινοῦ θανάτου μέρος ἄμφω.

άλλα εγάρ α μεγαλώνυμος ήλθε Νίκα τα πολυαρμάτω άντιχαρείσα Θήβα,

άντ. β'.

150

έκ μεν δή πολέμων τών νῦν θέσθε λησμοσύναν, θεών δε ναούς γοροίς παννυχίοις πάντας ἐπέλθωμεν ο Θήβας δ' έλελίχθων † Βάκχιος ἄρχοι.

άλλ' όδε γαρ δή βασιλεύς γώρας άντισύστ. β'. 155 Κρέων ο Μενοικέως [† νέον είληχώς άρχήν,] νεοχμός νεαραίσι θεών έπι συντυχίαις χωρεί, τίνα δή μητιν έρέσσων, ότι σύγκλητον τήνδε γερόντων προύθετο λέσχην, 160 κοινώ κηρύγματι πέμψας;

164 γρ. Βακ**γ**εῖος. 166 γρ. Κρ. δ M. νεοχμός κ.τ.λ.

(Anapæstic Movement.)

For seven at seven portals contending, Chief against chief, each left to his foeman His armour of bronze as a trophy for Zeus, Save those two implacable brothers, who Born of one father and mother, with lances Equal in victory, foined till they shared In the fratricide's portion together.

ANTISTROPHE II.

But now that Victory of mighty name
Has come to Theba, rich in cars, with joyous cheer,
Forget the wars that now no longer rage,
And seek we all the temples of the Gods,
With choirs that last the live-long night,
And be the shaker of the Theban land,—
Bacchus,—our dance's leader!

(Anapæstic Movement.)

Lo he approaches—the King of our country, Kreon, the son of Menœkeus; [the vacant Throne he ascended e'en now, and] his rule is New as the fates which the Gods have provided. What counsel revolving summons he here This Senate to list to his words,—each elder By the voice of the herald convening?

(While this movement is singing Kreon enters from the middle door with a long train of attendants, and having taken his seat on the throne, addresses the Chorus.)

Γ. ΕΠΕΙΣΟΔΙΟΝ ΠΡΩΤΟΝ.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

ΑΝΔΡΕΣ, τὰ μὲν δη πόλεος ἀσφαλῶς θεοί. πολλφ σάλφ σείσαντες, ἄρθωσαν πάλιν ύμας δ' έγω πομποίσιν έκ πάντων δίχα έστειλ' ικέσθαι τοῦτο μέν, τὰ Λαΐου 165 σέβοντας είδως εὖ θρόνων ἀεὶ κράτη: τοῦτ' αὖθις, ἡνίκ' Οἰδίπους ὤρθου πόλιν, κάπει διώλετ', άμφι τους κείνων έτι παίδας μένοντας έμπέδοις Φρονήμασιν. ότ' οὖν ἐκεῖνοι πρὸς διπλης μοίρας μίαν 170 καθ' ημέραν ώλοντο, παίσαντές τε καί πληγέντες αὐτόχειρι σὺν μιάσματι, έγω κράτη δη πάντα καὶ θρόνους έγω γένους κατ' άγχιστεῖα τῶν όλωλότων. αμήγανον δε παντός ανδρός εκμαθείν 175 ψυχήν τε καὶ Φρόνημα καὶ γνώμην, πρὶν αν άρχαις τε και νόμοισιν έντριβής φανή, έμοι γάρ, όστις πάσαν εὐθύνων πόλιν, μή των αρίστων απτεται βουλευμάτων, άλλ' έκ φόβου του γλώσσαν † έγκλήσας έχει, 180 κάκιστος είναι νῦν τε καὶ πάλαι δοκεί καὶ μείζον όστις άντὶ τῆς αὐτοῦ πάτρας Φίλον νομίζει, τοῦτον οὐδαμοῦ λέγω. έγω γάρ, ίστω Ζεύς ὁ πάνθ' ὁρῶν ἀεὶ, ουτ' αν σιωπήσαιμι την άτην ορών 185 στείχουσαν άστοις άντι της σωτηρίας, ουτ' αν φίλον ποτ' ανδρα δυσμενή χθονός θείμην έμαυτφ, τουτο γιγνώσκων, ὅτι

180 γρ. έγκλείσας.

III. FIRST EPISODE.

KREON.

Sirs, for the vessel of the state, the Gods Had tossed us in a stormy surge, and now Have righted us again and made us safe. But you by messengers have I speeded here To secret council; first, because I knew How well ye ever held in reverence The enthroned power of Laius; then again, While Œdipus maintained the city's weal, And after he was gone, ye still continued Good subjects to the children of that house. Well: now that they by a twin fate have fallen On one day, each the smiter and the stricken, Stained with the fratricide's blood-guiltiness, I all that power, I that throne possess, On claims of nearest kindred to the dead. There is no man whose soul and will and meaning Stand forth as outward things for all to see, Till he has shown himself by practice versed In ruling under law and making laws. As to myself—it is and was of old My fixed belief, that he is vile indeed Who when the general state his guidance claims Dares not adhere to wisest policy, But keeps his tongue locked up for fear of somewhat. Him too I reckon nowhere who esteems A private friend more than his father-land. For I,—may Zeus who ever seeth all things Witness my words,—I would not hold my peace, If, as the price of my peculiar safety, I saw my citizens unwittingly Exposed to onslaught from the public mischief: Nor would I er count among my friends My country's enemy: for well I know,

ήδ έστιν ή σώζουσα, και ταύτης έπι πλέοντες όρθης τους Φίλους ποιούμεθα. 190 τοιοίσδ έγω νόμοισι τήνδ αύξω πόλιν, καὶ νῦν άδελφὰ τῶνδε κηρύξας έχω άστοισι, παίδων των άπ' Οιδίπου πέρι. Έτεοκλέα μέν, δε πόλεως ύπερμαχῶν όλωλε τησδε, πάντ' άριστεύσας †δόρει, 195 τάφω τε κρύψαι, καὶ τὰ πάντ' έφαγνίσαι, ἃ τοῖς ἀρίστοις ἔρχεται κάτω νεκροῖς. τον δ αὖ ξύναιμον τοῦδε, Πολυνείκην λέγω, δς γην πατρώαν και θεούς τους έγγενεις. Φυγάς κατελθών, ήθέλησε μέν πυρί 200 πρησαι κατάκρας, ήθέλησε δ΄ αίματος κοινοῦ πάσασθαι, τους δε δουλώσας άγειν, τοῦτον πόλει τῆδ †έκκεκήρυκται τάφω μήτε κτερίζειν, μήτε κωκθσαί τινα, έαν δ' άθαπτον και πρός οιωνων δέμας 205 και πρός κυνών έδεστον αικισθέντ' ίδειν. τοιόνδ έμον Φρόνημα κουποτ έκ γ έμου τιμήν προέξουσ οι κακοί των ένδίκων. άλλ' όστις εύνους τήδε τη πόλει, θανών καὶ ζων ὁμοίως έξ έμοῦ τιμήσεται. 210

XOPOΣ.

σοὶ ταῦτ ἀρέσκει, παῖ Μενοικέως Κρέον, τον τῆδε δύσνουν, καὶ τον εὐμενῆ πόλει. νόμφ δὲ χρῆσθαι *πανταχοῦ †πάρεστί σοι, καὶ τῶν θανόντων, χώπόσοι ζῶμεν, πέρι.

¹⁹⁶ γρ. δορί. ⁹⁰⁸ γρ. ἐκκεκηρῦχθαι.

²¹³ γρ. παντί πού τ' Ενεστι.

She is the bark that brings us safe to port; Sailing in her unswayed by sidelong gales We make the only friends we ought to make. By laws like these I seek this city's welfare. And now the herald's voice by my command, In words akin to these, has told the people My will about the sons of Œdipus. For Eteokles, who as this city's champion Bore off the meed of prowess with his spear And fell for us,—not burial alone, But every after-ordinance which soothes The parted souls of the heroic dead. Now for the other brother—Polyneikes— Who, as a runagate returning home, Wished in the flames to burn to nothingness His father-land and tutelary gods, Who wished to glut himself with kindred blood, Or lead away the living as his bondmen,-For him the herald's voice forbids this city To pay or funeral rites or lamentations, But sternly orders that his body lie Unsepulchred and devoured by birds and dogs-A most unsightly spectacle to view. Such is my will .--And if it rests with me, the base shall never Forestall the rightful honours of the righteous. But whose leves this city, both in death And life shall be alike esteemed by me.

CHORUS.

We hear thy will, Kreon, Mencekeus' son, Upon this city's foeman and her friend. It rests with thee to give the law full play, As for the dead, so for us all who live.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

ώς αν σκοποί νυν ήτε των είρημένων.

215

ΧΟΡΟΣ.

νεωτέρφ τφ τοῦτο βαστάζειν πρόθες.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

άλλ' είσ' ετοιμοι τοῦ νεκροῦ γ' επίσκοποι.

ΧΟΡΟΣ.

τί δητ' αν άλλο τοῦτ' ἐπεντέλλοις ἔτι;

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

τὸ μὴ ΄πιχωρεῖν τοῖς ἀπιστοῦσιν τάδε.

ΧΟΡΟΣ.

ούκ έστιν ούτω μώρος, δε θανείν έρ ...

220

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

καὶ μὴν ὁ μισθός γ' οὖτος. ἀλλ' ὑπ' ἐλπίδων ἄνδρας τὸ κέρδος πολλάκις διώλεσεν.

ΦΥΛΑΞ.

ἄναξ, ἐρῶ μὲν οὐχ ὅπως τάχους ὕπο δύσπνους ἰκάνω κοῦφον ἐξάρας πόδα.
πολλὰς γὰρ ἔσχον Φροντίδων ἐπιστάσεις, 225 ὁδοῖς κυκλῶν ἐμαυτὸν εἰς ἀναστροφήν.
Ψυχὴ γὰρ ηὕδα πολλά μοι μυθουμένη τάλας, τί χωρεῖς, οἶ μολῶν δώσεις δίκην;
τλήμων, μενεῖς αὖ; κεί τάδ εἴσεται Κρέων ἄλλου παρ ἀνδρὸς, πῶς σὐ δῆτ οὐκ ἀλγυνεῖ;— 230

KREON.

Be watchers then to speed the words ye hear!

CHORUS.

Impose this office on some younger man.

KREON.

Well, well, the watchers of the corpse are ready.

CHORUS.

What further office hast thou for another?

KREON.

See that ye countenance not the disobedient.

CHORUS.

Most foolish is the fool that fain would die.

KREON.

Aye, of a truth, the meed is what thou sayest. But backed by hope, lucre has ruined many.

(The Sentinel enters from the right.)

SENTINEL.

My liege, I cannot say that from very haste I come panting for breath, having stept out with nimble paces. Troth: I have had many half-way houses of cogitation, wheeling about after every fresh start as though I would return. In fact, my soul often addressed me with some such tale as this: "Why goest, simpleton, where to be come is to be punished?" then again: "What! wilt not away, poor wretch? and if Kreon shall learn these tidings from some one else, how

τοιαῦθ΄ ἐλίσσων ἥνυτον σχολῆ †ταχύς.
χοῦτως όδὸς βραχεῖα γίγνεται μακρά.
τέλος γε μέν τοι δεῦρ΄ ἐνίκησεν μολεῖν
σοί κεὶ τὸ μηδὲν ἐξερῶ, φράσω δ΄ ὅμως.
τῆς ἐλπίδος γὰρ ἔρχομαι δεδραγμένος,
τὸ μὴ παθεῖν ἃν ἄλλο πλὴν τὸ μόρσιμον.

235

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

τί δ έστιν, ανθ ου τήνδ έχεις άθυμίαν;

ΦΥΛΑΞ.

φράσαι θέλω σοι πρώτα τάμαυτοῦ, τὸ γὰρ πρᾶγμ' οὕτ' ἔδρασ', οὕτ' εἶδον ὅστις ἦν ὁ δρῶν' οὐδ' ᾶν δικαίως ἐς κακὸν πέσοιμί τι. 240

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

εῦ γε †στεγάζει, †κάποφάργνυσαι κύκλφ τὸ πραγμα. δηλοῖς δ' ώς τι σημανῶν νέον.

ΦΥΛΑΞ.

τα δεινά γάρ τοι προστίθησ' ὅκνον πολύν.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

οὔκουν έρεῖς ποτ', εἶτ' ἀπαλλαχθεὶς ἄπει;

ΦΥΛΑΞ.

καὶ δή λέγω σοι. τον νεκρόν τις άρτίως θάψας βέβηκε, κάπὶ χρωτὶ διψίαν κόνιν παλύνας, κάφαγιστεύσας ἃ χρή.

245

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

τί φής; τίς ανδρων ην ο τολμήσας τάδε;

ΦΥΛΑΞ.

ούκ οἶδ'. ἐκεῖ γὰρ οὕτε του γενῆδος ἦν πλῆγμ', οὐ δικέλλης ἐκβολή στύφλος δὲ γῆ 250 251 γρ. βραδύς.

then wilt thou escape the penalty?" While thus my mind revolved, the speed I made was tardy in its swiftness: and so a short road is made long. Well; at last coming hither to thee carried the day; and though thou mayest think my words naught, I yet will speak. For here come I, with griping hold fast clinging to the hope, that I can but suffer what my fate demands.

KREON.

What grounds hast thou for this despondency?

SENTINEL.

I fain would tell thee first about myself.

The deed I neither did nor saw the doer:

Nor were it just that I should come to mischief.

KREON.

Whate'er the matter is, thou fencest well, And mak'st a hedge all round thee. And 'tis clear' 'Tis something disagreeable to hear.

SENTINEL.

True: threats of danger needs must give us pause.

KREON.

Well: speak at once, and take thyself away.

SENTINEL.

At once I tell thee. Some one has just now Entombed the body and is gone; that is, He has sprinkled thirsty dust over the corpse And done what else religious fear requires.

KREON.

How sayest thou !---

What man is he who dared to do this deed?

SENTINEL

I know not, I: for there was neither blow Of any mattock, nor the earth thrown up και γέρσος, άρρωξ ουδ έπημαξευμένη τρογοίσιν, άλλ' άσημος ουργάτης τις ην. ύπως δ ο πρώτος ημίν ημεροσκόπος δείκνυσι, πασι θαθμα δυσχερές παρήν. ο μεν γάρ ήφάνιστο, τυμβήρης μεν ού, 255 λεπτή δ, άγος Φεύγοντος ώς, έπην κόνις. σημεία δ' ούτε θηρός, ούτε του κυνών έλθόντος, ού σπάσαντος έξεφαίνετο. λόγοι δ' ἐν ἀλλήλοισιν ἐρρόθουν κακοὶ, Φύλαξ έλέγχων Φύλακα καν έγίγνετο 260 πληγή τελευτῶσ', οὐδ' ὁ κωλύσων παρῆν. els γάρ τις ην έκαστος ούξειργασμένος, κούδεις έναργής, άλλ' έφευγε μη είδεναι. ήμεν δ έτοιμοι καὶ μύδρους αίρειν χεροίν, καὶ πῦρ διέρπειν, καὶ θεούς ορκωμοτείν, 265 το μήτε δρασαι, μήτε τω ξυνειδέναι τὸ πραγμα βουλεύσαντι, μήτ' εἰργασμένω. τέλος δ', ότ' ουδεν ην ερευνώσιν πλέου, λέγει τις είς, δς πάντας ές πέδον κάρα νεῦσαι Φόβφ προύτρεψεν. οὐ γάρ εἴχομεν 270 ουτ' αντιφωνείν, ουθ' όπως δρώντες καλώς πράξαιμεν. ήν δ' ο μῦθος, ως άνοιστέον σοὶ τουργον είη τοῦτο, κούχὶ κρυπτέον. καὶ ταῦτ' ἐνίκα, κάμὲ τὸν δυσδαίμονα πάλος καθαιρεί τοῦτο τάγαθὸν λαβειν. 275 πάρειμι δ' ἄκων οὐχ ἐκοῦσιν, οἶδ' ὅτι. στέργει γάρ ούδελς άγγελον κακῶν ἐπῶν.

ΧΟΡΟΣ.

άναξ, έμοί τοι, μή τι καὶ θεήλατον τοὖργον τόδ', ἡ ξύννοια βουλεύει πάλαι.

²⁶³ γρ. το μη.

By shovelling: but the ground was hard and dry: Unbroken and untracked by rut of wheels; And he who worked had left no trace behind him. When the first day-watch pointed to the deed, On all fell wonder mixed with pain. Was out of sight-not closed within a tomb, But lightly over-heapt with sprinkled dust, As when some passer-by will shun the curse. Nor were there outward signs that beast or dog Had come and torn him. Thereupon among us The bandied threat sped up and down; each guard Accused his fellow; and at last it seemed That blows would come; nor was the make-peace by. For each man stood indicted of the deed. And no man was convicted, but the plea Was ignorance of the facts. And ready were we The glowing steel to handle, and to walk Through fire, or swear us by the Gods that we Had neither done the deed nor had consented To either him who planned or him who did it. But when with all our probes we got no farther, There spoke out some one, and his words were such That to the ground we bowed our heads in fear. For we had neither skill to say him nay, Nor knew we doing what we should do well. His counsel was-to tell the whole to thee, And not to mask it from thee. This prevailed, And then the lot condemns me, hapless wight, To get this piece of luck. So here I come, Unwilling to the unwilling well I wot: For no one loves the bearer of bad tidings.

CHORUS.

To me, O King, the thought is present ever— This was some dispensation from the Gods.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

παῦσαι, πρὶν ὀργής †καί με μεστῶσαι, λέγων, 280 μή 'Φευρεθής ανους τε καὶ γέρων αμα. λέγεις γάρ ούκ άνεκτά, δαίμονας λέγων πρόνοιαν ἴσχειν τοῦδε τοῦ νεκροῦ πέρι. πότερον υπερτιμώντες ώς εὐεργέτην έκρυπτον αυτόν, ύστις άμφικίονας 285 ναούς πυρώσων ήλθε κάναθήματα, καὶ γην έκείνων καὶ νόμους διασκεδών; ή τούς κακούς τιμώντας είσορας θεούς; ούκ ἔστιν, άλλὰ ταῦτα καὶ πάλαι πόλεως ανδρες μόλις φέροντες έρρόθουν έμοὶ, 290 κρυφη κάρα σείοντες ούδ ύπο ζυγφ λόφον δικαίως είγον, ως στέργειν έμέ. έκ τωνδε τούτους έξεπίσταμαι καλώς παρηγμένους μισθοῖσιν εἰργάσθαι τάδε. ούδεν γαρ ανθρώποισιν, οίον άργυρος, 295 κακὸν νόμισμ' έβλαστε τοῦτο καὶ πόλεις πορθεί, τόδ ἄνδρας έξανίστησιν δύμων τόδ έκδιδάσκει καὶ παραλλάσσει Φρένας χρηστάς πρός αίσχρά πράγμαθ' ίστασθαι βροτών πανουργίας δ' έδειξεν ανθρώποις έχειν, 300 καὶ παντὸς έργου δυσσέβειαν είδεναι. όσοι δέ μισθαρνοῦντες ήνυσαν τάδε, χρόνφ ποτ' έξέπραξαν ώς δοῦναι δίκην. άλλ' είπερ ἴσχει Ζεὺς ἔτ' έξ έμοῦ σέβας, εῦ τοῦτ' ἐπίστασ', ὅρκιος δέ σοι λέγω, 305

⁹⁸⁰ γρ. κάμὲ.

KREON.

Hold, ere your words fill me with very rage, Nor prove yourself foolish at once and old. Not to be borne the words thou say'st in saying That Gods keep watchful heed for this vile corpse. What! was it then because his benefactions Had won their high esteem-was it for this They sought to bury him who came to burn Their pillar-girded temples and their treasures,— To scatter to the winds their land and laws? Or is it thy experience that the Gods Honour the base? No! That was not the cause; But these enactments from the first misliking, Some of our townsmen murmured against me, Shaking their heads in silence, and they kept not Their necks in equal poise beneath the yoke So as to meet my favour. Well I know These with their bribes have won the sentinels To perpetrate this deed. For there is nothing, Of all the coinage current in the world, So base as silver. This it is, nought else, That sacks the city; this it is, nought else, That parts the goodman from his hearth and home; This too unteaches and perverts the minds Of upright mortals, till they take their post Upon the side of ignominious actions; This points the way of knavery to mankind, And finds a school for every deed of sin. Yet they whom pelf has prompted to this work At length have all secured their punishment. Nay more, if Zeus upholds my sovran awe, Be well assured, and with an oath I say it,

1

εί μη τον αὐτόχειρα τοῦδε τοῦ τάφου εὐρόντες ἐκφανεῖτ' ἐς ὀφθαλμοὺς ἐμοὺς, οὐχ ὑμῖν "Αιδης μοῦνος ἀρκέσει, πρὶν ᾶν ζῶντες κρεμαστοὶ τήνδε δηλώσηθ' ὕβριν, τὸ λοιπὸν ἀρπάζητε, καὶ μάθηθ', ὅτι οὐκ ἐξ ἄπαντος δεῖ τὸ κερδαίνειν φιλεῖν. ἐκ τῶν γὰρ αἰσχρῶν λημμάτων τοὺς πλείονας ἀτωμένους "ίδοις ᾶν ἡ σεσωσμένους.

310

ΦΥΛΑΞ.

είπειν τι δώσεις, ή στραφείς ούτως ζω;

315

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

ούκ οίσθα καὶ νῦν ώς ἀνιαρῶς λέγεις;

ΦΥΛΑΞ.

έν τοίσιν ώσιν, ή 'πι τη ψυχή δάκνει;

KPEΩN.

τι δε ρυθμίζεις την έμην λύπην δπου;

ΦΥΛΑΞ.

ο δρών σ' ανιά τας φρένας, τα δ' ωτ' έγω.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

οίμ', ως †άλημα δηλον έκπεφυκός εί.

320

ΦΥΛΑΞ.

οὖκουν τό †γ' ἔργον τοῦτο ποιήσας ποτέ.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

καὶ ταῦτ' ἐπ' ἀργύρφ γε τὴν ψυχὴν προδούς.

ΦΥΛΑΞ.

φεῦ.

η δεινον φ δοκεί γε και ψευδη δοκείν.

²⁰⁰ γρ. λάλημα.

⁸⁸¹ γρ. τόδ'.

Unless ye find and openly produce
Before my eyes the man whose very hands
Performed these obsequies, your death alone
Shall not suffice, until, hung up alive,
Ye have denounced the insolent offender.
To the end that, knowing whence to get your gains,
Ye may pursue your filching, till ye learn
That love of pelf must somewhere find its limit;
For by degrading lucre thou mayest see
More men get mischief than security.

SENTINEL.

Wilt let me speak, or must I go at once?

KREON.

Know'st not that even now thy words offend?

SENTINEL.

Where is the pinch? i' th' ears or in the soul?

KREON.

Why mark the boundary line of my displeasure?

SENTINEL.

The doer plagues thy heart; I, but thine ears.

KREON.

Oh! it is clear thou art a coxcomb born.

SENTINEL.

It may be so; but not who did this deed.

KREON.

Thou didst it, man, selling thy soul for silver.

SENTINEL.

Alas!

'Tis sad when one thinks good to think a lie. soph. Ant.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

κόμψευε νῦν τὴν δόξαν' εἰ δὲ ταῦτα μὴ φανεῖτέ μοι τοὺς δρῶντας, έξερεῖθ', ὅτι τὰ †δειλὰ κέρδη πημονάς έργάζεται.

325

ΦΥΛΑΞ.

άλλ' εὐρεθείη μὲν μάλιστ' ἐἀν δέ τοι ληφθη τε καὶ μη, τοῦτο γὰρ τύχη κρινεῖ, οὐκ ἔσθ' ὅπως ὅψει σὰ δεῦρ' ἐλθόντα με. καὶ νῦν γὰρ ἐκτὸς ἐλπίδος γνώμης τ' ἐμῆς σωθεὶς, ὀφείλω τοῖς θεοῖς πολλην χάριν.

330

Δ. ΣΤΑΣΙΜΟΝ ΠΡΩΤΟΝ.

ΧΟΡΟΣ.

Πολλα τὰ δεινὰ, κοὐδὲν ἀν-στροφή ά. θρώπου δεινότερον πέλει.
τοῦτο καὶ πολιοῦ πέραν
πόντου χειμερίψ νότψ 335
χωρεῖ, περιβρυχίοισιν
περῶν ἐπ' οἴδμασιν,
θεῶν τε τὰν ὑπερτάταν, Γᾶν
ἄφθιτον, ἀκαμάταν ἀποτρύεται
ἰλλομένων ἀρότρων ἔτος εἰς ἔτος,
ὑππείψ γένει πολεύων.

†κουφονόων τε φῦλον όρνίθων ἀμφιβαλών ἄγει, άντιστ. ά.

²⁸⁶ γρ. δεινα.

³⁴⁸ γρ. κουφονεων.

KREON.

Prate as thou wilt on *thinking*, but unless Ye point me out the doers, ye shall say That sneaking profits only purchase pain.

SENTINEL.

Nay, by all means I would the man were known:
Be he caught or not, for luck will settle this,
Thou wilt not see me coming here again.
E'en now preserved beyond my hope and thought,
I owe a debt of gratitude to heaven.

IV. FIRST STASIMON.

CHORUS.

STROPHE I.

Many the things that mighty be,
And nought is mightier than—Man.

For he can cross the foaming ocean,
What time the stormy South is blowing,
Steering amid the mantling waves that roar around him.
And for his uses he wearieth
Earth, the highest Deity,
The immortal, the untiring one,
As year by year the ploughs are drawn
Up and down the furrow'd field,
To and fro his harness'd teams—
The seed of horses—driving.

ANTISTROPHE I.

Man, full of ingenuity,
Entraps in folds of woven meshes
And leads away the tribe
Of flighty-purpos'd birds,

1

καὶ θηρῶν ἀγρίων ἔθνη,
πόντου τ' εἰναλίαν φύσιν
σπεἰραισι δικτυοκλώστοις,
περιφραδὴς ἀνήρ
κρατεῖ δὲ μηχαναῖς ἀγραύλου
θηρὸς ὀρεσσιβάτα, λασιαύχενα θ'
ἵππον †ὀχμάζεται ἀμφὶ λόφον† ζυγῶν
οὕρειόν τ' ἀδμῆτα ταῦρον.

καὶ φθέγμα καὶ ἡνεμόεν φρό- στροφή β΄. νημα καὶ ἀστυνόμους ὀργὰς ἐδιδάξατο καὶ δυσαύλων
πάγων †ὑπαίθρεια καὶ 355
δύσομβρα φεύγειν βέλη.
παντοπόρος,
ἄπορος ἐπ΄ οὐδὲν ἔρχεται
τὸ μέλλον "Αιδα μόνον
φεῦξιν οἰκ ἐπάξεται' 360
νόσων δ' ἀμηχάνων φυγὰς
ξυμπέφρασται.

σοφόν τι τὸ μηχανόεν τέχ- ἀντιστ. β΄. νας ὑπὲρ ἐλπίδ ἔχων, ποτὲ μὲν κακὸν, ἄλλοτ ἐπ' ἐσθλὸν ἔρπει 365 νόμους † γεραίρων χθονὸς θεῶν τ' ἔνορκον δίκαν, ὑψίπολις

**ο γρ. ἄξεται ἀμφίλοφον ζυγὸν.
 **ο γρ. παρείρων.

And the kindreds of wild beasts,
And the ocean brood, whose home is in the waters.
With wiles he tames
The mountain-beast that roams the moor:
And fastens, yoking him about the neck,
The long-maned steed and stubborn mountain-bull.

STROPHE II.

Language, and lofty thought,
And dispositions meet for order'd cities,
These he hath taught himself;—and how to shun
The shafts of comfortless winter,—
Both those which smite when the sky is clear,
And those which fall in showers;—
With plans for all things,
Planless in nothing, meets he the future!
Of death alone the avoidance
No foreign aid will bring.
But from disease, that sports with skill,
He hath gotten him means of fleeing.

ANTISTROPHE II.

Wise in his craft of art

Beyond the bounds of expectation,

The while to good he goes, the while to evil.

Honouring his country's laws and heaven's oathbound right,

High is he in the state!

άπολις ὅτφ τὸ μὴ καλὸν ξύνεστι: τόλμας χάριν μήτ' ἐμοὶ παρέστιος γένοιτο, μήτ' ἴσον φρονῶν, ὅς τάδ' ἔρδει.

370

ές δαιμόνιον τέρας άμφινοῶ (σύστημα).
τόδε. πῶς εἰδῶς ἀντιλογήσω 375
τήνδ' οὐκ εἶναι παῖδ' Αντιγόνην;
ὧ δύστηνος,
καὶ δυστήνου πατρὸς Οἰδιπόδα,
τί ποτ'; οὐ δή που σέ γ' ἀπιστοῦσαν
τοῖς βασιλείοις †ἀπάγουσι νόμοις, 380
καὶ ἐν ἀφροσύνη καθελόντες;

Ε. ΕΠΕΙΣΟΔΙΟΝ ΔΕΥΤΕΡΟΝ.

ΦΥΛΑΞ.

ΉΔ΄ ἔστ' ἐκείνη τοὖργον ἡ 'ξειργασμένη. τήνδ' είλομεν θάπτουσαν. ἀλλὰ ποῦ Κρέων;

ΧΟΡΟΣ.

όδ εκ δόμων άψορρος είς δέον περά.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

τί δ΄ ἔστι; ποία ξύμμετρος προύβην τύχη; 385

ΦΥΛΑΞ.

άναξ, βροτοίσιν ούδεν έστ' απώμοτον.

380 γρ. ἄγουσιν.

But cityless is he with whom inherent baseness dwells; When boldness dares so much, No seat by me at festive hearth, No seat by me in sect or party, For him that sinneth!

(Sentinel re-enters with Antigone, guarded.)

CHORUS.

(Anapæstic Movement.)

Gazing with doubt and wonder I look on this Strangest of sights! how dare I belie my Knowledge that this is the maid Antigone? Hapless princess!
Child of a hapless sire, Œdipodes!
Tell us—ah surely they are not bringing thee Hither, defiant of royal commandments, In the act of foolishness taken!

V. SECOND EPISODE.

SENTINEL.

'Tis she who did the deed. We took her paying The funeral obsequies. But where is Kreon?

CHORUS.

See, in good time, he cometh forth again.

(Enter Kreon.)

KREON.

What hap holds sortance with my coming forth?

SENTINEL.

My liege, a man should never swear he will not;

ψεύδει γὰρ ἡ 'πίνοια τὴν γνώμην' ἐπεὶ
σχολῆ ποθ' ἤξειν δεῦρ' ἀν ἐξηύχουν ἐγὼ,
ταῖς σαῖς ἀπειλαῖς, αἴς ἐχειμάσθην τότε.
ἀλλ', ἡ γὰρ ἐκτὸς καὶ παρ' ἐλπίδας χαρὰ 390
ἔοικεν ἄλλη μῆκος οὐδὲν ἡδονῆ,
ἤκω, δι ὅρκων καίπερ ῶν ἀπώμοτος,
κόρην ἄγων τήνδ', ἣ καθευρέθη τάφον
κοσμοῦσα. κλῆρος ἐνθάδ' οὐκ ἐπάλλετο,
ἀλλ' ἔστ' ἐμὸν θοῦρμαιον, οὐκ ἄλλου, τόδε. 395
καὶ νῦν, ἄναξ, τήνδ' αὐτὸς, ὡς θέλεις, λαβὼν,
καὶ κρῖνε κάξέλεγχ' ἐγὼ δ' ἐλεύθερος
δίκαιός εἰμι τῶνδ' ἀπηλλάγθαι κακῶν.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

άγεις δὲ τήνδε τῷ τρόπψ πόθεν λαβών;

ΦΥΛΑΞ.

αὕτη τὸν ἄνδρ' ἔθαπτε. πάντ' ἐπίστασαι.

400

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

η και ξυνίης και λέγεις όρθως α φής;

ΦΥΛΑΞ.

ταύτην γ' †ίδων θάπτουσαν δυ σὺ τὸν νεκρον άπεῖπας. ἆρ' ἔνδηλα καὶ σαφῆ λέγω;

KPEON.

καὶ πῶς ὁρᾶται, κάπίληπτος † ἡρέθη;

ΦΥΛΑΞ.

τοιοῦτον ἦν τὸ πρᾶγμ΄. ὅπως γὰρ ἤκομεν, 405 πρὸς σοῦ τὰ δείν ἐκεῖν ἐπηπειλημένοι, πᾶσαν κόνιν σήραντες, ἣ κατεῖχε τὸν 408 γρ. τὸον.

For second thoughts belie the intention. Thus, When that thy storm of threats had greeted me, I boldly said my coming here again Would, if I came at all, be long and slow. But still in spite of oaths behold me here—
For joy, which hopes surprises and transcends, Is like no other pleasure in extent—
Bringing this maid, who was detected paying The funeral honours: here no lot was drawn, But this is mine, none other's lucky find.
And now, my liege, just take her as it likes thee, And test and question: right it is that I Should be well quit and free from all these troubles.

KREON.

Whence and how taken bringest thou this damsel?

SENTINEL.

She tried to bury him—thou knowest all.

KREON.

Dost understand and speak'st thy words discreetly?

SENTINEL.

Yes, for I saw her burying the corpse By thee denounced. Are my words plain and clear?

KREON.

How was she seen and taken in the fact?

SENTINEL.

The circumstance was thus. When we returned, Urged by such fearful menaces from thee, We swept clean off the dust which covered him,

νέκυν, μυδών τε σώμα γυμνώσαντες εὖ, καθήμεθ' ἄκρων έκ πάγων ὑπήνεμοι, όσμην απ' αύτοῦ μη βάλοι, πεφευγότες, 410 έγερτι κινών ἄνδρ ἀνηρ ἐπιρρόθοις κακοισιν, εί τις τουδ' άφειδήσοι πόνου. γρόνον τάδ ην τοσοῦτον, ές τ' έν αίθέρι μέσφ κατέστη λαμπρός ήλίου κύκλος, καὶ καθμ' έθαλπε καὶ τότ' έξαίφνης χθονός 415 τυφώς άειρας σκηπτον, ουράνιον άγος, πίμπλησι πεδίον, πασαν αικίζων φόβην ύλης πεδιάδος έν δ΄ έμεστώθη μέγας αίθήρ μύσαντες δ είχομεν θείαν νόσον. καὶ τοῦδ ἀπαλλαγέντος ἐν χρόνφ μακρῷ, 420 ή παις οραται, κάνακωκύει πικράς όρνιθος όξυν Φθόγγον, ώς όταν κενής εύνης νεοσσών όρφανον βλέψη λέχος. ούτω δε χαύτη, ψιλον ώς ορά νέκυν, γόοισιν έξψμωξεν, έκ δ' άρας κακας 425 ήρατο τοίσι τουργον έξειργασμένοις. καὶ χερσὶν εύθὺς διψίαν Φέρει κόνιν, έκ τ' ευκροτήτου χαλκέας άρδην πρόχου χοαίσι τρισπόνδοισι τον νέκυν στέφει. γήμεις ιδόντες ιέμεσθα, σύν δέ νιν 430 θηρώμεθ' εὐθὺς οὐδὲν ἐκπεπληγμένην. και τάς τε πρόσθεν τάς τε νῦν ἡλέγχομεν πράξεις άπαρνος δ' ούδενος καθίστατο †άμ' ήδέως έμοιγε κάλγεινως άμα. τὸ μὲν γάρ αὐτὸν ἐκ κακῶν πεφευγέναι, 435 ήδιστον ές κακὸν δὲ τοὺς Φίλους ἄγειν,

 431 $\gamma \rho$. $\vec{a} \lambda \lambda'$.

And baring thoroughly the clammy corpse, We sat so far beneath the hill-top that The wind blew o'er our heads, lest peradventure Some evil odour from the corse should reach us. And each man stirred his fellow, rousing him With bandied threats, if any, carelessly, This work neglected. So it was until The sun's refulgent orb stood now midway In the clear sky, and the heat began to burn. Then suddenly a rushing mighty wind Raised from the ground a circling cloud of dust, A heaven-sent trouble! and it filled the plain, Marring with ugly rack the tress-like foliage Of all the olive-groves that fringed the meadow; And e'en the lofty sky was choked with it. With eyes set fast, we bore this god-sent plague; And when at length it cleared away, this damsel Was straightway seen. In loud and treble tones She lifted up her voice, like some sad bird Which finds her young torn from her emptied nest. So she, when she beheld the corpse uncovered, With groans bewailed herself, and bitter curses She called down upon those who did the deed. Without delay in both her hands she bears The thirsty dust, and raising in the air The well-wrought pitcher made of hammered bronze, She poured around the corpse the threefold streams. Soon as we saw this deed we rushed upon her, And all together brought the game to bay. Not terrified was she; and when we charged her With both the former and the present deeds, She nought disowned, so as to gladden me And grieve me too. For though most sweet it is Oneself to escape from trouble, yet to bring

αλγεινόν. αλλά πάντα ταῦθ ήσσω λαβεῖν έμοὶ πέφυκε τῆς έμῆς σωτηρίας.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

σε δή, σε την νεύουσαν ές πέδον κάρα, Φης η καταρνεί μη δεδρακέναι τάδε;

440

ΑΝΤΙΓΟΝΗ.

καὶ φημὶ δρασαι, κούκ ἀπαρνοῦμαι τὸ μή.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

σὺ μὲν κομίζοις αν σεαυτόν, ἢ θέλεις, ἔξω βαρείας αἰτίας ἐλεύθερον. σὺ δ΄ εἰπέ μοι, μὴ μῆκος, ἀλλὰ σύντομα, ἤδης τὰ κηρυχθέντα, μὴ πράσσειν τάδε;

445

ANTICONH.

ήδη. τί δ΄ οὐκ ἔμελλου; ἐμφανῆ γὰρ ἡν.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

καὶ δητ' ετόλμας τούσδ' ὑπερβαίνειν νόμους;

ANTICONH.

οὐ γάρ τί μοι Ζεὺς ἦν ὁ κηρύξας τάδε, οὐδ ἡ ξύνοικος τῶν κάτω θεῶν Δίκη, οῦ τούσδ ἐν ἀνθρώποισιν ὥρισαν νόμους. οὐδὲ σθένειν τοσοῦτον ῷόμην τὰ σὰ κηρύγμαθ, ὥστ ἄγραπτα κἀσφαλῆ θεῶν νόμιμα δύνασθαι θνητὸν ὄνθ ὑπερδραμεῖν. οὐ γάρ τι νῦν τε κάχθὲς, ἀλλ ἀεί ποτε ζῆ ταῦτα, κοὐδεὶς οἶδεν έξ ὅτου 'φάνη. τούτων ἐγῶ οὐκ ἔμελλον, ἀνδρὸς οὐδενὸς φρόνημα δείσασ', ἐν θεοῖσι τὴν δίκην

450

455

A friend into misfortune is most sad. But these and such like thoughts, as 'tis my nature, I set aside my safety to ensure.

KREON.

Ho! thou that sinkest to the ground thine eyes, Sayest thou or dost deny this deed was thine.

ANTIGONE.

I say I did it: I deny it not.

KREON.

Now, sirrah, take thee wheresoe'er thou wilt, Free from this heavy charge. (Exit Sentinel.)

But tell me, thou,

And not at large, but briefly, didst thou know The proclamation which forbade this deed?

ANTIGONE.

I knew it-wherefore not? twas plain enough.

KREON.

And durst thou natheless overstep these laws?

ANTIGONE.

It was not Zeus who heralded these words,
Nor Justice, help-meet of the Gods below.
'Twas they who ratified those other laws,
And set their record in the human heart.
Nor did I deem thy heraldings so mighty,
That thou, a mortal man, could'st trample on
The unwritten and unchanging laws of heaven.
They are not of to-day or yesterday;
But ever live, and no one knows their birth-tide.
These, for the dread of any human anger,
I was not minded to annul, and so
Incur the punishment which heaven exacts.

δώσειν. θανουμένη γὰρ ἐξήδη, τί δ΄ οὔ;
κεὶ μὴ σὐ προὐκήρυξας. εἰ δὲ τοῦ χρόνου
πρόσθευ θανοῦμαι, κέρδος αὕτ' ἐγὼ λέγω.
ὅστις γὰρ ἐν πολλοῖσιν, ὡς ἐγὼ, κακοῖς
ζῆ, πῶς ὅδ΄ οὐχὶ κατθανῶν κέρδος φέρει;
οὕτως ἔμοιγε τοῦδε τοῦ μόρου τυχεῖν
παρ' οὐδὲν ἄλγος ἀλλ' ἀν, εἰ τὸν ἐξ ἐμῆς
μητρὸς θανόντ' ἄθαπτον ἡνσχόμην νέκυν,
κείνοις ἀν ἡλγουν τοῖσδε δ΄ οὐκ ἀλγύνομαι.
σοὶ δ΄ εἰ δοκῶ νῦν μῶρα δρῶσα τυγχάνειν,
σχεδόν τι μώρω μωρίαν ὀΦλισκάνω.

460

465

ΧΟΡΟΣ.

δηλοι το γέννημ ωμον έξ ωμου πατρος της παιδός είκειν δ' οὐκ ἐπίσταται κακοίς.

470

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

αλλ΄ ἴσθι τοι τὰ σκλήρ΄ ἄγαν φρονήματα πίπτειν μάλιστα· καὶ τὸν ἐγκρατέστατον σίδηρον ὁπτὸν ἐκ πυρὸς περισκελῆ θραυσθέντα καὶ ῥαγέντα πλεῖστ΄ ἀν εἰσίδοις. σμικρῷ χαλινῷ δ΄ οἶδα τοὺς θυμουμένους ἵππους καταρτυθέντας. οὐ γὰρ ἐκπέλει φρονεῖν μέγ΄ ὅστις δοῦλός ἐστι τῶν πέλας. αὕτη δ΄ ὑβρίζειν μὲν τότ΄ ἐξηπίστατο, νόμους ὑπερβαίνουσα τοὺς προκειμένους · ὕβρις δ΄, ἐπεὶ δέδρακεν, ῆδε δευτέρα, τούτοις ἐπαυχεῖν, καὶ δεδρακυῖαν γελῷν. ἢ νῦν ἐγὼ μὲν οὐκ ἀνὴρ, αὕτη δ΄ ἀνὴρ,

475

480

I knew—how should I not? that I must die,
Without thy proclamations to foredoom it.
And if my time is shortened, this to me
Is gain indeed. For whoso lives, as I live,
Beset with many sorrows, how does he
Not win by dying? Hence, to me at least,
Thus to have met with death is not a grief,
Which I can count or reckon. Had I suffered
My mother's dear dead child to lie unburied,
Then grief would vex my heart; but now I grieve not.
For thee—if this my deed seems foolishness,
The fool has caught the foolish in her folly.

CHORUS.

How the stern father speaks in his stern child! She knows not, she, to bow beneath the storm.

KREON.

Be well assured the stubborn temper still Is bent the soonest, and the hardest iron, When forged to brittleness, is oftenest seen To crack and splinter. So I know that steeds Of a high mettle yield to a small bit. For whosoever owns a master's will, Him the proud stomach ill beseems. This damsel First learned the knack of insolent offence, When she transgressed the promulgated laws. That done, her second insolence was this—

To boast her evil deed and revel in it.

Then, marry, I'm no man, but she is one,

εί ταῦτ' ἀνατὶ τῆδε κείσεται κράτη.
ἀλλ' εἴτ' ἀδελφῆς, εἴθ' ὁμαιμονεστέρας
τοῦ παντὸς ἡμῖν Ζηνὸς Ἑρκείου κυρεῖ, 485
αὐτή τε χή ξύναιμος οὐκ ἀλύξετον
μόρου κακίστου. καὶ γὰρ οὖν κείνην ἴσον
ἐπαιτιῶμαι τοῦδε βουλεῦσαι τάφου.
καί νιν καλεῖτ'. ἔσω γὰρ εἶδον ἀρτίως
λυσσῶσαν αὐτὴν, οὐδ' ἐπήβολον φρενῶν. 490
φιλεῖ δ' ὁ θυμὸς πρόσθεν ἡρῆσθαι κλοπεὺς
τῶν μηδὲν ὀρθῶς ἐν σκότῳ τεχνωμένων.
μισῶ γε μέντοι χῶταν ἐν κακοῖσί τις
άλοὺς ἔπειτα τοῦτο καλλύνειν θέλη.

ANTIFONH.

θέλεις τι μείζον ή κατακτείναι μ' έλών;

495

KPEΩN.

έγω μεν ούδεν τοῦτ΄ έχων, ἄπαντ΄ έχω.

ANTIFONH.

τί δητα μέλλεις; ως έμοι των σων λόγων άρεστον ούδεν, μηδ΄ άρεσθείη ποτέ ούτω δε και σοι ταμ΄ άφανδάνοντ΄ έφυ. καιτοι πόθεν κλέος γ΄ αν εὐκλεέστερον 500 κατέσχον, η του αὐτάδελφον έν τάφω τιθεισα; τούτοις τοῦτο πασιν ἀνδάνειν λέγοιτ΄ αν, εἰ μη γλωσσαν †έγκληοι φόβος άλλ΄ η τυραννὶς πολλά τ΄ άλλ΄ εὐδαιμονεί, κάξεστιν αὐτῆ δραν, λέγειν θ΄, α βούλεται. 505

503 γρ. ἐγκλείσοι.

If she unscathed shall flout my sovranty. But be she sister's child, or born of one Of nearer kindred to my blood than all Who worship Zeus at our domestic altar, She and her sister shall not fend away A death most dire. For her, in equal sort. I charge with framing plans for this interment. And summon her. I saw her even now Within the palace raving, and unable And so it is—the mind To rule her thoughts. Is first detected in its knavery. When dark devices aim at wickedness. Howbeit, to me it is no less abhorrent, When, caught in criminality, the culprit Seeks with fine words to beautify his deed.

ANTIGONE.

Wouldst thou aught more than thus to take and slay me?

KREON.

Nought else-this done, my every wish is sated.

ANTIGONE.

Why loiter then? the words which thou hast spoken Displease me, all, and ne'er may such words please me! And it is meet that thou shouldst mislike mine. And yet from whence might I have earned a glory More glorious than by placing in the tomb My own dear brother? Every man of these Would say he liked the deed, did not his fear Bar up his utterance: but absolute power, With many other happy privileges, May speak and do whate'er the wish suggests.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

σύ τοῦτο μούνη τῶνδε Καδμείων ὁρᾶς.

ANTIFONH.

όρῶσι χοὖτοι, σοὶ δ' ὑπίλλουσι στόμα.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

σύ δ' οὐκ ἐπαιδεῖ, τῶνδε χωρὶς εἰ Φρονεῖς;

ANTIFONH.

ούδεν γάρ αίσχρον τους ομοσπλάγχνους σέβειν.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

ούκουν όμαιμος χώ καταντίον θανών;

510

ANTICONH.

όμαιμος έκ μιας τε, καὶ ταὐτοῦ πατρός.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

πως δητ' έκείνω δυσσεβη τιμάς χάριν;

ANTIFONH.

ού μαρτυρήσει * ταῦτα χώ κατά χθονός.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

εί τοί σφε τιμάς έξ ίσου τφ δυσσεβεί.

ANTIFONH.

οὐ γάρ τι δοῦλος, ἀλλ' ἀδελφὸς ὥλετο.

515

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

πορθών γε τήνδε γην ο δ άντιστάς ύπερ.

ANTICONH.

όμως ό γ' Αιδης τους νόμους ίσους ποθεί.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

άλλ' οὐχ ὁ χρηστὸς τῷ κακῷ λαχεῖν ἴσος.

818 γρ. ταῦθ' ὁ κατθανών νέκυς.

KREON.

Of all Kadmeans thou alone seest this.

ANTIGONE.

These see it too, but thou hast made them mum.

KREON.

Art not ashamed to stand apart from these?

ANTIGONE.

To reverence kith and kin is nothing shameful.

KREON.

Was not he, too, who died for us, thy kin?

ANTIGONE.

He was my kin by sire and mother both.

KREON.

Then why this duty, impious to him?

ANTIGONE.

The fallen foe will not attest thy words.

KREON.

Yes—if the impious shares thy equal love.

ANTIGONE.

It was no slave that fell—it was my brother.

KREON.

Seeking thy country's hurt-but he fought for us.

ANTIGONE.

The laws which death exacts are equal laws.

KREON.

Not for the good and bad in equal measure.

ANTICONH.

τίς οίδεν, εί †κάτωθεν εὐαγή τάδε;

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

ούτοι ποθ' ούχθρος, ούδ' όταν θάνη, φίλος.

520

525

ANTICONH.

ούτοι συνέχθειν, άλλά συμφιλείν έφυν.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

κάτω νυν έλθοῦσ', εί φιλητέον, φίλει κείνους έμου δε ζώντος ουκ άρξει γυνή.

ΧΟΡΟΣ.

καὶ μὴν πρὸ πυλῶν ήδ Ἰσμήνη (σύστημα.) φιλάδελφα κάτω δάκρυ είβομένη, νεφέλη δ' όφρύων ύπερ αιματόεν ρέθος αισχύνει, τέγγουσ' εύωπα παρειάν.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

σὺ δ', η κατ' οἰκους, ώς ἔχιδν', ὑφειμένη λήθουσά μ' εξέπινες, ουδ' εμάνθανον 530 τρέφων δύ άτα, κάπαναστάσεις θρόνων, φέρ, είπε δή μοι, και σύ τοῦδε τοῦ τάφου φήσεις μετασχείν, η ζομεί το μη είδεναι;

ΙΣΜΗΝΗ.

δέδρακα τουργον, είπερ ήδ' ομορροθεί, και ξυμμετίσχω και φέρω της αίτίας.

535

ANTIFONH.

αλλ' ουκ εάσει τοῦτό γ' ή δίκη σ', έπεὶ ουτ' ήθέλησας, ουτ' έγω κοινωσάμην.

⁵¹⁹ γρ. κάτω στίν.

ANTIGONE.

Who knows, if strifes like these still live below?

KREON.

The foe is ne'er a friend—not e'en in death.

ANTIGONE.

My heart is love's co-mate, not hatred's partner.

KREON.

Down then, and love them if they must be loved: But while I live, no woman shall hold sway.

(Anapæstic Movement.)

CHORUS.

Lo! from the gates Ismene approaches, Shedding the tears of sisterly sorrow. And the cloud o'er the brow the bloom of the cheek with Blushes has mantled, Her beautiful features bedewing.

KREON.

Thou that within the palace snake-like gliding
Didst suck my blood,—nor knew I that I nurtured
Two fiends for the subversion of my throne—
Come, tell me now, wilt thou too claim a share
In this exploit, or swear thou knewest nothing?

ISMENE.

I did the deed, if she says age to that, And claim and bear a share in all the blame.

ANTIGONE.

Justice forbid thee that! thou didst not will it, Nor did I give thee art or part in it.

ΙΣΜΗΝΗ.

άλλ' εν κακοίς τοις σοίσιν ούκ αισχύνομαι ξύμπλουν εμαυτήν τοῦ πάθους ποιουμένη.

ANTICONH.

ων τουργον, 'Αιδης χοι κάτω ξυνίστορες' λόγοις δ' έγω φιλουσαν ου στέργω φίλην.

540

ΙΣΜΗΝΗ.

μή τοι, κασιγνήτη, μ' άτιμάσης τὸ μη οὐ θανεῖν τε σὺν σοὶ, τὸν θανόντα θ' άγνίσαι.

ANTICONH.

μή μοι θάνης σὺ κοινὰ, μηδ ἃ μὴ "θιγες ποιοῦ σεαυτῆς. ἀρκέσω θνήσκουσ' έγω.

545

ΙΣΜΗΝΗ.

καὶ τίς βίος μοι, σοῦ λελειμμένη, φίλος;

ANTIFONH.

Κρέοντ' έρώτα. τοῦδε γάρ σὺ κηδεμών.

IEMHNH.

τί ταῦτ' ἀνιᾶς μ', οὐδὲν ώφελουμένη;

ANTICONH.

άλγοῦσα μέν δητ', εί γέλωτ' έν σοι γελώ.

IEMHNH.

τί δητ' αν άλλα νῦν σ' ἔτ' ώφελοῖμ' ἐγώ;

550

ANTIFONH.

σῶσον σεαυτήν. οὐ φθονῶ σ' ὑπεκφυγεῖν.

IEMHNH.

οίμοι τάλαινα, κάμπλάκω τοῦ σοῦ μόρου;

ANTIFONH.

σὺ μέν γάρ είλου ζην έγω δέ κατθανείν.

ISMENE.

Yet, in thy troubles, I am not ashamed To mount the sinking vessel of thy fortunes.

ANTIGONE.

Death and the dead know well whose was the deed. I scout the friend whose friendship is but words.

ISMENE.

Nay, sister, shame me not, but let me die With thee, and with thee reverence the dead.

ANTIGONE.

Die not with me, nor claim a share in deeds That were not thine—my death will be enough.

ISMENE.

What life is dear to me when thou art gone?

ANTIGONE.

Ask Kreon—all thy care is set on him.

ISMENE.

How canst thou utter taunts which nought avail thee?

ANTIGONE.

I laugh in sorrow, if I laugh at thee.

ISMENE.

Tell me, how I can serve thee even now?

ANTIGONE.

Preserve thyself—I grudge not thy escape.

ISMENE.

Ah! woe is me-and may I not die with thee?

ANTIGONE.

No! for thy choice was life, but mine was death.

555

ΙΣΜΗΝΗ.

αλλ' οὐκ ἐπ' ἀρρήτοις γε τοῖς ἐμοῖς λόγοις.

ANTIFONH.

καλώς σύ μεν τοίς, τοίς δ' έγω δόκουν φρονείν.

ΙΣΜΗΝΗ.

καὶ μὴν ἴση νῷν ἐστὶν ἡ Ἐαμαρτία.

ANTICONH.

θάρσει. σὲ μὲν ζῆς ή δ' έμη ψυχή πάλαι τέθνηκεν, ὥστε τοῖς θανοῦσιν ώφελεῖν.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

τω παΐδε φημὶ τώδε, την μεν άρτίως ἄνουν πεφάνθαι, την δ' ἀφ' οὖ τὰ πρῶτ' έφυ. 560

ΙΣΜΉΝΗ.

οὐ γάρ ποτ', ὧναξ, οὐδ' ὃς αν † βλάστη μένει νοῦς τοῖς κακῶς πράσσουσιν, ἀλλ' ἐξίσταται.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

σοὶ γοῦν, ὅθ' είλου ξὺν κακοῖς πράσσειν κακά.

IEMHNH.

τί γὰρ μόνη μοι τῆσδ' ἄτερ βιώσιμον;

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

άλλ' ΗΔΕ μέντοι μη λέγ, ου γάρ έστ' έτι. 565

ΙΣΜΗΝΗ.

άλλα κτενείς νυμφεία του σαυτου τέκνου;

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

άρωσιμοι γάρ χάτέρων είσὶν γύαι.

ΊΣΜΗΝΗ.

ούχ ως γ' ἐκείνω τῆδέ τ' ἦν ἡρμοσμένα;

⁶⁶¹ γρ. βλαστῆ.

ISMENE.

Not where my secret words remained unspoken.

ANTIGONE.

Some will applaud thy wisdom-others mine.

ISMENE.

Nay, but our absolute error was the same.

ANTIGONE.

So be it. Thou still livest; but my soul Is dead the while, e'en since I served the dead.

KREON.

Of these two maids, it seems that one just now Has lost the wits the other never had.

ISMENE.

Yes, sire, when sorrow comes, what sense there was Abides no longer there, but flees away.

KREON.

True, when thou sought'st to suffer with the guilty.

ISMENE.

For what is life to me deprived of her?

KREON.

Speak not of her; for she exists no longer.

ISMENE.

What! wilt thou slay thine own son's bridal hopes?

KREON.

The glebes of other women may be ploughed.

ISMENE.

Where else the troth which he has plighted her?

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

κακάς έγω γυναίκας υίέσιν στυγώ.

ANTICONH.

ὧ φίλταθ' Αΐμων, ὧς σ' ἀτιμάζει πατήρ.

570

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

άγαν γε λυπεῖς, καὶ σὺ, καὶ τὸ σὸν λέχος.

ΧΟΡΟΣ.

ή γάρ στερήσεις τησδε τον σαυτοῦ γόνον;

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

Αιδης ο παύσων τούσδε τους γάμους έφυ.

ΧΟΡΟΣ.

δεδογμέν, ως ἔοικε, τήνδε κατθανείν.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

καὶ σοί γε κάμοί. μὴ τριβὰς ἔτ', άλλά νιν 575 κομίζετ' εἴσω, δμῶες' ἐκ δὲ τοῦδε χρὴ γυναῖκας εἶναι τάσδε μηδ' ἀνειμένας. Φεύγουσι γάρ τοι χοί θρασεῖς, ὅταν πέλας ἤδη τὸν Ἅιδην εἰσορῶσι τοῦ βίου.

ς . STASIMON Δ EYTEPON.

ΧΟΡΟΣ.

588 γρ. ποντίας άλος.

. Fr. A.

ΕΥΔΑΙΜΟΝΕΣ, οἶσι κακῶν ἄγευστος αἰών. στρ. α΄. 580 οἶς γὰρ ᾶν σεισθῆ θεόθεν δόμος, ἄτας οὐδὲν ἐλλείπει, γενεᾶς ἐπὶ πλῆθος ἔρπον όμοῖον ὥστε †ποντίαις οἶδμα δυσπνόοις ὅταν

KREON.

No worthless woman shall espouse my son.

ANTIGONE.

Dear Hæmon, how thy father disallows thee!

KREON.

Enough, enough of thee and of thy marriage.

CHORUS.

And wilt thou tear thy child from his betrothed?

KREON.

The grave is destined to forbid these banns.

CHORUS.

So then thou thinkest to ensue her death?

KREON.

I think to do e'en as thou think'st I will.

No more delay, but take them in, ye slaves.

From henceforth it were fitting that these maidens Should be as women are, and not at large.

For e'en the boldest fly when they behold

The grave too near a neighbour to their life.

VI. SECOND STASIMON.

CHORUS.

STROPHE 1.

Blessed are they whose race has 'scaped The first taste of disaster!

For those, whose house from heaven Has once received a shock,

Down to the very fulness of their race Shall nothing lack of mischief.

Just so, when Thracian blasts are blowing

Θρήσσησιν έρεβος ύφαλον επιδράμη πνοαίς, κυλίνδει 585 βυσσόθεν κελαινὰν θίνα καὶ δυσάνεμον, στόνφ βρέμουσι δ' ἀντιπληγες ἀκταί.

άρχαῖα τὰ Λαβδακιδᾶν οἴκων ὁρῶμαι ἀντιστ. ά.
πήματα † φθιτῶν ἐπὶ πήμασι πίπτοντ'· 590
οὐδ' ἀπαλλάσσει γενεὰν γένος, ἀλλ' ἐρείπει
θεῶν τις, οὐδ' ἔχει λύσιν.
νῦν γὰρ ἐσχάτας ὑπὲρ
ρίζας ὁ τέτατο φάος ἐν Οἰδίπου δόμοις, κατ' αὖ νιν
φοινία θεῶν τῶν 595
νερτέρων ἀμᾶ κόνις,
λόγου τ' ἄνοια, καὶ φρενῶν Ἐρινύς.

τεὰν, Ζεῦ, δύνασιν τίς ἀνδρῶν στρ. β΄.

ὑπερβασία κατάσχοι,

τὰν οὕθ ὕπνος αἰρεῖ ποθ ὁ *παγκρατης οὕτ΄ 600

ἀκάματοι *θέοντες

μῆνες ἀγήρφ δὲ χρόνφ δυνάστας κατέχεις 'Ολύμπου

μαρμαρόεσσαν αἴγλαν.

τό τ΄ ἔπειτα, καὶ τὸ μέλλον,

καὶ τὸ πρὶν ἐπαρκέσει 605

νόμος ὅδ *[ἀνδρὸς αἰσαν]

"θνατῶν βιότφ πάμπολις *εἰσιν ἄτα."

φθιμένων.
 γρ. φθιμένων.
 γρ. θεών.
 γρ. οὐδὲν ἔρπει.
 κτὸς ἄτας.

Strong from the sea-ward,
The undulations rushing o'er
The darkness submarine,
Roll downwards, wave on wave, until they stir
From lowest depths
The gloom-encompass'd, storm-defying shingle:
Loud roar the breakers on the counter-cliffs!

ANTISTROPHE II

From old beginnings spring the ills
Of the Labdakid race,
Which now descending I behold
On ills heapt up before for those
Who moulder in the grave. The sire
Quits not his children.
Some God still works their ruin,
And none unties the knot of fate!
For now what light had beamed
O'er the last root
Within the house of Œdipus, again
The deathful dust of Gods that reign below
Is levell'd o'er it,
By foolish speech and frantic indignation.

STROPHE II.

Thy power, O Zeus, what sin of men can touch?

That power, which neither sleep, all-conquering, can master,

Nor months unwearied in their ceaseless race.

But thou—a potentate through time which grows not old—

Rulest the glittering splendours of Olympus. For the present and the future and the past, This law will meetly tell man's destiny:

"In all the life of mortals

"Mischief in every state her franchise claims."

ά γὰρ δὴ πολύπλαγκτος ἐλπὶς ἀντ. β΄.
πολλοῖς μὲν ὄνασις ἀνδρῶν,
πολλοῖς δ΄ ἀπάτα κουφονόων ἐρώτων' 610
εἰδότι δ΄ οὐδὲν ἔρπει,
πρὶν πυρὶ θερμῷ πόδα τις προσαύσῃ. σοφίᾳ γὰρ ἔκ του
κλεινὸν ἔπος πέφανται'
"Τὸ κακὸν δοκεῖν ποτ' ἐσθλὸν
τῷδ΄ ἔμμεν, ὅτψ φρένας 615
θεὸς ἄγει πρὸς ἄταν'
πράσσει δ΄ ὁλιγοστὸν χρόνον ἐκτὸς *ἄλγους."

όδε μὴν Αἴμων, παίδων τῶν σῶν (σύστημα) νέατον γέννημ' ἀρ ἀχνύμενος τάλιδος ἥκει μόρον Άντιγόνης, 620 ἀπάτας λεχέων ὑπεραλγῶν;

Ζ. ΕΠΕΙΣΟΔΙΟΝ ΤΡΙΤΟΝ.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

ΤΑΧ' εἰσόμεσθα μάντεων ὑπέρτερον.
ὧ παῖ, τελείαν ψῆφον ἆρα μὴ κλύων
τῆς μελλονύμφου, πατρὶ λυσσαίνων πάρει;
ἡ σοὶ μὲν ἡμεῖς πανταχῆ δρῶντες φίλοι;
625

AIMΩN.

πάτερ, σός είμι καὶ σύ μοι γνώμας έχων χρηστὰς ἀπορθοῖς, αἶς ἔγωγ' ἐφέψομαι. ἐμοὶ γὰρ οὐδεὶς άξίως ἔσται γάμος μείζων φέρεσθαι, σοῦ καλῶς ἡγουμένου.

⁶¹⁷ γρ. ἄτας. ⁶²⁰ γρ. τῆς μελλογάμου τάλιδος.

ANTISTROPHE II.

In truth to many men, hope, though deceiving many,
Turns to advantage; yet to many more
'Tis but the mockery of love's flighty purpose.
Nothing knows he, to whom this disappointment cometh,
Until his foot hath touched the glowing flame.
Wisely by some one is this strain set forth:
"Evil seems ever good to him whose mind
"God leadeth on to mischief.
"Short is the time which sees him free from anguish."

(Anapæstic Movement,)

Lo to thee, Hæmon,—of all thy children Alone he survives: and cometh he vexed By the destined fate of his bride Antigone, For the loss of his nuptials grieving!

VII. THIRD EPISODE.

KREON.

Soon shall we know better than seers could tell us. Thou com'st not, boy, incensed against thy father, On tidings of the doom of thy betrothed one? Howso we act, thou, if thou only, lov'st us?

HÆMON.

Father, I am thine only: and if thou
Resolvest wisely, thou provid'st for me
An even rule of life which I will follow.
For, as right reason dictates, never shall
A wife bear in my eyes a higher price
Than thou, while wisdom marks thy guidance of me.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

ούτω γάρ, ὧ παῖ, χρη διά στέρνων έχειν,	630
γνώμης πατρφας πάντ' ὅπισθεν ἐστάναι.	
τούτου γάρ *είνεκ' άνδρες εύχονται γονάς	
κατηκόους φύσαντες έν δόμοις έχειν,	
καὶ τὸν έχθρὸν ἀνταμύνωνται κακοῖς,	
καὶ τὸν φίλον τιμῶσιν έξ ἴσου πατρί.	635
όστις δ' ανωφέλητα φιτύει τέκνα,	
τί τόνδ αν είποις άλλο πλήν αυτώ †πέδας	
φῦσαι, πολὺν δὲ τοῖσιν ἐχθροῖσιν γέλων; μή νύν ποτ', ὧ παῖ, τὰς Φρένας †πρὸς ἡδονῆς,	
ψυχρον παραγκάλισμα τοῦτο γίγνεται,	
γυνή κακή ξύνευνος έν δόμοις. τί γάρ	
γένοιτ' αν έλκος μείζον η φίλος κακός;	
άλλα πτύσας ώσει τε δυσμενη, μέθες	
την παιδ' εν Αιδου τήνδε νυμφεύειν τινί.	645
έπει γάρ αὐτήν είλον έμφανῶς έγὼ	
πόλεως απιστήσασαν έκ πάσης μόνην,	
ψευδή γ' έμαυτὸν οὺ καταστήσω πόλει, ἀλλά κτενῶ· πρὸς ταῦτ' εφυμνείτω Δία	
άκοσμα θρέψω, κάρτα τοὺς έξω γένους.	
έν τοις γάρ οίκείοισιν όστις έστ' άνηρ	
χρηστός, φανείται κάν πόλει δίκαιος ών.	
όστις δ΄ ὑπερβὰς ἡ νόμους βιάζεται,	
ή τούπιτάσσειν τοῖς †κρατύνουσιν νοεῖ,	65 5
ούκ έστ' έπαίνου τοῦτον έξ έμοῦ τυχεῖν.	
632	

⁶⁸² γρ. οῦνεκ.

⁶³⁹ γρ. γ' υφ'. 640 γρ. τά τ'.

 ⁶⁴⁰ γρ. πόνους.
 ⁶⁴⁰ γρ. οϋνεκ'.
 ⁶⁸⁵ γρ. κρατοῦσιν ἐννοεῖ.

KREON.

Such thoughts, my son, should rule thy bosom ever: A son in all his acts should yield the lead To what his sire resolves. It is for this That men beseech the Gods to give the children, Whom they beget and keep at home, a spirit Of dutiful obedience, that so They may requite with ill their father's foe, And honour whom their father loves to honour. But when a man's own children help him not. What shall we say he has begotten but Clogs for himself and laughter for his foes? Then be it far from thee, my son, for lust And for a woman's love, to make a shipwreck Of all thy understanding, knowing that Cold mocks the warmth of thy embraces when A vile companion of thy bed holds sway Within thy house and home. For who could probe A wound more festering than a faithless friend! Then spurn this maid, and cast her off as one Whose heart is hostile to thee, so that she May seek some spouse within the realm of Hades. For now that I have caught her openly Alone of all the city disobedient, I will not place myself before the state As one whose words are naught: but she shall die. Then let her weary with repeated prayers Zeus, who protects the ties of blood relations. For if I rear obedient to no rule Those who are born within my family, How shall I govern those without the pale? For whose in his household acts discreetly. In public also will approve himself A righteous man. But whose wantenly Or strains the laws or sets about dictating To those who rule, it is not possible That such a one should ever earn my praise. SOPH. ANTIG.

άλλ' ον πόλις στήσειε, τουδε χρή κλύειν, καὶ σμικρά, καὶ δίκαια, καὶ τάναντία. καὶ τοῦτον αν τον ἄνδρα θαρσοίην έγω καλώς μεν άρχειν, εὖ δ' αν άρχεσθαι θέλειν 660 δορός τ' αν έν χειμώνι προστεταγμένον μένειν δίκαιον κάγαθον παραστάτην. αναρχίας δε μείζου ούκ έστιν κακόν. αύτη πόλεις τ' όλλυσιν, ήδ' αναστάτους οίκους τίθησιν, ήδε σύν μάχη δορός 665 τροπάς καταρρήγνυσι των δ' ορθουμένων σώζει τὰ πολλά σώμαθ ή πειθαργία. ούτως αμυντέ έστι τοις κοσμουμένοις, κούτοι γυναικός ούδαμως ήσσητέα. κρείσσον γάρ, είπερ δεί, πρὸς ἀνδρὸς ἐκπεσείν κούκ αν γυναικών ήσσονες καλοίμεθ αν.

ΧΟΡΟΣ.

ημίν μέν, εί μη τῷ χρόνφ κεκλέμμεθα, λέγειν φρονούντως ὧν λέγεις δοκεῖς πέρι.

$AIM\Omega N.$

πάτερ, θεοὶ ψύουσιν ἀνθρώποις φρένας,
πάντων, ὅσ΄ ἐστὶ, κτημάτων ὑπέρτατον. 675
ἐγὼ δ΄ ὅπως σὰ μὴ λέγεις ὀρθῶς τάδε,
οὕτ' ἀν δυναίμην, μήτ' ἐπισταίμην λέγειν
γένοιτο μέντὰν χάτέρω καλῶς ἔχου.
σοῦ δ΄ οὖν πέφυκα πάντα προσκοπεῖν, ὅσα
λέγει τις, ἢ πράσσει τις, ἢ ψέγειν ἔχει. 680

No! when a city constitutes a chief. It well befitteth all men to obey His great or small, just or unjust, behests. And I should confidently trust that he, Whose law is such, would from fixed habitude Both wisely rule and loyally obey. He too, when posted in the battled line, Amid the storm of fight, would keep his ground, Brave and unswerving by his comrade's side. There is no greater ill than disobedience. 'Tis this which ruins cities: this it is Which works the downfall of the noble house. And when, in battle, spear is locked with spear, 'Tis this again which breaks and routs the phalanx. But when men keep the line, their discipline For the most part ensures their safety. Thus, It is our duty still to aid the laws, And power must ne'er be yielded to a woman. For if we must succumb, 'twere better far To crouch before a man; and thus at least No one could taunt us with a woman's rule.

CHORUS.

To us at least, unless old age misleads us, Thou seemest to say wisely all thou say'st.

HÆMON.

The Gods, my father, nourish in the soul The growth of wisdom, best of all possessions. But I should lack the power, and may I ne'er Be skilled to tax with error these thy words. Howbeit that task might well beseem another. And, as thy son, it is my natural office To watch, on thy behalf, the sayings, doings, And grievances of every citizen.

τὸ γὰρ σὸν ὅμμα δεινὸν ἀνδρὶ δημότη, λόγοις τοιούτοις, οίς συ μή τέρψει κλύων έμοι δ ακούειν έσθ ύπο σκότου τάδε, την παίδα ταύτην οί οδύρεται πόλις. πασῶν γυναικῶν ώς ἀναξιωτάτη 685 κάκιστ' άπ' έργων ευκλεεστάτων Φθίνει ήτις τον αυτής αυτάδελφον έν φοναίς πεπτωτ' άθαπτον, μήθ' υπ' ώμηστων κυνών είασ' ολέσθαι, μήθ' ὑπ' οίωνῶν τινος: ούχ ήδε χρυσης άξία τιμης λαχείν; 690 τοιάδ έρεμνη σιγ έπέρχεται φάτις. έμοι δε, σοῦ πράσσοντος εὐτυχῶς, πάτερ, ούκ έστιν ούδεν κτημα τιμιώτερον. τί γάρ πατρός θάλλοντος εὐκλείας τέκνοις άγαλμα μείζου, ή τί πρὸς παίδων πατρί; 695 μή νυν εν ήθος μοῦνον έν σαυτφ φόρει, ώς φής σύ, κούδεν άλλο, τοῦτ' όρθως έχειν. όστις γαρ αύτος ή Φρονείν μόνος δοκεί, η γλωσσαν, ην ούκ άλλος, η ψυχην έχειν, ούτοι διαπτυχθέντες, ώφθησαν κενοί. 700 άλλ' ἄνδρα κεί τις ή σοφός, τὸ μανθάνειν πόλλ', αίσχρον ούδεν, και το μη τείνειν άγαν. όρας παρά ρείθροισι γειμάρροις ύσα δένδρων υπείκει, κλώνας ώς έκσω (εται τα δ αντιτείνοντ' αυτόπρεμν' απόλλυται. 705 αύτως δε ναὸς όστις εγκρατή πόδα τείνας, υπείκει μηδέν, υπτίοις κάτω στρέψας το λοιπον σέλμασιν ναυτίλλεται. άλλ' είκε θυμοῦ καὶ μετάστασιν δίδου. γνώμη γάρ εί τις κάπ έμου νεωτέρου 710

Thine eye might well deter the common burgess From speeches which would grate upon thine ear. But I can hear the covert lamentations Wherewith the city grieveth for this maiden-How of all women most unworthy she Meets basest death for deeds most glorious. "For she," say they, "who, when her very brother Had fallen in bloodshed and unburied lay, Would not permit him to be rent and torn By carrion-eating dogs and greedy birds— Doth she not merit golden recompense?" Such the dark rumour that in silence spreads. But, O my father, thy prosperity In worth transcends all other goods beside. For where can children find a greater sheen Of glory than their father's high estate? Or where a father, than his children's bliss? Then cleave not solely to this principle— Thy words, no other man's, are free from error. For whose thinks that he alone is wise. That his discourse and reason are unmatched, He, when unwrapt, displays his emptiness. But that a man, how wise soe'er, should learn In many things and slack his stubborn will, This is no derogation. When the streams Are swollen by mountain-torrents, thou hast seen That all the trees which bend them to the flood Preserve their branches from the angry current, While those which stem it perish root and branch. So too the pilot, when he keeps the sheet Taught and ne'er slacks it, overturns his bark, And sails, what else he sails, with thwarts reversed. Then stoop from anger and ensue a change Of will and purpose: for, if grounded maxims

πρόσεστι, φήμ΄ έγωγε πρεσβεύειν πολύ, φῦναι τὸν ἄνδρα πάντ΄ ἐπιστήμης πλέων εἰ δ΄ οὖν, φιλεῖ γὰρ τοῦτο μὴ ταύτη ῥέπειν, καὶ τῶν λεγόντων εὖ καλὸν τὸ μανθάνειν.

ΧΟΡΟΣ.

ἄναξ, σέ τ' εἰκὸς, εἴ τι καίριον λέγει, 715 μαθεῖν, σέ τ' αὖ τοῦδ' εὖ γὰρ εἴρηται διπλῆ.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

οι τηλικοίδε και διδαξόμεσθα δή φρονείν πρὸς άνδρὸς τηλικοῦδε την φύσιν;

AIMΩN.

μηδεν το μη δίκαιον εί δ΄ έγω νέος, ου τον χρόνον χρη μαλλον η τάργα σκοπείν. 720

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

έργον γάρ έστι τοὺς ἀκοσμοῦντας σέβειν;

AIMΩN.

ούδ αν κελεύσαιμ' εύσεβείν είς τους κακούς.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

ούχ ήδε γάρ τοιᾶδ έπείληπται νόσφ;

AIMΩN.

ου φησὶ Θήβας τῆσδ ὁμόπτολις λεώς.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

πόλις γαρ ήμιν αμέ χρή τάσσειν έρεί;

725

ΑΙΜΩΝ.

ύρᾶς τόδ' ώς είρηκας ώς ἄγαν νέος;

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

άλλω γὰρ ἡ 'μοὶ χρὴ *'πὶ τῆσδ' ἄρχειν χθονός;

797 γρ. χρή γε.

May find their utterance e'en in me your son, I dare be bold to say 'tis better far That understanding should be born in man: But if this may not be:—and, to say sooth, The common scale inclines not thus,—'tis well To learn from any one who reasons soundly.

CHORUS.

Sire, thou shouldst learn where he has hit the mark: Thou too from him: for both have spoken well.

KREON.

And shall we, in our riper age, receive Lessons in prudence from his youthful mind?

HÆMON.

In nought but what is just. If I am young, 'Tis meet to scan my purpose, not my years.

KREON.

Is't this—to pay respect to the unruly?

HÆMON.

Not to the base, though 'twere to please the Gods.

KREON.

And is not she caught in this malady?

HÆMON.

The folk who throng this city answer, No!

KREON.

What! does the city's pleasure guide my mandates?

HÆMON.

Seest thou what childish words thou utterest?

KREON.

Why, who but I should in this country rule?

$AIM\Omega N.$

πόλις γαρ ούκ έσθ, ήτις ανδρός έσθ' ενός.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

ού τοῦ κρατοῦντος ή πόλις νομίζεται;

ΑΙΜΩΝ.

καλῶς ἐρήμης γ' αν συ γης άρχοις μόνος.

730

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

όδ, ώς έοικε, τη γυναικί συμμαχεί.

 $AIM\Omega N$.

είπερ γυνή σύ σοῦ γάρ οὖν προκήδομαι.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

ῶ παγκάκιστε, διὰ δίκης ίων πατρί.

 $AIM\Omega N.$

ού γαρ δίκαια σ' έξαμαρτάνουθ' όρω.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

άμαρτάνω γάρ τὰς έμὰς άρχὰς σέβων;

735

ΑΙΜΩΝ.

ού γάρ σέβεις, τιμάς γε τὰς θεῶν πατῶν.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

ῶ μιαρὸν ήθος, καὶ γυναικὸς ὕστερον.

AIMΩN.

†οὐταν ἔλοις ήσσω με τῶν αἰσχρῶν ποτε.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

ο γοῦν λόγος σοι πᾶς ὑπὲρ κείνης όδε.

 $AIM\Omega N.$

καὶ σοῦ γε κάμοῦ καὶ θεῶν τῶν νερτέρων.

740

KPEΩN.

ταύτην ποτ' ούκ έσθ' ώς έτι ζώσαν γαμείς.

⁷⁸⁸ γρ. οὐκ ἆν.

HÆMON.

That is no city which belongs to one.

KREON.

Is not the city called of him who governs?

HÆMON.

Well wouldst thou rule alone an empty land!

KREON.

Here we have one who fights a woman's battle.

HÆMON.

If thou art woman—for I sue for thee.

KREON.

Vile boy, to take thy father's suit in hand.

HÆMON.

Yes, for thy errors are unsuitable.

KREON.

And suits it not mine office to respect?

HÆMON.

When that thou spurnst the Gods thou nought respectest.

KREON.

O paltry character—a woman's slave!

HÆMON.

Slave to dishonour thou shalt never find me.

KREON.

Thy whole discourse but advocates her cause.

HÆMON.

And thine and mine, and of the Gods below.

KREON.

Living this maid shall never be thy bride.

AIMΩN.

ήδ οὖν θανείται, καὶ θανοῦσ' όλεὶ τινα.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

η κάπαπειλών ώδ έπεξέρχει θρασύς;

ΑΙΜΩΝ.

τίς δ΄ έστ' άπειλη προς κενάς γνώμας λέγειν;

KPEΩN.

κλαίων φρενώσεις, ων φρενων αυτός κενός.

745

AIMΩN.

εί μή πατήρ ήσθ, είπον αν σ' ούκ ευ φρονείν.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

γυναικός ων δούλευμα, μή κωτιλλέ με.

AIMΩN.

βούλει λέγειν τι, καὶ λέγων μηδεν κλύειν;

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

άληθες; άλλ' οὐ, τόνδ "Ολυμπον, ἴσθ' ὅτι χαίρων ἐπὶ ψόγοισι δευνάσεις ἐμέ. ἄγετε τὸ μῖσος, ὡς κατ' ὅμματ' αὐτίκα παρόντι θυήσκη πλησία τῷ νυμφίῳ.

750

$AIM\Omega N.$

οὐ δητ' ἔμοιγε, τοῦτο μη δόξης ποτὲ, οὕθ' ήδ' όλεῖται πλησία, σύ τ' οὐδαμὰ τοὐμὸν προσόψει κρᾶτ' ἐν όφθαλμοῖς ὁρῶν, ὡς τοῖς θέλουσι τῶν φίλων μαίνη ξυνών.

755

XOPOΣ.

ανήρ, αναξ, βέβηκεν έξ όργης ταχύς νους δ έστι τηλικούτος αλγήσας βαρύς.

HÆMON.

Dies she, her death shall work the death of some one.

KREON.

And dares thy boldness vent itself in threats?

HÆMON.

What threats, to speak against an empty meaning?

KREON.

Unschooled thyself, beware of schooling me.

HÆMON.

Wert not my father, I had call'd thee simple.

KREON.

Away with thy small wit, thou woman's serf!

HÆMON.

Wouldst speak, and speaking never hear an answer?

KREON.

And is it so indeed? Nay, by Olympus,
Thou shalt not thus unscathed vituperate.
Bring forth the hateful minx, that, on the spot,
Before his very eyes she meet her doom,
And die, her 'fianced bridegroom standing by her.

HÆMON.

She dies not in my presence—never think it—And thou shalt never see my face again
With real vision. If it liketh any
Among thy friends—let them thy madness share.

Exit Hasmon.

CHORUS.

The prince, my liege, is gone in anger hasty— Deep is the pain that pangs the youthful mind.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

δράτω, φρονείτω μεῖζον, ή κατ' ἄνδρ', ἰών τὰ δ' οὖν κόρα τάδ' οὖκ ἀπαλλάξει μόρου.

760

ΧΟΡΟΣ.

άμφω γάρ αύτά καὶ κατακτείναι νοείς;

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

οὐ τήν γε μή θιγοῦσαν. εὖ γάρ οὖν λέγεις.

ΧΟΡΟΣ.

μόρφ δε ποίφ καί σφε βουλεύει κτανείν;

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

ἄγων ἔρημος ἔνθ΄ αν ἢ βροτών στίβος, κρύψω πετρώδει ζώσαν ἐν κατώρυχι, φορβης τοσοῦτον, ὡς ἄγος μόνον, προθεὶς, ὅπως μίασμα πᾶσ΄ ὑπεκφύγη πόλις. κάκεῖ τὸν Ἡιδην, ὅν μόνον σέβει θεῶν, αἰτουμένη που, τεύξεται τὸ μη θανεῖν, ἢ γνώσεται γοῦν ἀλλ' τηνικαῦθ, ὅτι πόνος περισσός ἐστι τὰν Ἡιδου σέβειν.

770

765

Η. ΣΤΑΣΙΜΟΝ ΤΡΙΤΟΝ.

ΧΟΡΟΣ.

ΈΡως ἀνίκατε μάχαν, στροφή. Έρως, δς ἐν κτήμασι πίπτεις, ὅς ἐν μαλακαῖς παρείαις νέανιδος ἐννυχευεις φοιτὰς δ΄ ὑπερπόντιος, ἔν τ΄ ἀγρονόμοις αὐλαῖς 775 καί σ΄ οὕτ΄ ἀθανάτων φύξιμος οὐδεὶς, οὕθ΄ ἀμερίων ἐπ΄ ἀνθρώπων ὁ δ΄ ἔχων, μέμηνεν.

KREON.

Be then his thoughts and actions more than mortal. He shall not quit from death these maidens two.

CHORUS.

And hast thou doomed them both to instant death?

KREON.

Not her who touched him not.—Thou sayest well.

CHORUS.

And for the other, what the mode of death?

KREON.

Where mortal feet have never stept I'll take her, And there entomb her in a rocky chamber, Alive, with so much food before her set As may suffice to expiate the curse, That so the general city 'scape pollution. And there, beseeching Hades, whom alone Of all the Gods she worships, let her gain, If gain she can, a license not to die: Or, come what will, she then at least will learn 'Tis wasted toil to reverence the dead.

VIII. THIRD STASIMON.

CHORUS.

STROPHE.

Love! in the fight invincible:

Love! whose attacks at once enslave:

Who on the young maid's delicate cheeks thy nightly vigils keepest:

Who roamest o'er the main and mid the rustic cots! None can escape thee,—neither Gods immortal, Nor men whose lives are fleeting as the day:

He raves whom thou possessest.

σὺ καὶ δικαίων ἀδίκους ἀντιστ φρένας παρασπậς ἐπὶ λώβς τοὺ καὶ τόδε νεῖκος ἀνδρῶν ξύναιμον ἔχεις ταράξας 780 νικς δ ἐναργής βλεφάρων ἵμερος εὐλέκτρου νύμφας, τῶν μεγάλων *παιδὶ πάρεδρος θεσμῶν ἄμαχος γὰρ ἐμπαίζει θεὸς ᾿Αφροδίτα.

νῦν δ΄ ήδη 'γω καὐτὸς θεσμῶν ἔξω φέρομαι τάδ' ὁρῶν, ἴσχειν δ' οὐκ ἔτι πηγὰς δύναμαι δακρύων, τὸν παγκοίταν ὅθ' ὁρῶ θάλαμον τήνδ' Αντιγόνην ἀνύτουσαν.

σύστημα. 785

Θ. ΕΠΕΙΣΟΔΙΟΝ ΤΕΤΑΡΤΟΝ ΚΑΙ ΚΟΜΜΟΣ ΠΡΩΤΟΣ.

ANTIFONH.

'ΟΡΑΤ' ἔμ', ὧ γᾶς πατρίας πολίται, στρ. α΄.
τὰν νεάταν ὀδὸν 790
στείχουσαν, νέατον δὲ φέγγος
λεύσσουσαν ἀελίου, κοῦ ποτ' αὖθις ἀλλά μ' ὁ παγκοίτας
'Αιδας ζῶσαν ἄγει
τὰν 'Αχέροντος
ἀκτὰν, οὖθ' ὑμεναίων 795

ἔγκληρον, οὕτ' †ἐπινύμφειός πω μέ τις ὕμνος ὕμνησεν, ἀλλ' Αχέροντι νυμφεύσω.

⁷⁸⁸ γρ. μεγ. πάρεδρος εν άρχαῖς. ⁷⁹⁶ γρ. επινυμφίδιος.

ANTISTROPHE.

Thou too the upright mind to wrong pervertest,
Till mischief comes.
Thou too hast stirred this strife of kindred men.
Love, that was learned in the lustrous eyes
Of her whose bridal bed he coveted,
A son constrains,
Benching for him, with equal voice,
Beside the holiest laws: for there resistless
The goddess Aphrodite holds her revels.

(Antigone is led forth by the guards.)

(Anapæstic Movement.)

I, even I, from the bondage of laws am Carried away, as this spectacle greets me! Fountains of tears no longer I check when I See Antigone bound for the chamber where All men are destined to slumber.

IX. FOURTH EPISODE AND FIRST KOMMOS.

ANTIGONE.

SEE me, ye citizens of my father-land,
Treading the last of paths,—the latest sun-light
Beholding now, and ne'er again. But Hades,
Who lays all men to rest, leads me still living
To the banks of Acheron;
The Hymenæal strain denied me,
Nor hath any bridal hymn
Hymned me as yet; but Acheron will wed me.

ΧΟΡΟΣ.

ούκοῦν κλεινή καὶ ἔπαινον ἔχουσ' ές τόδ ἀπέρχει κεῦθος νεκύων, οὕτε φθινάσιν πληγεῖσα νόσοις, οὕτε ξιφέων ἐπίχειρα λαχοῦσ' άλλ' αὐτόνομος, ζώσα, μόνη δή θνατῶν, 'Αΐδαν καταβήσει.

800

ANTIFONH.

ήκουσα δη λυγροτάταν όλεσθαι ταν Φρυγίαν Εέναν άντιστ. α'.

Ταντάλου, Σιπύλφ προς άκρφ

805

ταν, κισσος ως ατενής, πετραία βλάστα δάμασεν

†όμβροι τακομέναν, ώς φάτις ανδρών,

καί νιν

810

χιών τ' ούδαμὰ λείπει, τέγγει †δ' ὑπ' ὀφρύσι παγκλαύτοις δειράδας ἄ με δαίμων ὁμοιστάταν κατευνάζει.

ΧΟΡΟΣ.

άλλὰ θεός τοι καί θεογεννής ημείς δὲ βροτοί καὶ θνητογενείς καί τοι φθιμενφ τοῖς ἰσοθέοις ἔγκληρα λαχεῖν μέγ' ἀκοῦσαι.

815

ANTIFONH.

οίμοι γελώμαι. τί με, πρὸς θεών πατρώων, στρ. β΄. οὐκ †οὐλομέναν υβρίζεις, άλλ' ἐπίφαντον;

**11 γρ. τέγγει θ'.
 **15, **16 γρ. μέγ' ἀκοῦσαι τοῖς ἰσοθ. ἔγκληρα λαχεῖν.
 **19 γρ. ὀλομέναν.

CHORUS.

Nay, but renowned and freighted with praises,
To the dark recess of the dead thou departest.
Wasting disease has not smitten thy form,
Nor the meed of the sword thy portion has been.
Self-controlled and alive thou wilt go,
Thou only of mortals, to Hades!

ANTIGONE.

Erewhile I heard how piteously perished
That Phrygian dame, who came to rule among us,
The child of Tantalus,
Whom, clinging to her as the ivy clings,
A sprouting rock controlled,
And as she wastes away, the legend tells us,
She lacks nor rain nor snow,
But still, beneath her ever-weeping brows,
Bedeweth she her bosom:
Likest to her, fate leads me to my rest!

CHORUS.

A Goddess was she, and Gods were her fathers: We are but mortals, and mortal our sires: Bethink thee how great for a perishing soul, To challenge the fame of the Godlike!

ANTIGONE.

Ah! I am laughed to scorn! why by my father's Gods
Dost so deride me ere my death,
While yet the sun beholds me?

SOPH. ANT.

ῶ πόλις, ὧ πόλεως πολυκτήμονες ἄνδρες

820

ίω Διρκαΐαι κρήναι, Θήβας τ' εὐαρμάτου άλσος, έμπας ξυμμάρτυρας υμμ' ἐπικτωμαι, οια φίλων άκλαυτος, οιοις νόμοις

825

πρὸς † ἔρμα τυμβόχωστον ἔρχομαι τάφου ποταινίου, ίω δύστανος.

ουτ' έν † τοισιν έτ', ούτε τοισιν μέτοικος, ού ζωσιν, ού θανουσιν,

ΧΟΡΟΣ.

προβασ' ἐπ' ἔσχατον θράσους, ὑψηλὸν ἐς Δίκας βάθρον προσέπεσες, ὧ τέκνον, πολύ. πατρῷον δ' *ἐκτελεῖς τιν' ἄθλον.

830

ANTICONH.

έψαυσας άλγεινοτάτας έμοὶ μερίμνας, άντιστ. β΄.
πατρὸς τριπόλιστον †οἶτον, 835
τοῦ τε πρόπαντος
άμετέρου πότμου
κλεινοῖς Λαβδακίδαισιν.
ἰω ματρῷαι λέκτρων ἄται,
κοιμήματά τ' αὐτογέννητ' 840
ἀμῷ πατρὶ δυσμόρου ματρὸς,
οἵων ἐγώ ποθ' ἀ ταλαίφρων ἔφυν'
πρὸς οῦς ἀραῖος, ἄγαμος, ἄδ' ἐγὼ μέτοικος ἔρχομαι.

⁸²⁶ γρ. ἔργμα.

¹²⁸ γρ βροτοΐσιν ουτ' έν νεκροΐσι.

⁸²³ γρ. έκτείνεις.

⁸³⁵ γρ. οἶκτον.

City, and citizens of high estate,
Ah! and ye streams of Dirke, and thou grove
Of Thebe car-renowned,
You at least I gain
For me as fellow-witnesses,
How by my friends unwept, by laws how cruel,
I go to the tomb-heapt mound of a strange sepulture.
Ah woe is me!
Neither with these nor those a settler I;
The living deny and the dead disown me.

CHORUS.

To the height of boldness soaring
On Dirke's lofty throne, my child,
Full rudely hast thou stumbled.
'Tis some ancestral task thou art fulfilling.

ANTIGONE.

Most painful are the thoughts which thou hast harped—
My father's thrice-renowned tale of sorrow,
Which touches too the lot of all of us—
The famed Labdakidæ.
Woe! woe! the curse of the maternal bed—
The incestuous nuptials of my ill-starred mother,
With her own son my father!
Ah! what a match was that
To which I owe my birth, unhappy me!
To them, under the curse, unblest by marriage,
I go an emigrant from life to death!

ίω δυσπότμων κασίγνητε γάμων κυρήσας, θανων ετ' ουσαν κατήναρές με.

845

ΧΟΡΟΣ.

σέβειν μεν, εὐσέβειά τις κράτος δ, ὅτφ κράτος μέλει, παραβατον οὐδαμῆ πέλει. σε δ΄ αὐτόγνωτος ὥλεσ' ὀργά.

850

ANTICONH.

άκλαυτος, ἄφιλος, ἀνυμέναιος, ἐπφδός:
*ἀ ταλαίφρων ἄγομαι
τάνδ' ἐτοίμαν ὀδύν.
οὐκ ἔτι μοι τόδε λαμπάδος † ἱερὸν ὅμμα
θέμις ὀρᡇν ταλαίνα:
855
τὸν δ' ἐμὸν πότμον ἀδάκρυτον
οὐδεὶς φίλων στενάζει.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

Αρ΄ ἴστ΄, ἀοιδὰς καὶ γόους πρὸ τοῦ θανεῖν
ως οὐδ ᾶν εῖς παύσαιτ΄ ᾶν, εἰ χρείη λέγειν;
οὐκ ἄξεθ΄ ως τάχιστα, καὶ κατηρεφεῖ 860
τύμβω περιπτύξαντες, ως εἴρηκ ἐγω,
ἄφετε μόνην ἔρημον, εἴτε †χρῆ θανεῖν,
εἴτ ἐν τοιαύτη ζῶσα τυμβεύειν στέγη
ἡμεῖς γὰρ ἀγνοὶ τοὐπὶ τήνδε τὴν κόρην
μετοικίας δ΄ οὖν τῆς ἄνω στερήσεται.

859 $\lambda \epsilon i \pi$. \dot{a} . 854 $\gamma \rho$. $i \epsilon$

854 γρ. ίερον. 868 γρ. χρή.

Ah! brother mine,
Thy marriage too has brought no good—
Dying, thou hast destroyed me living still.

CHORUS.

All reverence good reverence is:
But might, when might is rightly held,
May on no plea be overstept;
Thy self-willed temper hath destroyed thee!

ANTIGONE.

Unwept, unfriended, and unwedded, I,
A weary-hearted maid,
Am led along this road of imminent death.
No longer may I see
This luminary's sacred eye, unhappy!
All unbemoaned by friends,
My fate calls forth no tear!

KREON.

(Advancing from the Palace.)

Know ye that no man e'er would make an end If it might serve his purpose to defer With groans and dirges the approach of death? Away with her at once, and close her round With the o'erarching tomb, as I commanded. There leave her to herself, whether she wills To die or live entombed in such a house: We wash our hands of her, and take no sin Whate'er befals; but of a settlement In upper air we doom her alienate.

(Retires again.)

ANTIFONH.

ῶ τύμβος, ῶ νυμφεῖον, ὧ κατασκαφής οϊκησις αείφρουρος, οί πορεύομαι πρός τούς έμαυτης, ων άριθμον έν νεκροίς πλείστον δέδεκται Περσέφασσ' όλωλότων ων λοισθία 'γω και κάκιστα δή μακρώ 870 κάτειμι, πρίν μοι μοιραν έξήκειν βίου. έλθοῦσα μέντοι, κάρτ' έν έλπίσιν τρέφω φίλη μεν ήξειν πατρί, προσφιλής δε σοί, μητερ, Φίλη δε σοί, κασίγνητον κάρα έπεὶ θανόντας αὐτόχειρ ὑμᾶς έγω 875 έλουσα, κάκόσμησα, κάπιτυμβίους χοὰς ἔδωκα νῦν δὲ, Πολύνεικες, τὸ σὸν δέμας περιστέλλουσα, τοιάδ άρνυμαι. καίτοι σ' έγω 'τίμησα τοῖς Φρονοῦσιν εὖ. ου γάρ ποτ' ουτ' αν, ει τέκνων μήτηρ έφυν, 88Q ουτ' εί πόσις μοι κατθανών ετήκετο, βία πυλιτων τόνδ αν ήρόμην πόνον. τίνος νόμου δή ταῦτα πρός χάριν λέγω; πόσις μεν αν μοι, κατθανόντος, άλλος ήν, καὶ παῖς ἀπ' ἄλλου Φωτὸς, εἰ τοῦδ' ἤμπλακον 885 μητρός δ' έν "Αιδου καὶ πατρός κεκευθότοιν, ούκ έστ' άδελφὸς όστις αν βλάστοι ποτέ. τοιφδε μέντοι σ' έκπροτιμήσασ' έγω νόμφ, Κρέοντι ταῦτ' ἔδοξ' άμαρτάνειν, καὶ δεινά τολμαν, ω κασίγνητον κάρα. 890 καὶ νῦν ἄγει με διὰ χερών οὕτω λαβών,

ANTIGONE.

O tomb, O bridal chamber, O thou dwelling, Dug in the solid rock, and ever guarded! Whither I go to join my kindred dead. Dead are they—few remain—and Persephassa Has taken them to herself. And I the last, And far most miserably, shall now descend Before my term of life has reached the close Allotted me by fate. Yet, going thither, I cherish it among my fondest hopes, I shall be welcomed with my father's love, With thy affection, mother, and thy love, O brother mine; because, when that ye died, With mine own hands I bathed and deckt you all, And poured around your sepulchres libations Due to the tomb: but now, O Polyneikes, Such is my meed for honouring thy corse. Yet did I well to honour thee, if those Who judge aright will judge the deed. Or had I lost the children I had borne, Or had my husband pined away in death, Would I have taken up this toil, defying The public will. And wherefore say I this? What rule of right is there? --- My husband dead, Another husband might have filled his place. And if I lost my child, another mate Might have begotten me another son. But now that Hades veils from mortal eyes Father and mother both, there is no root From which a brother's life could bloom again. Guided by such a rule, I thought it meet To seek thy honour, and neglect all else: But Kreon deems it sin and dire transgression, O brother mine! And now he leads me forth By force of hand, unbedded and unwedded,

άλεκτρον, ἀνυμέναιον, οὖτε του γάμου μέρος λαχοῦσαν, οὖτε παιδείου τροφῆς
ἀλλ' ὧδ' ἔρημος πρὸς φίλων ἡ δύσμορος,
ζῶσ' εἰς θανόντων ἔρχομαι κατασκαφάς.
8!
ποίαν παρεξελθοῦσα δαιμόνων δίκην;
τί χρή με τὴν δύστηνον ἐς θεοὺς ἔτι
βλέπειν; τίν' αὐδῷν ξυμμάχων; ἐπεί γε δὴ
τὴν δυσσέβειαν εὐσεβοῦσ' ἐκτησάμην.
ἀλλ', εἰ μὲν οῦν τάδ' ἐστὶν ἐν θεοῖς καλὰ,
παθόντες ἀν ξυγγνοῖμεν ἡμαρτηκότες
εἰ δ' οἴδ' ἀμαρτάνουσι, μὴ πλείω κακὰ
πάθοιεν, ἡ καὶ δρῶσιν ἐκδίκως ἐμέ.

895

900

ΧΟΡΟΣ.

έτι των αυτων ανέμων †αυταὶ ψυχης ριπαὶ τήνδε γ' έχουσιν.

905

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

τοιγάρ τούτων τοῖσιν ἄγουσιν βραδυτήτος ὕπερ κλαύμαθ' ὑπάρξει.

ANTIFONH.

οίμοι, θανάτου τοῦτ' ἐγγυτάτω τοῦπος ἀφικται.

ΧΟΡΟΣ.

θαρσείν οὐδεν παραμυθοῦμαι μὴ οὐ τάδε ταύτη κατακυροῦσθαι. 910

ANTIFONH.

ω γης Θήβης ἄστυ πατρώον, και θεοί προγενείς,

904 γρ. αὐταὶ.

The promised nuptial tie denied to me,
And the sweet care of children. Ill-starred maid!
Thus reft of friends I go, while yet alive,
Down to the cavernous chambers of the dead!
In what sort have I wronged the laws of heaven!
Ah! why, unhappy, must I still regard
The Gods—what aid invoke! when now I earn
The name of impious by my piety.
Then be it so—if heaven approves these deeds,
My punishment shall prove to me my guilt;
But if the sin is theirs, may they not suffer
More sorrow than they wrongly wreak on me!

(Kreon comes forward again.)

(Anapæstic Movement.)

CHORUS.

Blowing still from the self-same quarter the Storm of the soul this maiden possesseth.

KREON.

For this, and for loitering thus by the way, With weeping and wailing these guards shall atone.

ANTIGONE.

Ah me! this announcement has come to mine ears, The near neighbour of death!

CHORUS.

No comfort I give for the confident hope That this sentence will lack its fulfilment.

ANTIGONE.

Land of my fathers! city of Thebe! Gods of my lineage! άγομαι δή, κούκ ἔτι μέλλω.
λεύσσετε, Θήβης † τὴν κοιρανιδῶν μούνην λοιπὴν,
οἶα πρὸς οἴων ἀνδρῶν πάσχω,
τὴν εὐσεβίαν σεβίσασα.

915

Ι. ΣΤΑΣΙΜΟΝ ΤΕΤΑΡΤΟΝ.

ΧΟΡΟΣ.

ΈΤΛΑ καὶ Δανάας οὐράνιον φῶς στρ. α΄. ἀλλάξαι δέμας ἐν χαλκοδέτοις αὐλαῖς 920 κρυπτομένα δ΄ ἐν τυμβήρει θαλάμφ κατεζεύχθη. καίτοι †καὶ γενεᾳ τίμιος, ὧ παῖ, παῖ, καὶ Ζηνὸς ταμιεύεσκε γονὰς χρυσορύτους. ἀλλ΄ ἀ μοιριδία τις δύνασις δεινά οὔτ' ἄν νιν †ὅλβος, οὕτ' Ἄρης, 925 οὐ πύργος, οὐχ ἀλίκτυποι κελαιναὶ νᾶες ἐκφύγοιεν.

ζεύχθη δ' † όξύχολος παῖς ὁ Δρύαντος, ἀντ. α΄. Ήδωνῶν βασιλεὺς, κερτομίοις οργαῖς, ἐκ Διονύσου πετρώδει κατάφαρκτος ἐν δεσμῷ. 930 οὕτω τᾶς μανίας δεινὸν ἀποστάζει ἀνθηρόν τε μένος κεῖνος ἐπέγνω †δὲ * δύαις ψαύων τὸν θεὸν ἐν κερτομίοις γλώσσαις. παὐεσκε μὲν γὰρ ἐνθέους γυναῖκας, εὕιόν τε πῦρ, Φιλαύλους τ΄ 935

⁹¹⁵ γρ. οἱ κοιρανίδαι τὴν βασιλίδα.
 ⁹²⁶ γρ. ὅμβρος.
 ⁹²⁸ γρ. ὀξυχόλως.
 ⁹³² γρ. μανίαις.

They seize me—no longer I tarry!

See me, the only surviving branch of the Princes of Thebe,

See what a doom, and from whom, is upon me,

Because I the holy have hallowed!

(Antigone is led away.)

X. FOURTH STASIMON.

CHORUS.

STROPHE I.

E'en Danaë's form endured to lose
In brass-clampt halls the light of heaven.
Concealed and pent was she in tomb-like chamber;
And yet, my child, my child,
From lineage high she came,
And husbanded the seed of Zeus,
Flowing in golden streams.
The power of destiny is mighty still!
Nor wealth nor war,
Nor tower on land, nor the black ships, sea-stricken,
Can escape it.

ANTISTROPHE I.

He too, so keen in wrath, the son of Dryas, Edonia's King, received the yoke, Thanks to his taunting mood,
By Dionysus closed around with rocky bonds.
So mighty and so vigorous the strength
Of madness which distilled from him.
But sorrow taught him
It was a God his jeering tongue had mocked.
For he sought to let and hinder
The dames possessed by God,
And the Bacchanalian torches;

ηρέθιζε Μούσας.

παρὰ δὲ Κυανέων πελαγέων διδύμας άλὸς, στρ. β΄. άκταὶ Βοσπόριαι, ιδ' ὁ Θρηκῶν † [ἄξενος]

Σαλμύδησος, ϊν' * άγχιστος Αρης

δισσοίσι Φινείδαις

940

είδεν άρατον έλκος,

τυφλωθεν έξ άγρίας δάμαρτος,

άλαον άλαστόροισιν ομμάτων κύκλοις άραχθεν, έγγεων * άτερθε,

χείρεσσι καὶ κερκίδων άκμαῖσι.

945

κατὰ δὲ τακόμενοι μέλεοι μελέαν πάθαν άντιστ. β΄. κλαῖον ματρὸς, ἔχοντες ἀνύμφευτον γονάν Το δὲ σπέρμα μέν ἀρχαιρχόνων

ά δε σπέρμα μεν άρχαιογόνων †αύδασ' Έρεχθειδαν,

τηλεπόροις δ' έν ἄντροις

950

τράφη θυέλλησιν έν πατρώαις

Βορεάς ἄμιππος ὀρθόποδος ὑπερ πάγου θεῶν παῖς ἀλλὰ κάπ' ἐκείνα

Μοιραι μακραίωνες έσχον, ω παί.

ΙΑ. ΕΠΕΙΣΟΔΙΟΝ ΠΕΜΠΤΟΝ.

ΤΕΙΡΕΣΙΑΣ.

ΘΗΒΗΣ ἄνακτες, ήκομεν κοινήν όδον

955

938 λείπ. άξενος. 944 γρ. έγχ. υφ' αίματηραίς. ⁹³⁹ γρ. ἀγχίπολις. ⁹⁴⁹ ἄντασ'. And much provoked the Muses of the flute.

STROPHE II.

By the Cyanean shoals, where two seas meet,
Are the Bosporian cliffs, and Salmydesus,
Where Thracians dwell, unkind to voyagers.
There Mars, the neighbour, saw the accursed wound,
Inflicted, blindness-bringing,
On the two sons of Phineus,
By his savage wife;
A wound sight-leasing to the ghostly eye-balls,
Stabbed without spears
By violent hands and with the shuttle's point.

ANTISTROPHE II.

Wasting away their mother's piteous sufferings,
Full piteously they bewailed,
Sprung as they were from one
In marriage most unblest.
But she, by line maternal, challenged her share
In the old honours of the Erechtheidæ.
And, Boreas-daughter, she was reared amid paternal
gales,

In the deep-grottoed caverns;
Swift as the steed she clomb the precipices—
Child of the deities was she,
But yet the everlasting Fates
O'ertook e'en her, my child.

(Teiresias enters led by a boy.)

XI. FIFTH EPISODE.

TEIRESIAS.

Nobles of Thebes, behold us here consorted,

δύ έξ ένδς βλέποντε. τοῖς τυφλοῖσι γὰρ αὕτη κέλευθος ἐκ προηγητοῦ πέλει.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

τί δ΄ ἔστιν, ω γεραιέ Τειρεσία, νέον;

ΤΕΙΡΕΣΙΑΣ.

έγω διδάξω και σύ τῷ μάντει πιθοῦ.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

ούκουν πάρος γε σης απεστάτουν φρενός.

960

ΤΕΙΡΕΣΙΑΣ.

τοιγάρ δι όρθης τήνδε ναυκληρείς πόλιν.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

έχω πεπουθώς μαρτυρείν ονήσιμα.

ΤΕΙΡΕΣΙΑΣ.

Φρόνει βεβώς αὖ νῦν ἐπὶ ξυροῦ τύχης.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

τί δ έστιν; ως έγω το σον φρίσσω στόμα.

ΤΕΙΡΕΣΙΑΣ.

γνώσει, τέχνης σημεία της έμης κλύων.
είς γὰρ παλαιὸν θᾶκον ὀρνιθοσκόπον
ϊζων, ϊν ην μοι παντὸς οἰωνοῦ λιμην,
ἀγνῶτ' ἀκούω φθόγγον ὀρνίθων, κακῷ
κλάζοντας οἴστρφ καὶ βεβαρβαρωμένφ,
καὶ σπῶντας ἐν χηλαῖσιν ἀλλήλους φοναῖς
ἔγνων' πτερῶν γὰρ ροῖβδος οὐκ ἄσημος ην.
εὐθὺς δὲ δείσας, ἐμπύρων ἐγευόμην
βωμοῖσι παυφλέκτοισιν' ἐκ δὲ θυμάτων

965

970

Yokefellows of the road, and one for both Doth spy the way: for thus it is, the blind Must stay at home, unless his guide go with him.

KREON.

O old Teiresias, say, what hath befallen?

TEIRESIAS.

That shalt thou learn: do thou the seer obey.

KREON.

Never as yet have I thy counsel scorned.

TEIRESIAS.

Therefore thou steer'st the state unswerved by storms.

KREON.

I own the profit that I owe to thee.

TEIRESIAS.

Once more thou standest on the edge of fate.

KREON.

What is't? I shudder as I hear thy words.

TEIRESIAS.

The tokens of my art will tell thee. Listen!

I sat upon mine old augurial throne,
Where was my haven for each fowl of the air,
And lo! I hear an unknown voice of birds,
Clamouring with fierce and inarticulate rage,
And clawing one another to the death.
Thus much I knew: for their wings' whizzing sound
Told a plain tale. And forthwith in my fear
I sent to try the ignispicious signs
Amid the blaze of the enkindled altars.
There from the victim no clear flame arose,

"Η Φαιστος οὐκ έλαμπεν, άλλ' ἐπὶ σποδώ μυδώσα κηκίς μηρίων έτήκετο, 975 κάτυφε, κανέπτυε και μετάρσιοι γολαί διεσπείροντο, και καταρρυείς μηροί καλυπτής έξέκειντο πιμελής. τοιαθτα παιδός τοθδ' έμάνθανον πάρα, Φθίνοντ' ασήμων οργίων μαντεύματα. 980 έμοι γάρ ούτος ήγεμών, άλλοις δ' έγώ. καὶ ταῦτα τῆς σῆς ἐκ Φρενὸς νοσεῖ πόλις. βωμοί γάρ ήμιν έσχάραι τε παντελείς πλήρεις υπ' οίωνων τε και κυνών βορας τοῦ δυσμόρου πεπτώτος Οίδίπου γόνου. 985 κατ' ου δέχονται θυστάδας λιτάς έτι θεοί παρ' ήμων, ούδε μηρίων Φλόγα, ούδ όργις ευσήμους απορροιβδεί βοας, ανδροφθόρου βεβρώτες αίματος λίπος. ταῦτ' οὖν, τέκνον, Φρόνησον. ἀνθρώποισι γὰρ 990 τοις πασι κοινόν έστι τουξαμαρτάνειν έπει δ αμάρτη, κείνος ουκ έτ' έστ' ανήρ άβουλος ούδ' άνολβος, ύστις ές κακόν πεσών άκειται, μηδ άκίνητος πέλει. αὐθαδία τοι σκαιότητ' όφλισκάνει. 995 άλλ' είκε τῷ θανόντι, μηδ' όλωλότα κέντει. τίς άλκη του θανόντ' έπικτανείν; εὖ σοι Φρονήσας εὖ λέγω τὸ μαθάνειν δ ήδιστον εὖ λέγοντος, εἰ κέρδος λέγοι.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

ῶ πρέσβυ, πάντες, ώστε τοξόται σκοποῦ, 1000 τοξεύετ ἀνδρὸς τοῦδε, κούδὲ μαντικῆς

But in the ashes liquefying grease From off the bones did ooze and smoke and sputter. High in the air the vesicles were scattered: And from the solid fat, which covered them, The thighs fell out, and lay all bare below. Such baffled signs of omens indistinct This boy made known to me. For, as to others I serve as guide, he serves as guide to me. Thy will has brought this sickness on the state. Our altars, high and low, of every sort, Have taken infection from the birds and dogs Which feed upon the son of Œdipus, Fallen by such a dismal-fatal end. Therefore the Gods no longer take our proffers Of sacrificial prayers and thigh-bone flames; Nor do the birds with flapping wings give out Sounds of good omen, for they all have eaten The fattening blood of man in battle slain. Then take these things to heart, my son: for error Is as the universal lot of man; But whensoe'er he errs, that man no longer Is witless or unblest, who, having fallen Into misfortune, seeks to mend his ways And is not obstinate: the stiffneckt temper Must oft plead guilty to the charge of folly. Then yield thee to the dead, nor further stab The fallen foe: what bravery is this, To kill the dead again? With good intentions I give thee now good counsel, and to learn Is sweetest when good counsel counsels gain.

KREON.

Old man, ye all, like bowmen at the butts, Are aiming at me; e'en with prophet's lore SOPH. ANT. άπρακτος ὑμῖν εἰμὶ, τῶν * ὕπ΄, ἀργύρου, ἐξημπόλημαι κάκπεφόρτισμαι πάλαι. κερδαίνετ΄, ἐμπολᾶτε τὸν πρὸς Σάρδεων ἡλεκτρον, εἰ βούλεσθε, καὶ τὸν Ἰνδικὸν 1005 χρυσόν τάφῳ δ ἐκεῖνον οὐχὶ κρύψετε, οὐδ εἰ θέλουσ΄ οἰ Ζηνὸς αἰετοὶ βορὰν φέρειν νιν ἀρπάζοντες ἐς Διὸς θρόνους, οὐδ ὡς μίασμα τοῦτο μὴ τρέσας ἐγω θάπτειν παρήσω κεῖνον. εὖ γὰρ οἱδ ὅτι 1010 θεοὺς μιαίνειν οὕτις ἀνθρώπων σθένει. πίπτουσι δ, ὧ γεραιὲ Τειρεσία, βροτῶν χοὶ πολλὰ δεινοὶ πτώματ΄ αἴσχρ', ὅταν λόγους αἰσχροὺς καλῶς λέγωσι τοῦ κέρδους χάριν.

ΤΕΙΡΕΣΙΑΣ.

φεῦ.

1015

αρ' οίδεν ανθρώπων τις, αρα φράζεται-

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

τί χρημα; ποιον τουτο πάγκοινον λέγεις.

ΤΕΙΡΕΣΙΑΣ.

όσω κράτιστον κτημάτων εὐβουλία;

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

όσφπερ, οίμαι, μη φρονείν πλείστη βλάβη.

ΤΕΙΡΕΣΙΑΣ.

ταύτης σὺ μέντοι τῆς νόσου πλήρης έφυς.

1020

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

ου βούλομαι τον μάντιν άντειπείν κακώς.

1002 γρ. των δ' ύπαι γένους.

I am bartered for by you, by whom, for silver,
This long while have I been both bought and sold.
Well! make your gains: earn, as ye will, by traffick
The Lydian amber-gold and Indian gold:
But natheless ye shall never bury him;—
Not though Jove's eagles take him as their food,
And bear him to the God's supernal throne,
Not by the dread of this pollution moved
Will I give him to burial: for I know
'Tis not in man to foul heaven's purity.
But, old Teiresias, e'en the ablest mortals
Fall shamefully, when, for the sake of gain,
They utter shameful speeches speciously.

TEIRESIAS.

Oh!

What man is there that knows? who that considers—

KREON.

In what? thou askest comprehensive questions.

TEIRESIAS.

How far the best of goods good counsel is?

KREON.

As far as folly is the greatest loss.

TEIRESIAS.

Well, thou at least hast caught that grievous ailment.

KREON.

I will not bandy insults with a prophet.

ΤΕΙΡΕΣΙΑΣ,

καὶ μην λέγεις, ψευδη με θεσπίζειν λέγων.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

τὸ μαντικὸν γὰρ πᾶν Φιλάργυρου γένος.

ΤΕΙΡΕΣΙΑΣ.

τὸ δ' ἐκ τυράννων, αἰσχροκέρδειαν Φιλεῖ.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

άρ' οίσθα ταγούς όντας, ἃν λέγης, λέγων; 1

1025

ΤΕΙΡΕΣΙΑΣ.

οίδ' έξ έμου γάρ τήνδ έχεις σώσας πόλιν.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

σοφός συ μάντις, άλλα τάδικειν φιλών.

ΤΕΙΡΕΣΙΑΣ.

όρσεις με τάκίνητα διά φρενών φράσαι;

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

κίνει, μόνον δε μη πὶ κέρδεσιν λέγων.

ΤΕΙΡΕΣΙΑΣ.

ούτω γάρ ήδη καὶ δοκῶ, τὸ σὸν μέρος;

1030

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

ώς μη μπολήσων ίσθι την έμην φρένα.

ΤΕΙΡΕΣΙΑΣ.

άλλ' εὖ γέ τοι κάτισθι μὴ πολλοὺς ἔτι τροχοὺς ἀμιλλητῆρας Ἡλίου τελῶν, ἐν οἶσι τῶν σῶν αὐτὸς ἐκ σπλάγχνων ἔνα νέκυν νεκρῶν ἀμοιβὸν ἀντιδοὺς ἔσει ἀνθ ὧν ἔχεις μὲν τῶν ἄνω βαλῶν κάτω,

1035

TEIRESIAS.

Nay but thou dost, belying my predictions.

. KREON.

The race of seers is wholly given to pelf.

TEIRESIAS.

The tyrant-race is given to filthy lucre.

KREON.

Know'st thou it is thy King thou greetest thus?

TEIRESIAS.

Thou rul'st the state my aid preserved for thee.

KREON.

A wise seer art thou, but unrighteous ever.

TEIRESIAS.

Must I awake the secrets of my soul!

KREON.

Awake them: only speak no more for gain.

TEIRESIAS.

And thinkest thou I am seeking gain from thee?

KREON.

Know this-thou shalt not traffick in my will.

TEIRESIAS.

And know thou this—the next few revolutions
Of the sun's wheels in rival circles rolling
Scarce shalt thou compass, ere thou hast exchanged,
Dead for the dead a recompense, a child
In whom thy heart's blood flows; because that thou
Hast cast below one who should be above,

ψυχήν τ' άτίμως έν τάφω *μετοικίσας. έχεις δε των κάτωθεν ένθάδ αὐ θεών αμοιρον, ακτέριστον, ανόσιον νέκυν. ων ούτε σοι μέτεστιν ούτε τοις άνω 1040 θεοίσιν, άλλ' έκ σοῦ βιάζονται τάδε. τούτων σε λωβητηρες ύστεροφθόροι λογωσιν "Αιδου καὶ θεων 'Εριννύες, έν τοίσιν αὐτοίς τοίσδε ληφθήναι κακοίς. καὶ ταῦτ' ἄθρησον εί κατηργυρωμένος 1045 λέγω. Φανεί γάρ ου μακρού χρόνου τριβή άνδρων, γυναικών, σοις δόμοις κωκύματα. έχθραὶ δὲ πᾶσαι ξυνταράσσονται πόλεις, όσων σπαράγματ' ή κύνες †καθήγισαν, η θηρες, η τις πτηνός σίωνός, Φέρων 1050 ανόσιον όσμην έστιοῦχον ές πόλιν. τοιαθτά σου, λυπείς γάρ, ώστε τοξότης άφηκα θυμφ καρδίας τοξεύματα βέβαια, των σύ θάλπος ούχ ύπεκδραμεί. ὧ παῖ, σὺ δ' ἡμᾶς ἄπαγε πρὸς δόμους, ἵνα 1055 τον θυμον ούτος ές νεωτέρους άφη, καὶ γνῷ τρέφειν τὴν γλῶσσαν ἡσυχωτέραν, τον νουν τ' άμείνω των Φρενών, ή νυν Φέρει.

ΧΟΡΟΣ.

ανήρ, ἄναξ, βέβηκε δεινά θεσπίσας. επιστάμεσθα δ', εξ ότου λευκήν εγώ. 1060 τήνδ' εκ μελαίνης αμφιβάλλομαι τρίχα, μή πώ ποτ' αὐτὸν ψεῦδος ες πόλιν λακεῖν.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

έγνωκα καὐτὸς, καὶ ταράσσομαι φρένας.

1037 γρ. κάτψκισας. 1049 γρ. καθήγνισαν.

And, stript of franchise in the land of life, Hast sent a soul to settle in the grave. And, on the other part, detainest here, From Gods infernal excommunicate, An unentombed and unaneléd corpse. Thou hast not art or part in him, nor have The Gods above, but thou constrainest them. Therefore, with dreadful thoughts of future mischief, The avenging Sprites of Hades and of Heaven Lay wait to take thee in the self-same evils. Look to it now, if I say this for silver. For, yet a little while, and thou shalt hear The wails of men and women in thy palace; And all the states are stirred in rage together, Whose mangled citizens have found a tomb In hungry maw of dogs and beasts of prey, Or where some winged fowl of the air has borne Unholy odours to their hearth and home. Such arrows in mine anger, for thou gall'st me, I, as an archer, shoot against thy heart, Well-aimed, and thou wilt not escape their sting. Boy, lead me home again that he may vent His rage on younger men, and learn to keep His tongue more quiet, and to train his mind To wiser thoughts than those which guide him now.

(Teiresias retires.)

CHORUS.

Sire, he is gone, after dread prophecies.

And since the hoary hairs which crown my head
Were raven locks, I never knew him speak
Falsely in what concerns the common weal.

KREON.

I know it too: my mind is ill at ease.

τό τ' εἰκάθειν γὰρ δεινόν· ἀντιστάντα δὲ ἄτη πατάξαι θυμον, ἐν δεινῷ πάρα.

1065

ΧΟΡΟΣ.

εύβουλίας δεῖ, παῖ Μενοικέως, Κρέον.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

τί δητα χρη δραν; φράζε πείσομαι δ' έγω.

ΧΟΡΟΣ.

έλθων, κόρην μέν έκ κατώρυχος στέγης άνες κτίσον δε τῷ προκειμένῳ τάφον.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

καὶ ταῦτ' ἐπαινεῖς, καὶ δοκεῖς παρεικάθειν;

1070

ΧΟΡΟΣ.

όσον γ', άναξ, τάχιστα. συντέμνουσι γάρ θεών ποδώκεις τοὺς κακόφρονας βλάβαι.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

οίμοι. μόλις μέν, καρδίας δ' έξίσταμαι το δράν ανάγκη δ' ούχὶ δυσμαχητέον.

ΧΟΡΟΣ.

δρα νυν τάδ έλθων, μηδ έπ άλλοισιν τρέπε. 1075

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

ωδ ως έχω στείχοιμ ἄν ττ ττ, όπαονες, οι τ όντες, οι τ απόντες, αξίνας χεροιν όρμασθ ελόντες εις επόψιον τόπον. εγω δ, επειδή δόξα τηδ επεστράφη, αὐτός τ εδησα, και παρων εκλύσομαι.

1080

For if to yield is painful, opposition, Where mischief smites our wrath, is painful too.

CHORUS.

Advise thee well, Kreon, Menœkeus' son.

KREON.

What must I do? Speak; I will heed thy words.

CHORUS.

Go, free the damsel from the cavern'd chamber, And make a tomb for the neglected corse.

KREON.

Is this thy counsel, and must I give way!

CHORUS.

At once, O King! The hind'rances of heaven Swiftly, by cross-ways, overtake our folly.

KREON.

Ah me!

'Tis hard, but still my heart must yield to do it; For he who fights with fate must fight in vain.

CHORUS.

Then go and do it. Leave it not to others.

KREON.

Forth from this spot-I go: up, up, my servants, Present and absent, hasten, axe in hand,
To the high downs which rise before our eyes.
And I, since that my mind has ta'en this turn,
Myself will free her whom I bound myself.

δέδοικα γάρ μη τους καθεστώτας νόνους άριστον η σώζοντα τον βίον τελείν.

ΙΒ. ΟΡΧΗΣΤΙΚΟΝ.

ΧΟΡΟΣ.

Πολγωννμε, Καδμείας νύμφας άγαλμα, στροφή α΄.
καὶ Διὸς βαρυβρεμέτα
γένος, κλυτὰν ὃς ἀμφέπεις
1085
Ίταλίαν, μέδεις δὲ
παγκοίνοις Ἐλευσινίας
Δηοῦς ἐν κόλποις,
Βακχεῦ Βακχᾶν
† ὁ †ματρόπολιν Θήβαν
1090
† ναιετῶν, παρὶ ὑγρῶν
Ἰσμηνοῦ † ρείθρων ἀγρίου τὰ
ἐπὶ σπορῷ δράκοντος.

σὲ δ' ὑπὲρ †διλόφοιο πέτρας στέροψ
ὅπωπε ἀντιστ. α΄.
λιγνὺς, ἔνθα Κωρύκιαι 1095
Νύμφαι †στίχουσι Βακχίδες,
Κασταλίας δὲ νᾶμα·
καί σε Νυσαίων ὀρέων
κισσήρεις ὅχθαι,
χλωρά τ' ἀκτὰ 1100
πολυσταφυλος πέμπει,
ἀμβρότων ἐπέων
εὐαζόντων, Θηβαίας
ἐπισκοποὐντ' ἀγυιάς·

1089 γρ. & Βακχεῦ. 1090 γρ. μητρόπολιν. 1091 γρ. ναίων. 1093 γρ. ρέεθρων. 1094 γρ. διλόφου. 1094 γρ. στείχουσι.

For now I greatly fear 'tis best to pass Through life observant of the established laws.

(Hastens off the stage, followed by his guards.)

XII. TRAGIC DANCING SONG.

CHORUS.

STROPHE I.

Thou of the many names,
Whom Kadmus' daughter loves with a mother's pride,
Whom Jove the awful thunderer begot;
Guardian of far-famed Italy, and King
In dales of Eleusinian Deo, votary-thronged,
Baccheus, the Bacchante's mother-city,
Thebe inhabiting,
By the Ismenus' ever-flowing streams,
Where the grim dragon's teeth were sown.

ANTISTROPHE I.

Thee o'er the double-crested rock
The illumined smoke beholds,
Whither ascend Korycian nymphs in Bacchanalian chorus:
Thee too beholds Kastalia's fount: and thee
The ivy-mantled slopes of Nysa's hills,
And that green headland, where thick clusters hang,
Send, when religious voices hymn thy name,
A visitant to our Thebæan streets.

τὰν †ἔκπαγλα τιμᾶς στροφή β΄. 1105 ὑπὲρ †πασᾶν πόλεων ματρὶ σὺν κεραυνία: καὶ νῦν, ὡς βιαίας ἔχεται πάνδημος †ἀμὰ πόλις ἐπὶ νόσου, μολεῖν καθαρσίω ποδὶ Παρνησίαν 1110 ὑπὲρ κλιτὺν ἡ στονόεντα πορθμόν.

ίω πῦρ πνεόντων ἀντιστροφὴ β΄. χόραγ' ἄστρων, νυχίων φθεγμάτων ἐπίσκοπε, 1115 παῖ † Ζηνὸς γένεθλον, † προφάνηθ' ὧ Ναξίαις σαῖς ἄμα περιπόλοις † Θυίαισιν, αῖ σε μαινόμεναι πάννυχοι χορεύουσι τὸν ταμίαν "1ακχον. 1120

ΙΓ. ΕΞΟΔΟΣ.

ΑΓΓΕΛΟΣ.

ΚΑΔΜΟΥ πάροικοι καὶ δόμων Άμφίονος,
οὐκ ἔσθ' ὁποῖον στάντ' ᾶν ἀνθρώπου βίον
οὕτ' αἰνέσαιμ' ᾶν, οὕτε μεμψαίμην ποτέ.
Τύχη γὰρ ὀρθοῖ καὶ Τύχη καταρρέπει
τὸν εὐτυχοῦντα, τόν τε δυστυχοῦντ', ἀεί 1125
καὶ μάντις οὐδεὶς τῶν καθεστώτων βροτοῖς.

 1108 6 $\gamma \rho$, 6 6 7 6 7 6 7 7 6 7

STROPHE II.

Her of all cities chief thou honourest,
Thou and thy mother, lightning-blasted!
And now that all the city-folk are vexed
With violent distemper, come to us
With cleansing foot, o'er the Parnasian height,
Or 'cross the roaring strait.

ANTISTROPHE II.

What ho! choir-leader of fire-breathing stars,
That listenest still to nightly acclamations,
Begotten child of Zeus, appear before us,
With all thy Naxian revel-rout around thee,
Who with mad choirs from sun-down to sun-rise
Honour thee, giver of all good, Iacchus!

XIII. THE EXODUS.

Enter a messenger: then Eurydike: lastly Kreon, and to him one of the slaves of his household.

MESSENGER.

(Enters on the right by the Parascenia, as from the country.)

O YE who dwell as neighbours by the palace Of Kadmus and Amphion, howso stands The life of any man, I ne'er would venture To speak of it with only praise or blame. For be our present fortune good or bad, Our fortune's scale is ever on the turn, And prophets ne'er predict stability.

Κρέων γὰρ ἦν ζηλωτὸς, ὡς ἐμοὶ, ποτὲ,
σώσας μὲν ἐχθρῶν τήνδε Καδμείαν χθόνα,
λαβών τε χώρας παντελῆ μοναρχίαν
εὔθυνε, θάλλων εἰγενεῖ τέκνων σπορῷ 1130
καὶ νῦν ἀφεῖται πάντα. τὰς γὰρ ἡδονὰς
ὅταν προδῶσιν ἄνδρες, οὐ τίθημ' ἐγὼ
ζῆν τοῦτον, ἀλλ' ἔμψυχον ἡγοῦμαι νεκρόν.
πλούτει τε γὰρ κατ' οἶκον, εἰ βούλει, μέγα,
καὶ ζῆ τύραννον σχῆμ' ἔχων' ἐὰν δ' ἀπῆ 1135
τούτων τὸ χαίρειν, τἄλλ' ἐγὼ καπνοῦ σκιᾶς
οὐκ ἂν πριαίμην ἀνδρὶ πρὸς τὴν ἡδονήν.

ΧΟΡΟΣ.

τί δ' αὖ τόδ' ἄχθος βασιλέων ήκεις φέρων;

ΑΓΓΕΛΟΣ.

τεθνασιν' οι δέ ζωντες αίτιοι θανείν.

ΧΟΡΟΣ.

καὶ τίς φονεύει; τίς δ' ὁ κείμενος; λέγε.

ΑΓΓΕΛΟΣ.

Αίμων όλωλεν, αὐτόχειρ δ' αἰμάσσεται.

ΧΟΡΟΣ.

πότερα πατρώας, η πρός οίκείας χερός;

ΑΓΓΕΛΟΣ.

αυτός πρός αυτοῦ, πατρί μηνίσας φόνου.

ΧΟΡΟΣ.

ω μάντι, τοῦπος ως ἄρ' ὁρθον ἤνυσας.

ΑΓΓΕΛΟΣ.

ώς ὧδ έχόντων, τάλλα βουλεύειν πάρα.

1145

1140

Thus Kreon's lot erewhile provoked my envy,
When that he saved this country from its foes,
And ruled in absolute sovranty the land
Of Kadmus, blest with noble progeny.
Now—all is gone. For him I reckon but
An animate corpse, and not a living man,
Whose life's delights are cast away. Thy house,
I grant thee, may be richly stored with wealth;
And thou may'st live in royal pomp: but if
Joy is not there the while, and I must lose
All happiness thereby, I would not give
Smoke's shadow as the price of all the rest.

CHORUS.

What royal sorrow hast thou here to tell?

MESSENGER.

Dead are they! and the living own their death.

CHORUS.

Who is the slayer! who hath fallen! Speak.

(Eurydike opens the doors.)

MESSENGER.

Hæmon is dead! no stranger shed his blood.

CHORUS.

Was it his father's, or his own hand slew him?

MESSENGER.

His own—his father's deed of death incensed him.

CHORUS.

O seer, how soothfast thou hast made thy words!

MESSENGER.

This done, the rest demands your best advice.

(Eurydike comes from the pálacs gates attended.)

ΧΟΡΟΣ.

καὶ μὴν ὁρῶ τάλαιναν Εὐρυδίκην ὁμοῦ δάμαρτα τὴν Κρέοντος ἐκ δὲ δωμάτων ἥτοι κλύουσα παιδὸς ἡ τύχη πάρα.

ΕΥΡΥΔΙΚΗ.

ῶ πάντες ἀστοὶ, τῶν λόγων ἐπησθόμην
πρὸς ἔξοδον στείχουσα, Παλλάδος θεᾶς

ὅπως ἰκοίμην εὐγμάτων προσήγορος.
καὶ τυγχάνω τε κλῆθρ' ἀνασπαστοῦ πύλης
χαλῶσα, καὶ με φθόγγος οἰκείου κακοῦ
βάλλει δι' ὥτων' ὑπτία δὲ κλίνομαι
δείσασα πρὸς δμωαῖσι, κἀποπλήσσομαι.

1155
ἀλλ' ὅστις ἦν ὁ μῦθος, αὖθις εἴπατε.
κακῶν γὰρ οὐκ ἄπειρος οὖσ' ἀκούσυμαι.

ΑΓΓΕΛΟΣ.

έγω, φίλη δέσποινα, καὶ παρων έρω, κοὐδὲν παρήσω τῆς ἀληθείας ἔπος.

τί γάρ σε μαλθάσσοιμ' ἄν, ὧν ες ὕστερον 1160 ψεῦσται φανούμεθ'; ὀρθὸν ἀλήθει ἀεί.

ἐγω δὲ σῷ ποδαγὸς ἐσπόμην πόσει πεδίον ἐπ' ἄκρον ἔνθ' ἔκει το νηλεὲς κυνοσπάρακτον σῶμα Πολυνείκους ἔτι καὶ τὸν μὲν, αἰτήσαντες ἐνοδίαν θεὸν, 1165 Πλούτωνά τ', ὀργὰς εὐμενεῖς κατασχέθειν, λούσαντες ἀγνὸν λουτρὸν, ἐν νεοσπάσι θαλλοῖς ὁ δὴ λέλειπτο συγκατήθομεν, καὶ τύμβον ὀρθόκρανον οἰκείας χθονὸς

CHORUS.

Ah! poor Eurydike, I see her come, Consort of Kreon: she has left the palace, Hearing her son's disaster, or by chance.

EURYDIKE.

O all ye citizens, I heard the tidings As I was coming forth to bear my greeting Of supplication to the goddess Pallas. Just as I loosed the bolt of the closed door. Tidings of mine own sorrow pierced my ears, And, horrified, I fell into the arms Of these my followers, and my senses fled. Whate'er the story was, tell it again. To hear of sorrow is not new to me.

MESSENGER.

I, dear my Queen,-for I was there-will speak. And nought extenuate the truth's disclosures. Why should I smooth with words, when after-hours Would prove me false? The truth stands fast in all things. I waited on my Lord, to guide his steps To the high upland mead, where still was lying, Most piteously rent and torn by dogs, The corse of Polyneikes. Him, with prayers To Pluto and the Goddess of the Way, That they would change their wrath to graciousness, We washed with pure lavations, and with boughs Torn from the living olive, all together We joined in burning what remained of him; And heaping high for him a funeral mound Ι SOPH. ANTIG.

γώσαντες, αθες προς λιθόστρωτον κόρης 1170 νυμφείον Αιδου κοίλον είσεβαίνομεν. φωνης δ άπωθεν ορθίων κωκυμάτων κλύει τις ακτέριστον αμφί παστάδα, καὶ δεσπότη Κρέοντι σημαίνει μολών. τῷ δ άθλίας ἄσημα περιβαίνει βοῆς 1175 έρποντι μαλλον ασσον, οιμώξας δ', έπος ίησι δυσθρήνητου 3Ω τάλας έγω, αρ' είμὶ μάντις; αρα δυστυχεστάτην κέλευθον έρπω των παρελθουσων όδων; παιδός με σαίνει Φθόγγος. άλλά, πρόσπολοι, 1180 ίτ' ασσον ώκεις, και παραστάντες τάφω, άθρήσαθ' άρμον χώματος λιθοσπαδή, δύντες προς αυτό στόμιον, εί τον Αίμονος Φθόγγον ξυνίημ', ή θεοίσι κλέπτομαι.τάδ' έξ άθύμου δεσπότου κελεύσμασιν 1185 ήθρουμεν έν δε λοισθίω τυμβεύματι την μέν, κρεμαστην αυχένος, κατείδομεν βρόχω μιτώδει σινδόνος καθημμένην. τον δ', άμφι μέσση περιπετή προσκείμενον, εύνης αποιμώζοντα της κάτω Φθοράν, 1190 καὶ πατρὸς ἔργα, καὶ τὸ δύστηνον λέγος. ο δ΄ ως ορά σφε, στυγνον οιμώξας, έσω γωρεί πρός αὐτὸν, κάνακωκύσας καλεί: Ω τλημον, οίον έργον είργασαι; τίνα νοῦν ἔσχες; ἐν τῷ ξυμφορᾶς διεφθάρης; 1195 έξελθε, τέκνον ικέσιός σε λίσσομαι.-

Of natal earth, straightway from thence we sought The vaulted chamber paved with blocks of stone, Where Death had wooed the maiden as his bride. And while it still was distant, some one hears The voice of lamentations, treble-toned, Peal from the porch of that unhallowed cell, And bears the tale right hastily to Kreon. But as the King drew near there floated round him, In accents indistinct, the wail of woe. Then he, his words by weeping interrupted, Exclaimed, "Ah me! unhappy that I am! And was my soul prophetic! Is this road Which now I tread most fraught with wretchedness Of all my paths? 'Tis my son's voice that greets me! Quick then, ye slaves, draw nearer to the tomb, And, standing hard beside it, 'drag away The closely-fitting stones which block the passage; Then, creeping to the very mouth, discover Whether 'tis Hæmon's voice I recognize, Or heaven has robbed my senses of themselves." We did as our desponding Lord enjoined, And, in the farthest corner of the tomb, We saw her hanging by the neck, fast bound With noose of linen finely-spun, and him With arms enfolded clinging to her form, Bemoaning his lost bride, his father's deeds, And his ill-starred betrothal. When the sire Espied his son, he raised a piteous cry, And entering the tomb approached him there: Then lifting up his voice he wept, and said: "O my poor boy, what hast thou done? what thoughts Possessed thee! what ill fate has wrought thy ruin? Come forth, my son,—a suppliant, I entreat thee."

1210

1215

1220

τον δ άγρίοις ὅσσοισι παπτήνας ὁ παῖς,
πτύσας προσώπῳ, κοὐδὲν ἀντειπῶν, ξίφους
ἔλκει διπλοῦς κνώδοντας ἐκ δ ὁρμωμένου
πατρὸς φυγαῖσιν, ἤμπλακ εἶθ ὁ δύσμορος
1200
αὐτῷ χολωθεὶς, ὤσπερ εἶχ, ἐπενταθεὶς
ἤρεισε πλευραῖς μέσσον ἔγχος, ἐς δ ὑγρὸν
ἀγκῶν ἔτ ἔμφρων παρθένῳ προσπτύσσεται καὶ φυσιῶν ὀξεῖαν ἐκβάλλει πνοὴν
λευκῆ παρειᾳ φοινίου σταλάγματος.
1205
κεῖται δὲ νεκρὸς περὶ νεκρῷ, τά νυμφικὰ
τέλη λαχων δείλαιος †ἐν γ Ἅιδου δόμοις,
δείξας ἐν ἀνθρώποισι τὴν ἀβουλίαν,
ὅσω μέγιστον ἀνδρὶ πρόσκειται κακόν.

ΧΟΡΟΣ.

τί τοῦτ' ἄν εἰκάσειας; ἡ γυνὴ πάλιν Φρούδη, πρὶν εἰπεῖν έσθλὸν ἡ κακὸν λόγον.

ΑΓΓΕΛΟΣ.

καυτός τεθάμβηκ' έλπίσιν δε βόσκομαι, ἄχη τέκνου κλύουσαν, ές πόλιν γόους οὐκ ἀξιώσειν, ἀλλ' ὑπὸ στέγης ἔσω δμωαῖς προθήσειν πένθος οἰκεῖον στένειν. γνώμης γὰρ οὐκ ἄπειρος, ὥσθ' ἀμαρτάνειν.

ΧΟΡΟΣ.

ούκ οίδ' έμοιγ' ούν ή τ' άγαν σιγή βαρύ δοκεί προσείναι, χή μάτην πολλή βοή.

ΑΓΓΕΛΟΣ.

άλλ' εἰσόμεσθα, μή τι και κατάσχετον κρυφη καλύπτει καρδία θυμουμένη,

1207 λείπ, γ'.

With fierce regards the stripling glared on him—His looks spoke hatred though he answered not. Then forth he pulled his double-hilted sword, And, as his father 'scaped the blow by flight, On this, poor wretch, in choler with himself, He leant upon his blade, and fixed it deep Between his ribs; and then with languid arm He claspt the maid in his last consciousness, And in his sharp expiring gasp he threw A purple drop upon her pallid cheek. Dead by the dead, he finds, unhappy youth, His marriage rites consummate in the grave, And shews to all the world that ill advice Is far the worst of ills that fall on man.

(Eurydike rushes into the palace.)

CHORUS.

What would'st thou say of this? the Queen is gone, 'Ere she a word, or good or bad, has spoken!

MESSENGER.

I shudder at it too: but still the hope
Sustains me, that these tidings having heard
Of her son's sad mishap, she may not deign
To let the city look into her moan,
But will, within, impose upon her menials
This office of domestic lamentation.
She is not strange to sense that she should err.

CHORUS.

I wot not, I: meseems that over-silence Threatens no less than wailing uncontrolled.

MESSENGER.

Entering the palace we shall soon discover Whether she veils within her storm-tost heart δόμους παραστείχοντες. εὖ γὰρ οὖν λέγεις·
καὶ τῆς ἄγαν γάρ ἐστί που σιγῆς βάρος.

ΧΟΡΟΣ.

καὶ μὴν ὅδ΄ ἄναξ αὐτὸς ἐφήκει μνῆμ΄ ἐπίσημον διὰ χειρὸς ἔχων, εἰ θέμις εἰπεῖν, οὐκ ἀλλοτρίαν ἄτην, ἀλλ΄ αὐτὸς ἀμαρτών.

1225

ΙΔ. ΚΟΜΜΟΣ ΔΕΥΤΕΡΟΣ.

KPE Ω N.

'Io

φρενῶν δυσφρόνων ἀμαρτήματα στερεά, θανατόευτ΄, ὧ κτανόντας τε καὶ θανόντας βλέποντες ἐμφυλίους. ὧμοι ἐμῶν ἄνολβα βουλευμάτων.

1230

στροφή α΄.

ίω παῖ, νέος νέφ ξὺν μόρφ αἰαῖ, αἰαῖ,

έθανες, άπελύθης, έμαις, ούδε σαισι δυσβουλίαις.

1235

ΧΟΡΟΣ.

οίμ, ως εοικας οψε την δίκην ίδειν.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

οἴμοι,

έχω μαθών δείλαιος έν δ΄ έμφ κάρα θεὸς τότ ἄρα τότε μέγα βάρος μ' έχων Something she may not speak. Thou say'st it well: There is a sort of threat in over-silence.

(Kreon enters from the right, bearing the body of his son, and followed by a retinue of attendants.)

(Anapæstic Movement.)

CHORUS.

Lo! he approaches, the monarch himself, and he Bears in his arms a sign too distinct; if the Truth may be spoken, he rues his own error, Not a mischief inflicted by others.

XIV. SECOND KOMMOS.

KREON.

STROPHE I.

ALAS, alas! the sins of senseless minds—
Saddening, deadening—
Ah! ye that see us both of kindred blood—
The slain beside his slayer.
My ill-starr'd counsels!—out upon them!
O my son, my son,
In years not yet mature, by a fate premature—
—Ah! woe, woe!—
Thou art dead, thou art gone!
'Twas not thy folly, 'twas mine own!

CHORUS.

Alas!-too late meseems the right thou seest.

KREON.

Ah me!

Sorrow hath taught me! then, oh then descending With heavy tread upon my head—the God

έπαισεν, εν δ΄ έσεισεν άγρίαις όδοῖς, οἴμοι, λακπάτητον άντρέπων χαράν. Φεῦ, Φεῦ, ὧ πόνοι βροτῶν δύσπονοι. 1240

ΕΞΑΓΓΕΛΟΣ.

ὦ δέσποθ', ὡς ἔχων τε καὶ κεκτημένος, τὰ μὲν πρὸ χειρῶν τάδε Φέρων, τὰ δ' ἐν δόμοις ἔοικας ἥκειν καὶ τάχ' ὄψεσθαι κακά. 1245

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

τί δ έστιν αὐ κάκιον, ἡ κακῶν έτι;

ΕΞΑΓΓΕΛΟΣ.

γυνή τέθνηκε, τοῦδε παμμήτωρ νεκροῦ, δύστηνος, ἄρτι νεοτόμοισι πλήγμασιν.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

ίὼ,

ιω δυσκάθαρτος "Αιδου λιμήν. άντιστ. α'. 1250 τί μ' ἄρα, τί μ' όλέκεις;
ω κακάγγελτά μοι προπέμψας ἄχη, τίνα θροεῖς λόγον;
αῖ, αῖ, όλωλότ' ἄνδρ' ἐπεξειργάσω.
τί Φής; τίνα λέγεις νέον μοι *νέψ, 1255 αἰαῖ, αἰαῖ, αἰαῖ, σφάγιον ἐπ' ὀλέθρω

ΕΞΑΓΓΕΛΟΣ.

οράν πάρεστιν. οὐ γάρ έν μυχοῖς έτι.

γυναικείον αμφικείσθαι μόρον;

1266 γρ. νέον μοι λόγον.

Spurned me and cast me on my cruel ways.

—Ah me!

He overturned and trampled on my joy.

Fie, fie!—the toilsome toils of mortal men.

ATTENDANT.

(From the house.)

O sire, as having both in hand and store, Thou bringest home this sorrow in thine arms; But other sorrow soon will greet thee here.

KREON.

What greater, or what other grief is that?

ATTENDANT.

The Queen, with wounds fresh-gaping, lieth dead, Hapless! in life and death her son's true mother.

KREON.

ANTISTROPHE I.

Alas, alas! insatiate gulf of Hades,
Why, ah why destroy me thus?
O thou who hast companioned
These woes of evil tidings,
What are the words thou speakest?
Woe, ah woe!
Already dead, thou hast again undone me.
What say'st thou? What is this thou tellest,
(Ah woe, woe!)
That a new bloody death—my wife's—is added to
This desolation still too new?

CHORUS.

That may'st thou see—the wall no longer hides her.

(The scene opens, and the body of Eurydike is discovered lying on a couch, with a sacrificial knife just fallen from her hand.—The slaves stand around her.)

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

οίμοι.

1260

κακον τόδ άλλο δεύτερον βλέπω τάλας.
τίς άρα, τίς με πότμος έτι περιμένει;
έχω μεν έν χείρεσσιν άρτίως τέκνον,
τάλας, τον δ έναντα προσβλέπω νεκρόν.
Φεῦ, Φεῦ μᾶτερ άθλία, Φεῦ τέκνον.

1265

ΕΞΑΓΓΕΛΟΣ.

ή δ΄ ὀξύθηκτος ήδε βωμία † πτέρυξ

λύει κελαινὰ βλέφαρα, [*προσπίπτει δ΄ ἐκεῖ
σφάγιον ὅπως βωμοῖσι,] κωκύσασα μὲν
τοῦ πρὶν θανόντος Μεγαρέως κλεινὸν †λάχος,
αὐθις δὲ τοῦδε, λοίσθιον δὲ σοὶ κακὰς

1270
πράξεις ἐψυμνήσασα τῷ παιδοκτόνψ.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

αίαῖ, αίαῖ, ἀνέπταν φόβφ. τί μι οὐκ ἀνταίαν ἔπαισέν τις ἀμφιθήκτω ξίφει; δείλαιος έγωὶ,

1275

στροΦη β'.

φεῦ, φεῦ, δειλαία δὲ συγκέκραμαι δύα.

ΕΞΑΓΓΕΛΟΣ.

ώς αιτίαν γε τωνδε κακείνων έχων πρός της θανούσης τησδ' έπεσκήπτου μόρων.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

ποίω δὲ κάπελύσατ' ἐν Φοναῖς τρόπω; 1280 1866 γρ. πέριξ. 1967 λείπ. προπίπτει, κ.τ.λ. 1266 γρ. λέχος.

KREON.

Ah me!

I do indeed behold this second woe.

What—ah! what destiny awaits me still!

While yet my arms enfold my child, unhappy!

I see before mine eyes that bleeding corse!

Alas, ill-fated mother! O my son!

ATTENDANT.

(Standing by Eurydike, and taking up the knife which has fallen from her hand.)

'Twas this sharp sacrificial altar-knife
That closed her eyes in darkness, and she fell,
As falls the victim at the altar-steps:
But first she wailed the glorious destiny
Of Megareus, dead before; and then his fate;

(Pointing to the body of Homon.)

And, last of all, repeated imprecations

She heaped on thee—the murderer of thy sons.

KREON.

STROPHE II.

Alas, Alas!

Fear thrills me: wherefore hath not one of you
Thrust me straight to my heart,

With falchion double-edged?

Ah! pity me, a piteous bondage
On every side surrounds me.

ATTENDANT.

She charged thee, dying, as the guilty cause Of both the present and the former death.

KREON.

Say—by what mode of bloodshed did she die?

1290

1295

ΕΞΑΓΓΕΛΟΣ.

παίσασ' υφ' ήπαρ αυτόχειρ αυτήν, όπως παιδός τόδ' ήσθετ' υξυκώκυτον πάθος.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

ώμοι μοι, τάδ΄ οὐκ ἐπ' ἄλλον βροτῶν ἐμᾶς ἀρμόσει ποτ' ἐξ αἰτίας. ἐγωὰ γάρ σ' ἐγωὰ †σ' ἔκανον, ωὰ μέλεος. 1285 ἐγωὰ Φάμ' ἔτυμον. ἰωὰ πρόσπολοι, ἄγετέ μ' ὅτι †τάχιστ' ἄγετέ μ' ἐκποδων, τὸν οὐκ ὄντα μᾶλλον ἡ μηδένα.

ΧΟΡΟΣ.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

κέρδη παραινεῖς, εἴ τι κέρδος ἐν κακοῖς: βράχιστα γὰρ κράτιστα τάν ποσὶν κακά.

*αίαὶ, αίαὶ, ἀντιστ. β΄. Φανήτω μόρων ὁ κάλλιστ' ἐμῶν, ἐμοὶ τερμίαν ἄγων ἡμέραν ὅπατος Ἱτω, ἵτω,

* φεῦ, φεῦ, ὅπως μηκέτ' ἆμαρ ἄλλ' εἰσίδω.

ίσίδω.

ΧΟΡΟΣ.

μέλλοντα ταῦτα. τῶν προκειμένων τι χρη πράσσειν μέλει γὰρ τῶνδ ὅτοισι χρη μέλειν.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

άλλ' ὧν †έρωμεν, ταῦτα συγκατηυξάμην.

ΧΟΡΟΣ.

μή νυν προσεύχου μηδέν· ώς πεπρωμένης 1300 ούκ έστι θνητοῖς ξυμφορᾶς ἀπαλλαγή.

ATTENDANT.

(Examining the corpse.)

On the right side below the bosom—here— Her own hand smote her, after she had heard Her son's mishap—fit source of bitter wailing!

KREON.

Ah me, me! Of other mortals none
Can fit his steps into these guilty ways,
And set me free
'Twas I, 'twas I that killed thee.
Wretched! 'twas I!
Ah 'tis too true. Ye ministering slaves,
Lead me with all speed,
Lead me far away—
For I am nothing now—
More than nothingness.

CHORUS.

Thou biddest well, if ill has any well: For present ills are always best when shortest.

KREON.

ANTISTROPHE II.

Alas, alas! appear of fates to me
The fairest, the last—
That bringest a closing day.
O come, O come,
And let me ne'er behold to-morrow's light.

CHORUS.

All this will be: the present needs our care: Those whom it most behoves will rule the future.

KREON.

I joined in prayers for that which we desire.

CHORUS.

Pray not at all!—when fate has fixed it so, 'Tis not in mortals to escape disaster.

ΚΡΕΩΝ.

άγοιτ' αν μάταιον άνδρ' έκποδών,
δς, ω παι, σέ τ' ούχ εκών †κατέκανον,
σέ τ' †αν τάνδ, ιω μέλεος, ούδ' έχω
όπα θω *πρότερον' είω' πάντα γὰρ
λέχρια τάν χεροίν, τὰ δ' ἐπὶ κρατί μοι
πότμος δυσκόμιστος εἰσήλατο.

1305

ΧΟΡΟΣ.

πολλφ το φρυνείν εὐδαιμονίας πρώτον ὑπάρχει: χρη δ΄ ές † τὰ θεών μηδὲν ἀσεπτείν: μεγάλοι δὲ λόγοι 1310 μεγάλας πληγάς τῶν ὑπεραύχων ἀποτίσαντες, γήρα το φρονείν ἐδίδαξαν.

 ¹³⁰³ γρ. κατέκτανου.
 1304 γρ. δε σέ τ' αὐτὰν ὥμοι.
 1305 ὅπα πρὸς πότερου ἴδω, πᾶ καὶ θῶ.

¹⁸⁰⁰ γρ. δὲ τά τ' εἰς θεούς.

KREON.

Remove from all eyes a man weak and guilty,
Who slew thee, my son! and thee, too, my wife!
It was not my will!
Wretched me! I know not
Whither first to turn my steps.
Alas! in my hands all here is out of joint,
And there hath leapt on my head
A fate whose heavy tread
Is a load all too weary.

(Exit Kreon, supported by his attendants.)

(Final anapæstic Movement.)

CHORUS.

Wisdom is first of the gifts of good fortune:
'Tis a duty, be sure, the rites of the Gods
Duly to honour: but words without measure, the
Fruit of vain-glory, in woes without number their
Recompense finding,
Have lesson'd the agéd in wisdom.



CRITICAL AND EXPLANATORY

NOTES.



CRITICAL AND EXPLANATORY

NOTES.

1. 'Ω κοινον αὐτάδελφον Ισμήνης κάρα.] The version: "Ismene, dear in very sisterhood," conveys the full force of this periphrastic greeting, so far as the English language can express it without straining. It is well known to scholars that κοινος is frequently used to signify consanguinity; the Scholiast on Eurip. Phon. 1565 renders it συγγενικός, and it is employed in the same sense in other passages of this play. I have pointed out an extension of this use of the word in a note on Pind. O. II. 49, 50. For its combination here with αὐτάδελφος, (lit. "from the self-same womb," i. e. of the same mother, N. Crat. p. 236,) commentators have aptly compared Æsch. Eum. 89: σψ δ' αὐτάδελΦον αίμα καὶ κοινοῦ πατρὸς Ερμη. The circumlocution Ίσμήνης κάρα (κασίγνητον κάρα infr. 874, 890, similarly δέμας,) is very common in Greek, and is not without its parallel in other languages. Perhaps our nearest approach to it in English is our old-fashioned address "dear life," and our combinations "no-body," "some-body:" compare also the frequent use of lip (leib) in the Nibelungen Lied, and the word poll, "an individual," in polling, catch-poll, &c. The termination hood in sisterhood, is originally "head;" but of course

¹ Properly speaking, κοινὸς implied any sort of society or communion, but relationship implied communion in the highest degree: ἔστι δ΄ ἀδελφοῖς μὲν καὶ ἐταίροις πάντα κοινὰ, ἐτέροις δὲ ἀφωρισμένα. Arist. Eth. Nic. IX. 9, 10.

the compound is not used here for the purpose of expressing the Greek periphrasis.

- 2, 3. $\partial \rho' \circ \partial \sigma \theta' \circ \tau \iota \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i$; This reading is now established in the favour of critics. Hermann, Böckh, Wunder, and Dindorf, have all adopted it, and there appears to be little reason to doubt that it is better than the old 5, 71. The sentiment is that which is expressed in Eurip. Troad. 792: τί γὰρ οὐκ έχομεν, τίνος ένδέομεν μη οὐ πασσυδία χωρείν ολέθρου διά παντός; In the passages quoted in support of the construction, we have τίκακὸν οὐχὶ πασχόντων (Dem. De Corona, p. 241); τίνα οὐ προσπεμπόντων (id. Euerg. et Mnesib. p. 1152, 12); τίν οὐ δρῶν, ποῖα δ' οὐ λέγων έπη (Eurip. Phan. 892); ω τίς ουκ ένὶ κηλὶς κακῶν ξύνοικος; (Soph. Œd. Col. 1135); ὅπου τίς ὅρυις οὐχὶ κλαγγαίνει (Fr. apud Strab. XV. 687): and this is the natural form of the exclamation. But Heindorf has pointed out instances in which the correlatives $\tilde{o}\pi\omega_s$ and $\tilde{o}\pi\tilde{o}\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma_s$ are substituted for $\pi \hat{\omega}_s$ and $\pi \acute{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \rho o_s$ (ad Plat. Lys. p. 212, c. § 21); and οποίον is here put for ποίον by a sort of anticipative attraction to the $\delta \pi o lov$ of v. 5. Emper suggests the following explanation of the construction: $\tilde{a}\rho$ of $\sigma\theta$ of, $\tau\iota$ [τοιοῦτόν ἐστι] οποῖον, κ τ.λ. No doubt the transition from the interrogative to the correlative presumes some sort of antecedent, but we do not mend the matter by merely stating this: for $\tilde{a}\rho$ of $\sigma\theta$ of τ equally presumes $\tilde{a}\rho$ of $\sigma\theta a$ τουτο ό,τι.
- 3. νῶν ἔτι ζώσαιν.] Schäfer, Seidler, Wex, Dindorf, Wunder, and Böckh, consider these words as genitives: Hermann, following the Scholiast, takes them as datives dependent on τελεῖ. The addition of ἔτι shows that the poet is speaking here emphatically of the accomplishment of all these misfortunes in the life-time of the two sisters, and not of the limitation of their effects to the sisters themselves: so in the passage which the commentators quote, Soph. Trach. 305: μηδ΄ εἴ τι δράσεις τῆσδέ γε ζώσης ἔτι. At the same time it is clear that Antigone is made to speak of these misfortunes as particularly belonging to herself and her sister,

(v. 6: $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \hat{a} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$) and that which takes place in our life-time does take place, in a certain sense, for us. Accordingly, as $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$ is properly construed with the dative, (cf. Ed. Col. 1437: $\tau \hat{a} \hat{o} \epsilon \hat{\iota} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\iota} \tau \hat{\epsilon} \mu o_i$.) I agree with Hermann and the Scholiast that $\nu \hat{\varphi} \nu$ is dative here. Böckh has introduced uns into his version, as a dativus incommodi "auf welcher kein starker Ton fällt." This is all that is required, but this is inconsistent with the position that Sophocles has not used the dative here.

4-6. $\alpha = -\kappa \alpha \kappa \omega \nu$. We have here the main difficulty of this introductory speech. Hermann, Gaisford, Böckh, and Dindorf, think that the difficulty may be surmounted by a liberal interpretation of the accumulated nega-I cannot permit myself to doubt that $\tilde{a}\tau \eta s \tilde{a}\tau \epsilon \rho$ is corrupt. Schäfer, Wunder, and Emper, acquiesce in Coray's emendation of $\tilde{a}_{\gamma \eta s}$ for $\tilde{a}_{\tau \eta s}$; but it appears to me that the proper opposition is between the $a\lambda\gamma$ os and the $a\tau\eta$. former is the inward pain of the individual, the latter is the principle of mischief which makes his misfortunes objective. There is the same antithesis between the aioxpov and the άτιμον in the next line: the former implies the sense of shame which results from disgraceful conduct (aio y vvn), the latter is the outward degradation, the humiliation in the eyes of the world, the loss of civic franchise and social privilege. which is another and concomitant effect of the same cause (ἀτιμία). We have abundant exemplifications of these antitheses in the play before us. Not to go farther than Ismene's answer: she has had no $\mu \hat{\nu} \theta_{os}$, whether $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\nu}_{s}$ or $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \gamma \epsilon_{i} \dot{\nu} \dot{o}_{s}$ (v. 12): she does not know that she is more εὐτυχοῦσα or ἀτω- $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta$ (v. 17), where she gives the contraries as well as the synonyms of the adjectives in v. 4. It seems to me, therefore, that Porson came near to the truth, when he surmised that $\tilde{a}\tau\epsilon\rho$ arose from the gloss $\dot{a}\tau\eta\rho''$ for $\dot{a}\tau\eta\rho\dot{o}\nu$, written over the words in the text as an explanation of some periphrase with $\tilde{a}\tau\eta$: only I do not agree with him that the lost reading was ἄτης ἔχον, which I should have some difficulty in ex-Supposing that the word, which was used with $\ddot{a}\tau\eta$, in some degree resembled the gloss $\dot{a}\tau\eta\rho$ "—and this is a reasonable supposition—it remains to discover some such word, which would at the same time suit the meaning re-The emendation $A\Gamma H\Sigma$ for $ATH\Sigma$ is based on the resemblance between AT and AT, and I think that the true reading is $A\Gamma O \rho$ for $AT \in P$. The verb $\mathring{a}_{\gamma\omega}$, which with the preposition ϵis or $\pi \rho ds$ signifies to lead into or tend to something, may be used with the same word, in the accusative without the preposition, to signify much the same thing: thus we may have ἄγειν είς, or πρὸς ἄτην, "to lead into or towards mischief," and also a γειν άτην, "to bring or cause mischief," the former being predicated more especially of the person who is led into mischief, and the latter being a more general expression of the tendency. Compare infra 434: és κακον τους φίλους άγειν with Fr. 323 Dind.: ότω δ' όλε- $\theta \rho \rho \rho \nu \delta \epsilon \nu \delta \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon i$. Accordingly, as we have, infra 616: $\delta \tau \varphi \varphi \rho \epsilon \nu as \theta \epsilon \delta s \ \ddot{a} \gamma \epsilon \iota \pi \rho \delta s \ \ddot{a} \tau a \nu$, we may be allowed to expect here $\tilde{a}_{70}\nu$, $\tilde{a}_{70}\nu$, and we have another example in Sophocles of the same participle used in conjunction with adjectives: cf. the well-known Fragment on love (Fr. 678 Dind.) v. 6: έν κείνη τὸ πᾶν, σπουδαίου, ήσυχαίου, ές βίαν The abundance of negatives in this passage need create no difficulty. It has been sufficiently illustrated by grammarians and commentators.

- 10. στείχοντα.] The word is similarly used here and in v. 185: την άτην στείχουσαν άστοις. According to its etymology, στείχω should signify "to go up;" cf. Sanscr. Stighnámi, Russ. Stignu, Lith. Staigios, Germ. Steigen. The Hebrew "to go up," is also used to signify a hostile attack, as in 1 Reg. xxii. 12.
- 17. οὖτ' εὐτυχοῦσα—οὖτ' ἀτωμένη.] In Ajax 262, ἀτᾶσθαι is a synonym of νοσεῖν; below, 314, it is opposed to σώζεσθαι; and here to εὐτυχεῖν. The ἄτη referred to by Ismene is the death of her two brothers, the εὐτυχία is the defeat and departure of the enemy. When ἄτη is regarded as a cause, it stands naturally in opposition to the δαίμων τύχης. The translation implies that it is to be

taken here in its causative sense. In general, I have translated $a\tau\eta$, wherever it occurs in this play, by our word "mischief," which seems to be its exact counterpart. Whether $\tilde{a}\tau\eta$ is personified or not, it is, as Hamlet says, "miching mal-hecho; it means mischief" (Act III. Sc. 2). South has given its full force in his use of the verb "mischieve:" "generally in Scripture, Temptation denotes not only a bare trial, but such an one as is attended with a design to hurt or mischieve the people so tried." It has not, I think, been generally observed that the concluding petition of the Lord's Prayer involves this distinction; Matth. VI. 13: μη είσενέγκης ήμας είς πειρασμον, άλλα ρύσαι ήμας άπο του πονηροῦ. That this is only one petition is clear from the opposition between $\mu \eta$ and $a\lambda\lambda a$; indeed, the latter clause is omitted in the best MSS. of Luke X. 4. It is also clear that τοῦ πονηροῦ is masculine (Matth. XIII. 9, 38. Eph. VI. 16. 2 Thess. III. 3).

- 19. ἐξέπεμπον.] The Scholiast, and after him, the commentators, understand this as equivalent to μετεπεμπόμην. I believe, that, as προπέμπω means to accompany a man forth on his journey—to conduct him forwards—to bring him on his way, so ἐκπέμπω here signifies to accompany a person out of doors—to bring him out with you. In the passages which the commentators quote (infra v. 161, Œd. Col. 1461), the simple πέμπω bears its ordinary meaning. For the alteration of οὕνεκα into εἵνεκα, see New Cratylus, p. 358.
- 20. καλχαίνουσ' ἔπος.] Of the three interpretations proposed by the Scholiasts for this use of the verb καλ-χαίνω, which properly signifies "to look a dark purple colour" (κάλχη, murex, "the purple fish,") the first is the most accurate: καλχαίνουσα: ἀντὶ τοῦ, πορφύρουσα καὶ τεταραγμένως φροντίζουσα. Similarly Hesychius: καλ-χαίνει, ταράσσεται [l. ταράσσεται, Photius: ἐκ βάθους τα-ράσσεται vel omitte; vide infra], πορφύρει, στένει, φροντίζει, ἄχθεται, κυκὰ, ἐκ βυθοῦ ταράσσεται. The use of the synonym πορφύρει, which Hesychius here quotes in expla-

nation of $\kappa \alpha \lambda \chi \alpha' \nu \omega$, shows how the latter might pass from its original sense to that which it bears in the passage before us. Homer uses $\pi o \rho \phi' \rho \omega$ in speaking of the sea, when the dead unbroken swell presages a storm, and this too in a simile, in order to describe a mind in a state of doubt or suspense—the $\tau \dot{\phi}$ $\dot{\phi} \mu \mu \alpha' \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$; Il. XIV. 16 sqq.:

ως δ΄ ότε πορφύρη πέλαγος μέγα κύματι κωφώ, όσσόμενον λιγέων ανέμων λαιψηρὰ κέλευθα αύτως, οὐδ΄ άρα τε προκυλίνδεται οὐδετέρωσε, πρίν τινα κεκριμένον καταβήμεναι έκ Διος οὖρον΄ ώς ὁ γέρων ὤρμαινε, δαϊζόμενος κατὰ θυμὸν διχθάδι΄ ἡ μεθ΄ ὅμιλον ἴοι Δαναῶν ταχυπώλων ἡὲ μετ΄ ᾿Ατρείδην ᾿Αγαμέμνονα ποιμένα λαῶν.

From this simile or comparison arose a metaphorical use of the word $\pi o \rho \phi \dot{\nu} \rho \omega$ by itself, as a synonym of $\dot{o} \rho \mu a \dot{\iota} \nu \omega$, to represent the same fluctuating and disturbed state of mind; compare II. XXI. 551:

αὐτὰρ ὁ γ' ως ἐνόησεν Αχιλλῆα πτολίποοθον, ἔστη, πολλὰ δέ οι κραδίη πόρφυρε μενοντι, with Od. VII. 82:

πολλά δέ οι κηρ ώρμαιν ισταμένω:

and so in other passages. Although the synonym καλχαίνω does not occur in Homer, yet the participial name of the seer Kalchas indicates an equally early employment of this verb, or of its primitive form, $\kappa \dot{\alpha} \lambda \chi \eta \mu \iota$ (cf. $\beta \alpha \dot{\iota} \nu \omega$ with $\dot{\epsilon} \beta \eta \nu$ as from $\beta \eta \mu \dot{\iota}$, and $\phi a i \nu \omega$ with $\phi \eta \mu i$). For if the name of $K a \lambda \gamma a \beta (-\nu \tau - \beta)$ is significant, like that of other old seers (Polyidus, Melampus, &c.), it can only refer to the deep, perturbed, anxious pondering which preceded the interpretation of a portent: cf. Pind. O. VIII. 41: αντιον ορμαίνων τέρας. O. XIII. 73: παρκείμενον συλλαβών τέρας. ν. 84: δρμαίνων έλε φάρ-That in the time of the Tragedians καλγαίνω was a synonym of $\delta \rho \mu \alpha i \nu \omega$ or $\pi \delta \rho \phi i \rho \omega$, is clear from Eurip. Heracl. 40: έγω μέν άμφι τοισδε καλχαίνω τέκνοις. is certain then that καλχαίνω is not a transitive verb: so that καλχαίνουσά τι έπος can only mean "profoundly stirred by meditation on some $\tilde{\epsilon}\pi\sigma s$." Now I cannot think,

with Wex, that έπος is used here, like the Hebrew 777, to signify aliquid or res. The word often means "news," "tidings," "intelligence;" infr. 277, 1159. Ed. Col. 302: τίς δ' ἐσθ' ὁ κείνω τοῦτο τοῦπος ἀγγελῶν; Eurip. Hec. 217: νέον τι πρὸς σὲ σημανῶν ἔπος, whence κατειπεῖν τινός "to tell news of any one," i.e. "to inform against him," as distinguished from κατηγορεῖν, which implies a more public accusation. And I think it is clear that Antigone is here represented as deeply moved by the intelligence which she is about to communicate to Ismene respecting the indignities offered to their brother's corpse.

- 21. où γάρ τάφου κ.τ.λ.] It may seem hardly necessary to remark that τ άφου is dependent on both π ροτίσας and άτιμάσας, and is the genitive of relation. Properly speaking, there had been no τ άφος in the case of Polyneikês, but the Greeks did not need to be told that in the world of sense abnegations are merely relative. The opposition between the treatment of the two brothers is here emphatically set forth—the extra-honours paid to the one being contrasted with the non-burial of the other. The commentators seem to have no difficulty in believing that ν φν is dative here. I have been obliged to use a paraphrase to give its full force. The collocation τ ω κασιγνήτω τ ον μ έν— τ ον δέ—is as common as those with the genitive.
- 24. προσθείς δίκαια.] Various attempts have been made to explain the vulgate χρησθείς δικαία, but, as it appears to me, without the least success. Hermann would write χρησθείς in the sense of παραγγελθείς, as if the reference were to the request of Eteokles that Kreon would bury him and leave his brother unburied (Triclinius: Ἐτεοκλῆς ὅτε πρὸς πόλεμον ἐξήει παρήγγειλεν Κρέοντι αὐτὸν μὲν θάπτειν, Πολυνείκην δ΄ οὔ. cf. Eurip. Phæniss. 1660). But Antigone would hardly call this a just request. In fact, she expressly contradicts the supposition that Kreon's edict would have been agreeable to the wishes of Eteokles; infra 515. Wunder and Dindorf get over the difficulty by omitting the line as spurious. But Emper will not relin-

quish the hope that the corrupt words χρησθείς δικαία, may be set right by emendation. Now the emendation in the text appears to me to be not only so true but so easy, that I wonder it has never been suggested before: especially as more than one of the commentators has quoted from the Electra 47: ἄγγελλε ὅρκω προστιθείς, in illustration of the supposed construction of these words. In the case of Eteokles, Kreon had not been content with observing the ordinary δίκη and νόμος—he had made additions to the conventional usages, but they were righteous and justifiable additions—they did not, at all events, contravene any datμόνων δίκη. If instead of burying Eteokles with the customary rites, he had pre-eminently honoured him $(\pi \rho \rho$ τίσας, v. 22), it was merely by bestowing upon him those additional obsequies, which were due to one who had gained the apiστεία in fighting for his father-land (see infra 194—197)—it was an augmentation to him, but no depreciation to any one else; and Antigone herself had willingly joined in the splendid ceremony (infra 875, 6). to me therefore most natural, that Antigone should be made to speak of the funeral of Eteokles, as the corrected text makes her speak. That προστίθημι may be properly used of additional honours paid to a tomb is clear from the Electra 933:

> οξμαι μάλιστ' έγωγε τοῦ τηθνηκότος μνημεῖ' 'Ορέστου ταῦτα προσθεῖναι τινά.

- 29. $oi\omega\nuois$ — $\beta o\rho\hat{a}s$.] Böckh has remarked, that $ei\sigma o\rho\hat{a}\nu$ here means "to look with greediness." I have explained and illustrated the phrase $\pi\rho\dot{o}s$ $\chi\dot{a}\rho\nu$ $\beta o\rho\hat{a}s$ in the New Cratylus, pp. 359, 360. That $\theta\eta\sigma a\nu\rho\dot{o}s$ here means "a store of food," and not $\dot{e}\rho\mu a\iota\sigma\nu$, as the Scholiast renders the word, appears to me quite clear. Pollux distinguishes between the $\theta\eta\sigma a\nu\rho\dot{o}s$ as a receptacle of money and the $\tau a\mu\iota\epsilon\hat{\iota}o\nu$ as the granary for corn (Onomast. IX. § 44); and Plato perhaps intends the same distinction, (Resp. VIII. p. 548, A.); but it is well known that $\theta\eta\sigma a\nu\rho\dot{o}s$ was also used in the latter sense; see Aristot. $\mathcal{C}con$. II. § 39.
- 35, 36. ἀλλ' ὁς ἄν—ἐν πόλει.] There is the same mixture of the oratio obliqua and directa in the recital of the edict of Xerxes, in Æsch. Pers. 364—373: πᾶσιν προφωνεῖ τόνδε ναυάρχοις λόγον εὖτ' ἄν φλέγων ἥλιος λήξη...τάξας νεῶν στῖφος κ.τ.λ. ὡς εἰ μόρον φευξοίαθ' Έλληνες κ.τ.λ. πᾶσιν στερεῖσθαι κρατὸς ἦν προκείμενον. τοσαῦτ' ἔλεξε.
- 38. εἴτ' εὐγενης πέφυκας, εἴτ' ἐσθλῶν κακή.] This apparent confusion in terms is well illustrated by Eurip. *Electr.* 367, sqq.:

φεῦ
οὐκ ἔστ΄ ἀκριβὲς οὐδὲν είς εὐανδρίαν
ἔχουσι γὰρ ταραγμὸν αὶ φύσεις βροτῶν
ἤδη γὰρ εἶδον ἄνδρα γενναίου πατρὸς
τὸ μηδὲν ὅντα, χρηστά τ΄ ἐκ κακῶν τέκνα. κ.τ.λ.

- 44. $\vec{a}\pi \acute{o} \acute{\rho} \acute{\rho} \eta \tau o \nu$.] That this adjective is masculine, appears from the next line, and from 404: $\mathring{o}\nu \sigma \mathring{v} \tau \acute{o}\nu \nu \epsilon \kappa \rho \acute{o}\nu$ $\vec{a}\pi \epsilon \hat{\iota}\pi a s$.

- 46. ἀδελφόν ἀλώσομαι.] Wunder, following Didymus, omits this line, which interrupts the στιχομυθιά. I do not agree with him.
- 48. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ \hat{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$.] This genitive is masculine. Cf. \cancel{Cd} . Col. 830, Electr. 536, quoted by Wunder, and infra 1040, cited by Wex. The μ added by Brunck is quite unnecessary; it is fully implied in the construction.
- 50. δυσκλεής.] Cf. Œd. Col. 305: πολύ γάρ, ω γέρον, τὸ σὸν ὅνομα διήκει πάντας.
- 56, 57. αὐτοκτονοῦντε—ἐπαλληλοιν χεροῖν.] For αὐτοκτοῦντε = ἀλληλοκτονοῦντε, and ἐπάλληλος = ἀλληλοφόνος, see New Cratylus, pp. 220, 221. For the latter, which is due to Hermann, who has substituted it for the vulgate ἐπ' ἀλλήλοιν, Boissonade reads ὑπ' ἀλλήλοιν, and Emper, ὑπ' ἀλλήλων. I think Hermann's is the only change required. For κοινὸν μόρον, see above ad v. 1.
- 63, 64. $\epsilon \pi \epsilon_i \tau a \delta \epsilon a \lambda \gamma i o \nu a$. The commentators are not agreed as to the construction of this passage. and after him Wunder, would understand overa here in its causative sense, and supply $\delta \epsilon \hat{i}$ or $\chi \rho \hat{\eta}$, with $\dot{\alpha} \kappa o \dot{\nu} \epsilon i \nu$. take οΰνεκα as a synonym for ότι, a sense in which Sophocles often uses the word: e. g. Philoct. 232: άλλ', ω ξέν', ἴσθι τοῦτο πρώτον, ούνεκα Ἑλληνές έσμεν. And the construction is άλλ' έννοειν χρή τουτο μέν ὅτι ἔφυμεν γυναικε, ώς, κ.τ.λ. ἔπειτα δε ούνεκα (=ὅτι) ἀρχόμεσθα [ώστε] ἀκούειν. For the apposition of the infinitive without $\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon$, I find a reason in the peculiar signification of the verbs equal and $\dot{a}\rho_{\gamma}\dot{o}\mu\epsilon\sigma\theta a$, which naturally reject the aid of $\omega\sigma\tau\epsilon$, a particle only required to strengthen a comparison. Hermann supposes that a line has fallen out between κρεισσόνων and καί such as - ώστ' ουδεν αν γενοιτο νών ακος το μη ου. - This would be more necessary if akovew meant "to obey." conceive it bears its ordinary meaning: the allows of the edict primarily affected the ears (infr. 319): and as for the necessity of their obedience, that is asserted by Ismene in v. 62.

141

- 70. $\dot{\epsilon}\mu o \hat{\nu} \gamma' \hat{a}\nu \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\epsilon}\omega s$ $\delta \rho \dot{\varphi} \eta s$ $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \tau a$.] Dindorf finds fault with Brunck's version: lubens to utar adjutrice, and prefers the rendering lubens mecum facies. This seems to me to make nonsense of the passage. As $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \omega s$ is constantly used with $\dot{a}\nu$ and the opt. in the sense of lubenter, it might have been better if Sophocles had written $\dot{\epsilon}\mu o i \gamma \epsilon$, as in 436: $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \omega s$ $\dot{\epsilon}\mu o i \gamma \epsilon$ $\kappa \dot{a}\lambda \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\omega} s$ $\ddot{a}\mu a$. But it is clear that this is the meaning: $\dot{\omega} \dot{\kappa} \dot{a}\nu \dot{\epsilon}\mu o i \gamma \epsilon$ $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \omega s$ $\mu \epsilon \tau' \dot{\epsilon}\mu o \bar{\nu} \delta \rho \dot{\varphi} \eta s$.
- 71. $i\sigma\theta$ $i\sigma iq$ σoi $\delta o\kappa \epsilon i$.] The majority of the commentators read $i\sigma ia$, and understand $i\sigma\theta i$ as the imperative of $oi\delta a$. I have followed Hermann, because I think that the reference is to v. 38.
- 83. $\mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\nu} \hat{\rho} \hat{\nu}$.] I think the emphatical antithesis of $\tau \dot{\rho} \nu \sigma \dot{\rho} \nu \pi \dot{\rho} \tau \mu \rho \nu$ renders this reading necessary.
- 86, 87. πολλον έχθίων έσει σιγῶσ', ἐἀν μὴ πᾶσι κη-ρύξης τάδε.] This epexegesis, (which in the present case is equivalent to ἐχθίων σιγῶσα ἢ κηρύξασα,) is found not only in negative appositions, as here and Ed. Tyr. 57: ἔρημος ἀνδρῶν μὴ ξυνοικούντων ἔσω, but also where the explanation is positive, as in Æsch. Choeph. 742: ἢ δὴ κλύων ἐκεῖνος εὐφρανεῖ νόον, εὖτ' ἀν πύθηται μῦθον.
- 88. θερμήν—έχεις.] Ψυχρός here refers to the chill of fear; cf. Æsch. Sept. c. Theb. 816: κακόν με καρδίαν τι περιπιτυεῖ κρύος. Ευπεπ. 155: πάρεστι μαστίκτορος δαΐου δαμίου βαρύ τι περίβαρυ κρύος έχειν. Prom. 692: οὐδ' ὧδε δυσθέατα καὶ δύσοιστα πήματα, λύματα, δείματα ἀμφήκει κέντρω ψύχειν ψυχὰν ἐμάν. See also Hom. Il. IX. 2, XIII. 48. Pind. P. IV. 73. I. I. 37.
- 94. $\dot{\epsilon}\chi\theta\rho\hat{\alpha}$ — $\deltai\kappa\eta$.] We agree with Emper in accepting the emendation which he attributes to Lehrs. As he rightly observes, $\deltai\kappa\eta$ by itself is an awkward and languid termination to the line, and $\dot{\epsilon}\chi\theta\rho\hat{\alpha}$ $\deltai\kappa\eta$ is jus inimicorum, so that the meaning is jure inimicorum apud mortuum eris. And he compares Sept. c. Theb. 397: $\deltai\kappa\eta$ δ $\dot{o}\mu\alpha i\mu\omega\nu$ $\kappa\dot{\alpha}\rho\tau\alpha$ $\nu\nu$ $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\tau\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\lambda\epsilon\tau\alpha\iota$.

96. τὸ δεινὸν τοῦτο.] Sophocles uses δεινὸς, and its derivative δεννάζω of threatening language: cf. Ajax. 650, (for which see my note on Pind. O. VI. 82), 312; infra 750 compared with 743, 744. Eurip. Herael. 542: έμοὶ γὰρ ἢλθες δείν ἀπειλήσων ἔπη.

100—101. Parodos. The following scheme will explain the metres of this ode.

στροφή ά.

- 1. 4 0 || 4 0 0 || 4 0 | ||
- 2. - | - | - |
- 3. 4 || 4 0 0 || 4 0 | ||
- 4. 0 1 | 1 0 || 1 0 0 | 1 ||
- 5. 10 1100 11
- 6. -- || -- || -- || -- ||
- 7. -- | - | - | - | |
- 8. 4 0 | 0 || 4 0 0 || 4 ||
- 9. 000 000 1 400 14 1
- 10. 4 || 4 0 0 | 4 . ||

σύστημα ά.

Three anapæstic dimeters and a paræmiac; followed by a dimeter, a basis, and a paræmiac.

στροφή β'.

- 1. 400 400 400 400 40 - |
- 2. 400 | 400 | 400 | 401 | 401 - |
- 3. _ _ || ' \cup \cup | ' ||
- 5. 4 0 | || 4 0 | .||
- 6. 100 1 1 1 100 1 1 1 100 1 1 1 100 1 1 1
- 7. 400 | 4 ||

σύστημα β'.

Seven anapæstic dimeters followed by a paræmiac.

I have explained elsewhere the principles which I consider applicable to the scansion of the Chorusses of Sophocles. and also some of my objections to the system of compound feet, as they are called (Varronianus, pp. 175, 176; 275, 276). Whether we divide the lines as I have done, and consider the first two as one line, the rhythm will remain the same,namely, a basis, and a dactyl followed by a cretic, considered as the ultimate form of a trochaic dipodia. The first syllable of χρυσέας is made short; see Böckh, de Metris Pindari. p. 289; Hermann, Dial. Pind. p. ix.; and El. Doctr. Metr. p. 44.; Elmsley, ad Med. 618. $\Sigma \tau \rho$. \(\alpha. 10, \(\beta'\), 1, \(\beta'\), 7. are special metres, called the Pherecrateus, Praxilleus, and Adonius. On the antispast in α' 4, as expressing the rising of the sun, and the sudden departure of the Argive host, see note on the ὀργηστικόν infra v. 1111.; and for the trochæi semanti in α' 5, 6, β' 4, see Hermann El. Doctr. Metr. p. 660.

- 105. Διρκαίων ὑπὲρ ρεέθρων μολοῦσα.] As the Dirke, a little river, flowing from several fountains, ran to the west of Thebes (see the passages quoted by Müller, Orchom. p. 487), Sophocles has made an error in taking it as the gnomon of sun-rise, unless we understand him as speaking rather of the sun's course than of his point of rising. Cf. Xen. Mem. III. 8, § 9: οὐκοῦν ἐν ταῖς πρὸς μεσημβρίαν βλεπού σαις οἰκίαις τοῦ μὲν χειμῶνος ὁ ήλιος εἰς τὰς παστάδας ὑπολάμπει, τοῦ δὲ θέρους ὑπὲρ ἡμῶν αὐτῶν καὶ τῶν στεγῶν πορευόμενος σκίαν παρέχει. See, however, the Introduction, § 7.
- 106. 'A $\rho\gamma\dot{\epsilon}io\nu$.] I have adopted Böckh's reading as the best of the means proposed for completing the measure of this line. Brunck suggested $\dot{\epsilon}\xi$ 'A $\rho\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\theta\epsilon\nu$, which does not mend the metre, Erfurdt, $\dot{\alpha}\pi$ ' 'A $\rho\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\theta\epsilon\nu$, and Hermann, whom Dindorf follows, 'A $\rho\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\theta\epsilon\nu$ $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$ scil. $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\beta\dot{\alpha}\nu\tau\alpha$. The reading 'A $\rho\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\theta\epsilon\nu$ is perhaps due to some scholiast who did not understand the participle $\beta\dot{\alpha}\nu\tau\alpha$, which, being placed without the article, cannot be descriptive, but must be a secondary predicate, connected in the construction with $\pi\alpha\nu\sigma\alpha\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\alpha$ only:

cf. infra 127—130. He speaks of "the Argive man," instead of the "Argive host," on account of the simile of the eagle which immediately follows; and also with a special reference to the flight of Adrastus on his horse Arion, as described in the Cyclic Thebais: hence the $\phi \nu \gamma \acute{a} \acute{b} \alpha \pi \rho \acute{o} - \delta \rho o \mu o \nu \acute{o} \acute{\xi}$. $\chi a \lambda \acute{\nu} \psi$. See the Introduction note (32). For $\phi \acute{\omega}$ s, in the sense of "brave man," or "warrior," see Hom. II. IV. 194; XXI. 546; and Od. XXI. 26, where it is applied to Hercules. In Pers. 90, $\dot{\rho} e \hat{\nu} \mu \alpha \phi \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ means "a stream of warriors."

109, 110. ὀξυτέρφ κινήσασα χαλίνφ.] I have sufficiently illustrated this metaphor in the New Cratylus, p. 225. Emper has seen the full force of the comparative ὑξυτέρφ. He says, "the defeated Argives marched off during the night. The rays of the rising sun, which the Chorus here addresses, drive the Argives to a more rapid flight, i. e. more rapid than their former flight during the night; for the danger of being pursued became more imminent after day-break."

110 sqq. $\delta \nu \in \phi'$ $\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho \alpha \quad \gamma \dot{\alpha} \quad \kappa \cdot \tau \cdot \lambda \cdot$ The accusative ov, without any verb to account for it, and the loss of a dipodia in the anapæstic system, shew that there is a lacuna Dindorf indeed would get over the former in these lines. difficulty by assuming an anacoluthon. In his opinion, the poet wrote \hat{o}_{ν} as if $\tilde{\eta}_{\gamma u \gamma e}$ had followed, but substituted for this verb the fuller description αρθείς—αίετὸς ές γαν υπερ- $\epsilon \pi \tau a$. Wunder, who sets at nought the metrical difficulty. would read δs and Πολυνείκους, with Scaliger and others: he interprets $a\rho\theta els$ by the phrase $a''\rho\epsilon\iota\nu$ $\sigma\tau\delta\lambda\rho\nu$. that in this parodos the equilibrium of the anapæstic systems must be strictly maintained, for the reasons given in the Introduction, §8; and I agree with Erfurdt and Wex that a verb is required: for although the participles suggested by Hermann and Böckh would obviate the difficulty occasioned by the accusative ∂_{ν} , it seems to me that, as they would refer the image of the white-winged eagle to Polyneikes, and not to the white-shielded host of the Argives, which is undoubtedly the ground of the comparison, they would only introduce a partial correction into the passage before us. The following are the readings proposed:

Erfurdt: [ἐπόρευσε θοῶς δ'] ὀξέα κλάζων.

Hermann: ὧς [συναγείρας] ὑπερέπτα.

Böckh: [άγαγων θούριος] όξεα κλάζων. Wex: [ήγειρεν ὁ δ'] αἰετὸς εἰς γᾶν ως.

With a slight change in the order of words I have received the last of these. Wex has derived the verb, which, in common with Hermann, he has selected as that proper to the passage, from the words of the Scholiast, supported by an apt quotation from Homer. The Scholiast writes: ὅντινα στρατὸν ᾿Αργείων ἐξ ἀμφιλόγων νείκεων ἀρθεὶς ἡγαγεν ὁ Πολυνείκης; and Wex suggests that ᾿Αργείων is a corruption of ἀγείρων, so that the Scholiast was explaining the ἡγειρεν of the text by the periphrasis ἀγείρων ἡγαγε. Thus Homer Π. IV. 377:

ξείνος ἄμ' ἀντιθέω Πολυνείκει λαὸν ἀγείρων οι ρα τότ ἐστρατόωνθ' ἰερὰ προς τείχεα Θήβης...

cf. Œd. Col. 1306:

όπως του επτάλογχου ες Θήβας στόλου ξυυ τοισδ' άγειρας κ.τ.λ.

where Polyneikes is speaking. As there does not appear to be any particular reason for departing from the usual practice of keeping the dipodize separate, and as the Scholiast recognizes the position of the $\hat{\omega_s}$ after $\alpha i \epsilon \tau \dot{\phi_s}$, I have written:

ηγειρεν ο δ΄ είς γαν, αίετος ως, οξέα-κλάζων υπερέπτα.

The parcemiac, which I have thus introduced here and in the corresponding verse of the antisystem, seems to me to be quite in accordance with the usual practice in the case of the parodus. The pauses in the march-time are similarly indicated in the parodus of the Ajax, the Supplies of Æschylus, the Persæ, and the Agamemnon. It is scarcely

necessary to mention that I have endeavoured to express in the version the play of words in the original.

- λευκής γιόνος πτέρυγι στεγανός.] This construction of the genitive has been fully illustrated by grammarians and commentators: see Matthiä, G. Gr. § 316 f. and the note on Pind. P. XI. 33, 34. The philological explanation of the idiom is given in the New Cratylus, p. 379. The poet may have had various reasons for comparing the Argive host to a snow-white eagle. The white shields of the Argives are mentioned by Æschylus (Sept. c. Theb. 90) and Euripides (Phan. 1115); the great agmis covering the whole body would suggest the broad wing of the eagle, when let down, as it is constantly seen in archaic art: and the image of the eagle itself would be derived from the almost proverbial hostility of the aistos and the δράκων (see the passages quoted by Wunder on v. 124, and by Orelli on Horace, IV. Carm. 4, 11,) combined with the legendary origin of the Thebans. Moreover, I would venture to suggest that the white Argive eagle and the argent shield of the Argive warriors may have had some reference to the name of the people—namely, that they were apyautes because Appeior. At any rate, the two eagles which represented the brother kings of Lacedæmon and Argos are described by Æschylus Agam. 114 as ο κελαινός ο τ' εξόπιν $a\rho\gamma\hat{a}_{5}$. That the Atreidæ bore a Saturnian sceptre is stated in the tradition (Homer II. II. 102 sqq.), and the Saturnian sceptre was surmounted by an eagle (Pind. P. There is an obvious reason for the black shield assigned to Menelaus by Æschylus. But the Spartans might have been distinctively μελάγχλαινοι, like the Scythians so called.
- 115, 116. πολλῶν μεθ ὅπλων ξύν θ ἱπποκόμοις κορύθεσσιν.] As Sophocles might have said πολλοῖς ξὐν ὅπλοις as well as ξὖν ἱπποκόμοις κορύθεσσιν, (cf. Pind. N. I. 51: Καδμείων ἀγοὶ χαλκέοις ἀθρόοι σὺν ὅπλοις ἔδραμον,) and as there was no metrical reason to prevent him from doing so, we must suppose that there was some cause which induced

this subtle and accurate writer to employ two different prepositions in the present passage. Although usta and Fun both signify connexion or conjunction, and although ueta with the genitive is often used in a signification which corresponds, in part at least, to that of Edu with the dative. the force of these prepositions in composition with verbs may show us that $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ implies rather juxtaposition, or placing side by side, in company or participation, (and this is, in fact, the force of the genitive case with which it is combined in this signification,) and that $\xi \dot{\nu} \nu$ denotes a closer union and a more complete conjunction. I believe then that Sophocles, in reference to the wings of the eagle, uses $\delta \pi \lambda \rho \nu$ here in the proper and original sense—namely, to signify the $\ddot{a}\sigma\pi\iota$ s only. And this is implied in the etymology of the word: for the $\delta \pi \lambda o \nu$, or "thing moved about in defence" ($\xi \pi \omega$), and the $\dot{\rho} \dot{\sigma} \pi$ -a $\lambda o \nu$, or "thing brought down heavily to strike" $(\dot{\rho}\dot{\epsilon}\pi\omega)$, would form the two arms offensive and defensive of the primitive warrior. As then he had spoken before of the πανσαγία or πανοπλία of this warriorhost, he here takes its two principal parts, the shield and helmet, and says that the Argives came with many shields by their sides and with many helmets, as a part of them, on their heads. The student of ancient art is aware that the heavy-armed combatants on the Æginetan pediment have only the large shield and helmet, while the bowmen are in See Müller's Denkmäler, I. no. 28. The spears are mentioned immediately afterwards in v. 119. expresses the whole equipment of a Greek hoplite in the words: έγχη σταδαία και φεράσπιδες σάγαι.

117. στὰς—φονώσαισιν.] The στὰς ὑπὲρ μελάθρων probably refers to the position of the Argive camp on the Ismenian hill. Struve did not think of this when he proposed to read πτάς. The conjecture, of φονώσαισιν for φονιάισιν, which is claimed by both Böckh and Hermann, is undoubtedly required by the sense and the metre, and appears to have existed in the text as read by one of the Scholiasts, who writes: ταῖς τῶν φόνων ἐρώσαις λόγχαις; for φονᾶν is de-

fined by the glossographers as equivalent to φόνου ἐπιθυμεῖν, or ἐτοίμως πρὸς τὸ φονεύειν ἔχειν.

τοῖος—δράκοντι.] It seems to me very 124 - 126. surprising that any doubt should be entertained about the meaning of these words. The construction obviously is: τοίος πάταγος Άρεος άμφὶ νῶτα [τοῦ αἰετοῦ] ἀντιπάλω δράκοντι δυσχείρωμα ετάθη. The clatter of the pursuing host was prolonged in the rear of the flying Argives: and as these were represented by the eagle, so the Thebans are described as the dragon or serpent, which had proved his match in the fight. Now this war-clatter, or the onset of a pursuing host which had shown itself $a\nu\tau i\pi a\lambda os$ in the battle, was a δυσχείρωμα to the defeated army, for the very same reason that made a defeated army itself $\epsilon \dot{v}_{\gamma} \epsilon i \rho \omega \tau o_{\delta}$ (Æsch. The word δυσχείρωμα, therefore, which is pre-Pers. 458). dicated secondarily, or through $\dot{\epsilon}\tau\dot{a}\theta\eta$, is well placed before the causative case $\delta \rho \dot{\alpha}_{\kappa o \nu \tau i}$, and after the epithet $\dot{\alpha}_{\nu \tau i \pi} \dot{\alpha} \lambda_{\omega}$, which contributes so much to its meaning. For artimalos cf. Æschyl. Sept. c. Theb. 417: του αμου νου αντίπαλου εύτυχείν θεοί δοίεν.

χρυσοῦ, καναχῆ θ' ὑπερόπλους.]In the two passages in the Person of Æschylus, in which we find person used to signify the advance of an army, it is coupled with a genitive explanatory of the metaphor: thus, v. 90: δόκιμος δ' οῦτις ὑποστάς μεγάλφ ρεύματι φωτῶν, and v. 414: τὰ πρώτα μέν δή ρεύμα Περσικού στρατού. And although this assistance is less necessary in the case before us, I think it makes the metaphor more picturesque, if we take the genitive χρυσοῦ, which stands so awkwardly in this line, as a complement of the $\pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\varphi}$ $\dot{\rho} \epsilon \dot{\nu} \mu a \tau \iota$, which precedes. The epithet $\pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\varphi}$ merely refers to the common collocation $\pi \circ \lambda \dot{v}_s \dot{\rho} \in \hat{i}$: so in the more direct expression of the metaphor before us in Æschyl. Sept. c. Theb. 80: ρεί πολύς ώδε λεώς $\pi\rho\delta\delta\rho\rho\mu\rho$ i $\pi\pi\delta\tau\alpha$, where the nature of the stream is clearly I believe that the χρυσός refers to the helmets which were adorned with this metal; for while the breast-

plate was chiefly of bronze (whence the epithet yalkouitons). and the greaves of tin, the helmet often had a gold or gilded crest (cf. Hom. Il. XVIII. 612), whence the epithet γρυσεοπήληξ. Now as the helmets, and their crests waving backwards and forwards, gave the idea of the fluctuating surface of a stream when an army was advancing in order of battle, it seems to me neither forced nor unpoetical to say, that an advancing army $\pi \circ \lambda \dot{\nu}_s$ $\dot{\rho} \epsilon \hat{\iota} \chi \rho \nu \sigma \hat{\psi}$, or, what is the same thing, προσνίσσεται πολλώ ρεύματι χρυσού: cf. Strabo, p. 625: ρεί δ' ο Πακτωλος από τοῦ Τμώλου καταφέρου το $\pi a \lambda a i \partial \nu \gamma \rho \nu \sigma o \hat{\nu} \psi \hat{\eta} \gamma \mu a \pi o \lambda \hat{\nu}$. On the other hand, I think that $\kappa a \nu a \chi \eta$ refers to the heavy tramp of the armed multitude, coupled with the clang of their hollow shields against each other: cf. Il. XVI. 794, with Od. VI. 82. The emendation $i\pi\epsilon\rho\delta\pi\lambda$ ovs seems to me required by the sense. the MSS. have $i\pi\epsilon\rho o\pi\tau ias$, over which the correction $\dot{v}\pi\epsilon\rho\dot{o}\pi\tau$ as is written in the oldest Laurentian MS. I consider these corruptions as having been suggested by $i\pi\epsilon\rho\dot{\epsilon}\pi\tau\alpha$ in the corresponding verse of the antisystema. We have other instances in this play of corruptions which have arisen in precisely the same manner. See below v. 606, and elsewhere. Hermann and some others adopt the Laurentian correction υπερόπτας; Brunck proposed υπεροπλίαις; Emper suggests υπεροπλήντας; and Böckh has substituted υπεροπτείας.

- 131. $\beta a \lambda \beta i \delta \omega \nu$.] Hermann justly remarks, that $\beta a \lambda \beta i \delta \omega \nu$ "de extremo loco in quo quis consistit, et hic quidem de summa parte muri dicitur." The prep. $i\pi i$ here bears its proper sense with the gen.—i.e. it denotes parallelism at a certain height from the ground.
- 133. ὁρμῶντα.] Wunder's translation, aliquem qui parabat, may be added to the numberless instances of inaccurate syntactical knowledge on the part of professed scholars in Germany. The participle thus placed without the article can never signify aliquem qui parabat, but must mean quum pararet, seil. he δε τότε ἐπέπνει. The antecedent is omitted because the story of Kapaneus was well known: the participle its elf merely indicates the moment at which the bolt struck him.

- 133. ἀλαλάξαι.] Schol.: παιωνίσαι.
- 134. ἀντιτύπα.] I agree with Neue, Wunder, and Dindorf, in adopting Porson's correction of the common reading ἀντίτυπα, which other commentators attempt to defend.
- 135. πυρφόρος,] I can see no reason for removing the comma after this word. As a secondary predicate it may as well be referred to πέσε, as to ἐπέπνει. See some good remarks in K. O. Müller's Kleine Deutsche Schriften, I. p. 310. The reference is to the γυμνὸν ἄνδρα πυρφόρον on the shield of Kapaneus (Æsch. Sept. c. Theb. 417), and perhaps to the name of this mythological warrior (Καπανεύς, καπ-νός, κάΓω, κάβειρος); and the meaning is, that πυρφόρος as he was, down he went before the mightier fire of Zeus.
- 135—137. δς—ἀνέμων.] For ριπαὶ ἀνέμων, see below on v. 904. I think we have here another allusion to the name Καπανεύς; cf. Æsch. Sept. c. Theb. 340: ἄλλος δ΄ ἄλλον ἄγει τὰ δὲ καὶ πυρφορεῖ καπνῷ χραίνεται πόλισμ΄ ἄπαν. μαινόμενος δ΄ ἐπιπνεῖ Λαοδάμας μιαίνων εὐσεβείαν Ἄρης.
- 139, 140. εἶχε δ ἄλλα τὰ μὲν—δεξιόσειρος.] I have not scrupled to adopt Böckh's emendation, and I think with him that the τὰ δὲ must be considered as a marginal gloss on ἄλλα, which has crept into the text. The meaning appears to be: "some things happened in one way," i.e. Kapaneus was destroyed by Zeus, as the chorus has just mentioned: "but mighty Ares, acting as an additional horse on the right, where his aid was most required, bestowed other things, in the way of a rough handling, on others," i.e. our warriors, with the assistance of the god of war, gained the victory in other parts of the field. I cannot agree with some of the commentators in thinking that εἶχε is here used in the sense of ἐπεῖχε. It appears to me to be merely the verb of relation, as in Æsch. Sept. c. Theb. 799: καλῶς εχει τὰ πλεῖστ ἐν εξ πυλώμασιν τὰς δ' ἐβδόμας κ.τ.λ. For the phrase ἄλλη

έχει, cf. Philoct. 22 sq.: σήμαιν είτ έχει χῶρον πρὸς αὐτὸν τόνδε γ' είτ ἄλλη κυρεῖ—for σήμαινε είτε οὕτως έχει είτε ἄλλη.

Στυφελίζω, from στυφελός, or στυφλός (a synonym for χέρσος, τραχύς, σκληρός, χαλεπός, Schol. Apoll. Rhod. II. 1007. cf. infra, v. 250), is used by Homer to signify the infliction of hard blows with stones, spears, or other weapons, (Il. V. 437; VII. 261; XII. 405; XVI. 774.) Whence στυφελός is an epithet of a warrior: Æsch. Pers.

80: όχυροισι πεποιθώς στυφελοίς έφέταις.

Böckh, and after him Wunder, understand the first part of the compound $\delta \epsilon \xi \iota \acute{o}\sigma \epsilon \iota \rho os$, as referring to $\delta \acute{e} \xi \iota os$ Apps, Mars adjutor. I think this unnecessary. The Greeks used to place the strongest horse on the right side, and as an outrigger, because in the $\delta \rho \acute{o}\mu os$ the gallop went to the left about (see Hermann Opuscula, Vol. I. p. 69). And as $\sigma \epsilon \iota \rho a \phi \acute{o}\rho os$ signifies "an assistant" in general (Æsch. Ag. 850), $\delta \epsilon \xi \iota \acute{o}\sigma \epsilon \iota \rho os$ would mean "an assistant on the right hand, where he was most needed." Now the Greeks in battle were always anxious to be covered on the right side (see Thucyd. V. 71). Consequently, there is a double propriety in the metaphor. See below on vv. 291, 662.

The person who stood on the right hand of the chorus was called $\delta \epsilon \xi \iota \sigma \sigma \tau \acute{a} \tau \eta s$, (cf. Pollux, Onom. II. 161; IV. 106). As there was an intimate connexion between the arrangements of the chorus and the phalanx, it is by no means improbable that this name, as well as $\pi a \rho a \sigma \tau \acute{a} \tau \eta s$, was applied to soldiers in battle. If so, the full force of the compound $\delta \epsilon \xi \iota \acute{o} \sigma \epsilon \iota \rho o s$ would at once be felt by any one of the original audience.

- 141. ἔπτα λοχαγοί.] It would seem from this that Sophocles did not reckon Kapaneus among the seven. But see Wunder on Œd. Col. 1308 sq.
- 143. $Z_{\eta\nu l} \tau_e'\lambda_{\eta}$.] Böckh rightly remarks, that we must not understand weapons hung up as an offering in the temple, but $\pi a\nu o\pi \lambda iai$ arranged as trophies, as appears from the phrase $Z_{\eta\nu l}$ $\tau \rho o\pi ai\varphi$. I would venture to suggest that they decorated the scene in this Tragedy.

- 144. $\pi\lambda\dot{\eta}\nu$ $\tau\hat{oi}\nu$ $\sigma\tau\nu\gamma\epsilon\rho\hat{oi}\nu$.] As each was victorious, there was no one to offer up the trophy to Zeus. This shows the true force of the $\delta\iota\kappa\rho\alpha\tau\epsilon\hat{i}s$ $\lambda\sigma\gamma\chi\acute{a}s$, which Brunck rightly translated *utrinque victrices*. Passow makes a strange blunder, when he supposes that the reference is to large spears hurled with both hands. As we shall see directly, they did not throw, but thrust at one another.
 - 145. καθ' αὐτοῖν.] Above on v. 56.
- 146. λογχὰς στήσαντε.] It will be observed that the poet makes his combatants thrust at one another with their lances, according to the fashion of soldiers in his own time, and according to the plan recommended by Nestor to his chariot-warriors, Π. IV. 306, 7. Similarly, Virgil departs from the Homeric type in many respects. The word foine, which I have introduced in the translation, was commonly employed in our language to express the push of the pike or spear, at a time when these weapons were in constant use: e. g. Berner's Froissart, Vol. II. c. 317: "they began to foine with spears, and strike with axes and swords." Chaucer, Knight's Tale, v. 1656:

"And after that with sharpe speares strong, They foinden eche at other wonder long."

Mort d'Arthur, Part I. c. 134: "they went to battle again, tracing, racing, and foining, as two boars."

- 147. κοινοῦ θανατοῦ.] Above v. 1.
- 149. ἀντιχαρεῖσα.] "Sharing in her joy and congratulating her upon her success." Schol.: ἴσον αὐτῆ χαρεῖσα. On the personification of places, see ad Pind. O. III. 9, VI. 84; and Böckh on the latter passage for the epithet πολυάρματος.
- 153. $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\epsilon\lambda'\chi\theta\omega\nu$.] i.e. with dancing, as the Scholiast rightly explains it.
- 155—161.] Κρέων—συντυχίαις.] As I believe with Böckh that this antisystem should agree in number of lines

with the last system of anapæsts, and as I think the supplement which he has introduced is as likely as any other to convey the intended meaning of the poet, I have allowed it to appear in the text, and have expressed it in the translation. On the synizes in $K\rho\epsilon\omega\nu$, the student may consult Dindorf ad Ed. Col. 1073.

158. τίνα δη μητιν ἐρέσσων.] With Hermann, I prefer the interrogative here. That Kreon had some plan was clear from his convocation of the Gerusia. For ἐρέσσων, see below on v. 231.

159, 160. ὅτι σύγκλητον τήνδε γερόντων προύθετο The Prytanes at Athens were said προθείναι εκκλησίαν, not προθέσθαι. But Kreon, as a sovran ruler, could call a meeting, not to hear their suggestions, but to communicate his will, and therefore would naturally use the middle voice with that distinction of meaning, which is well known in the opposition between $\theta \hat{\epsilon i \nu a i}$ and $\theta \hat{\epsilon \sigma} \theta \hat{a i} \nu \hat{o} \mu \hat{o} \nu$. In Lucian's Necyonantia, c. 19, we find the following obvious discrimination of προθείναι and προθέσθαι: ου γάρ οίδ όπως. περί τούτου λέγειν προθέμενος, παμπολύ άπεπλανήθην από τοῦ λόγου διατρίβοντος γάρ μου παρ αυτοίς, προύθεσαν οι πρυτανείς εκκλησίαν περί των κοινη συμφερόντων. Hemsterhuis concludes an excellent note on these words by a reference to the passage in the text. liquido patet unde duxerit Sophocles in Antig. 165: 571 σύγκλητον—πέμψας solemne est ingeniosissimo poëtæ phrases a suæ gentis moribus derivatas aliorsum apte traducere: cui, præter illud $\pi \rho o \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \lambda \epsilon \sigma \chi \eta \nu$, hisce lectis non statim εκκλησία σύγκλητος in memoriam venit? neque obscurum est perito linguæ Græcæ, quare cùm in superioribus exemplis $\pi \rho o \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu a \hat{\imath}$ conspiciatur, ipse medium usurparit." The commentators ought to have remarked, that, by using $\lambda \epsilon \sigma_{\chi \eta}$, instead of $\beta_{0\nu} \lambda \dot{\eta}$, the poet has told us that this was a private conference, and not a public convocation. inconsiderable number of persons in the chorus partly implied this: it is expressly stated below, in v. 164, that this was a very select council; and it appears from v. 821 that they

were the wealthy men of Thebes—the avaktes, as they are termed in v. 955. The κοινῶ κηρύγματι πέμψας is explained by the $\pi o \mu \pi o i s$ $\epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon i \lambda a$ ike $\epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ of v. 164, and implies that a message was sent to each of them. Cf. for πομπός, Œd. T. 289, Œd. Col. 70, and for κοινός, Phil. By κήρυγμα, he does not mean a 1130, Œd. Col. 61. public proclamation in the market-place, but the herald's summons at the house of each of the elders. Similarly, the members of the Roman curiæ were summoned by the thirty lictors of the curiæ, and the comitia curiata were thence termed the comitia calata, "the called or summoned assembly," in contradistinction to the comitia centuriata, which were convened by the sound of trumpet. In general, it is to be observed that κήρυξ and κηρύσσω refer to a call by the voice (cf. $\gamma \eta \rho \hat{\nu}_s$, $\kappa \rho \alpha'(\omega)$, $\kappa \rho \alpha \nu \gamma \dot{\eta}$, &c.), as distinguished from any other means of summoning. It is worthy of remark, that in the passage in the book of Daniel, in which the Greek is seen through a very transparent covering, the borrowed term $(\kappa \eta \rho \nu \xi)$ is placed by the side of the genuine Semitic קרא (III. 4), with which it has an undoubted affinity. The aphel verb which occurs in Dan. V. 29, is clearly nothing more than a derivation from this foreign root. If there were no other Greek words in Dan. III. 4, we might compare the Sanscrit Krus and the Zend Khresio, which are adduced by Gesenius.

- 162. $\pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\varphi} \sigma a \lambda \varphi \pi \dot{a} \lambda \iota \nu$.] The phrase $\sigma \dot{a} \lambda \varphi \sigma \dot{e} \iota \sigma a \nu \tau e s$ is well illustrated by $Ed.\ T.\ 22$; Plut. Phoc. c. III. Fab. Max. c. XXVII., which are cited by Wex. The verb $\dot{o}\rho\theta\dot{o}\omega$ here and v. 166, and the secondary predicate $\dot{o}\rho\theta\hat{\eta}$ s in v. 190, are borrowed from the same reference to a ship, which is called $\dot{o}\rho\theta\dot{\eta}$ when it does not heel over to either side. With the Greek rowing-galleys, no less than with our steamers, it was very desirable to maintain the proper trim.
- 174. γένους κατ άγχιστεῖα.] The more common άγχιστεία is thus explained by the author of the λέξεις ρητορικαί (Bekker. Anecd. p. 413): άγχιστεία: συγγένεια. καὶ άγχιστεῖς οἱ ἀπὸ άδελφῶν καὶ ἀνεψιῶν καὶ θείων

κατὰ πατέρα καὶ μητέρα ἐγγυτάτω τοῦ τελευτήσαντος. οἱ δὲ ἐξω τούτων συγγενεῖς μόνον. οἱ δὲ κατ ἐπιγαμίαν μιχθέντες τοῖς οἴκοις οἰκεῖοι λέγονται. And yet Thucydides says (I. 9) κατὰ τὸ οἰκεῖον of the very relationship referred to in the text—that between Atreus and Eurystheus. In Pindar (P. IX. 64), and Æschylus (Agam. 237), ἄγχιστος signifies merely "nearest at hand to protect," like the præsens numen of the Romans: cf. Œd. T. 919. In this sense I have introduced the word in v. 939 infra.

ψυχήν τε καὶ Φρόνημα καὶ γνώμην,] It would be an injustice to Sophocles to suppose that he used these three words as idle synonyms. The connexion by means of TE Kai shows an intimate union; but there is still a difference, which it was important to mark. By $\psi_{\nu\chi\eta}$ is meant the fabric of a man's mind and character; by φρόνημα, that mind as it manifests itself in the general tenour of his outward actions, especially in relation to politics; and by γνώμη, the dogmatical expression of the meaning in words; so that Φρόνημα and γνώμη are distinct and successive manifestations of the $\psi \nu \chi \eta$ —the former being the $\pi \rho o \alpha i \rho \epsilon \sigma i s$ or will, a unity of which contributes to the formation of a political party, and which by itself regulates the enactments of a ruler: and the latter being the meaning or sentiment, which expresses in words, or justifies to the reason, that which is already felt to be a sufficient motive for the will and choice. See above, v. 169, below, v. 207, for φρόνημα. The whole speech, as an exposition of the φρόνημα which springs from the ψυχή of Kreon, is his γνώμη. For έκμανθάνω cf. Eurip. Med. 220: όστις, πρίν ανδρός σπλάγχνον έκμαθείν σαφώς στυγεί δεδορκώς.

178. $\dot{\epsilon}\mu o \dot{\gamma} \dot{\alpha}\rho$, The particle $\dot{\gamma}\dot{\alpha}\rho$, and in prose $\dot{\gamma}o\hat{\nu}\nu$, are frequently used thus at the beginning of a narrative or exposition: see below, vv. 238, 405, 983. The English particle "for" is rarely an adequate representative of $\dot{\gamma}\dot{\alpha}\rho$. Our phrases "in fact," "the fact is," "in point of fact," "if you come to that," &c., are much better equivalents in very many cases.

185-190. οὖτ' αν σιωπήσαιμι-ποιούμεθα.] There

is a parallelism in this passage, which has not, I think, been sufficiently noticed: Kreon says that he would not purchase his own safety by winking at that which would bring mischief on his people: and that he would not select a friend from among the enemies of his country: for that our safety depends on the security of our country, and that friends are naught, except when our native land is in prosperity. Emper has pointed out the proper interpretation of avri the gwan-For although there is nothing in the words themselves to prevent us from referring the σωτηρία to the same object as the $a\tau n$ (cf. infra v. 314, 439), it is clear that Kreon is here opposing the individual σωτηρία to the public $\tilde{a}\tau\eta$, and is arguing for the fact that no individual is really safe unless his country is so likewise: for no early n The article, in The aTHE and Tous Cilous, must σώζουσα. not be neglected. By $\tau \eta \nu \ \tilde{a} \tau \eta \nu$ is meant the mischief which always comes upon the citizens of a free state, when a man, through fear of his evalpor, or intimate associates, acquiesces in their corrupt or seditious designs: and τους φίλους implies that those are not friends, in any true sense of the term, whose friendship tends to an interference with the state's equilibrium. For the nautical sense of $\sigma \omega'(\omega)$, $\sigma \omega \tau n$ ρία, I may refer to my note on Pind. O. VIII. 20—27.

- 196. ἐφαγνίσαι.] This is, no doubt, the true reading. I believe the word refers to honours paid at the tomb subsequently to the regular sepulture—those ἐναγίσματα τῶν κατοιχομένων which Pindar calls αἰμακουρίαι, Ο. Ι. 90. See above on v. 25.
- 205, 206. έᾶν δ΄ ἄθαπτον—ἰδεῖν.] There is no good reason for the alteration αἰκιστόν τ', or for the reading αἰκισθέν τ'. The construction is, αἰκισθέντα ἰδεῖν δέμας πρὸς οἰωνῶν καὶ πρὸς κυνῶν ἐδεστόν.
- 208. $\pi\rho o\dot{\epsilon}\xi o\nu\sigma'$] Hermann proposes $\pi\rho o\dot{\epsilon}\xi o\nu\sigma'$, with what signification it is difficult to see. The hiatus may be excused by the aspirate: cf. $a\dot{\nu}\tau o\dot{\epsilon}\nu\tau\eta$ s. Sophocles makes Kreon represent any honour paid to Polyneikes as a diminution of those due to Eteokles: below v. 512.

- 212. τον πόλει.] Dindorf proposes κας τον εὐμενή. I agree with Hermann, Wex, and Böckh, that no alteration is necessary.
- νόμω—σοι.] Böckh thinks that the omission of either $\pi o v$ or $\gamma \epsilon$ will be detrimental to the ethos of this passage. He conceives that the Chorus is intended to express dissatisfaction coupled with a sort of gentle irony. It appears to me, that this is quite inconsistent with the tenour of the play, so far as the Chorus is concerned. From first to last the elders not only admit, but maintain, the authority of the The vulgate $\pi a \nu \tau i \pi o \nu \tau$ is obviously corrupt. Hermann writes $\pi \alpha \nu \tau i \pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau'$, which is harsh. Erfurdt suggests $\pi o \nu \gamma$, which is not a Greek collocation. I agree with Dindorf, that τ' evert should be changed into $\pi \acute{a}\rho \epsilon \sigma \tau i$; and I have ventured upon a further change of $\pi a \nu \tau i \pi o \nu$ into πανταχοῦ. In the first place, the collocation $\pi \acute{a}\rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \chi \rho \hat{\eta}$ $\sigma\theta$ aι νόμω, without the addition of π aντl, appears to me most in accordance with the spirit of the Greek language: cf. Trach. 60: ωστ' εί τί σοι προς καιρον έννέπειν δοκω, πάρεστι χρησθαι τανδρί τοις τ' έμοις λόγοις. Then, in an admission of Kreon's authority, the adverb $\pi a \nu \tau a \chi o \hat{\nu}$ or $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \chi \hat{\eta}$ is strictly in its place. In v. 625 infra, we have in this sense: η σοί μεν ημείς πανταχη δρώντες φίλοι; In the passage before us, the reading $\pi o v$ points to an original πανταχού. In the Ajax, 1348: ως αν ποιήσης πανταχού $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \dot{\sigma} s \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon i$, we find the various reading $\pi a \nu \tau a \chi \hat{\eta}$. In the following we find only πανταχοῦ; Ajax 1252: ἀλλ' οἰ Φρονουντες εὖ κρατουσι πανταχου. Phil. 1041: νικάν γε μέντοι πανταχοῦ χρήζων έφυν. And there can be no doubt that although $\pi a \nu \tau a \chi \hat{\eta}$ might be used in the same, or a very similar sense, $\pi a \nu \tau a \chi o \hat{\nu}$ is strictly the more appropriate adverb.
 - 215. ωs αν σκοποί νυν ητε] I am surprised that any scholars should be found to whom Dindorf's emendation πωs αν σκοποὶ νῦν εἶτε; could appear even probable. That such a strong expression of a wish should proceed from the sovran ruler, is quite inconsistent with the general accuracy of this

The collocation ω_s \hat{a}_{ν} with the subjunctive is by no means uncommon, and though there is a good deal of syntactical refinement in its usage, every Greek scholar is aware that in a final sentence it indicates an eventual conclusion one in which an additional hypothesis is virtually contained: e. g. Æschyl. Prom. 670-672: έξελθε πρός Λέρνης βαθύν λειμώνα, κ.τ.λ. ώς αν το Δίον δμμα λωφήση πόθου, "in order that the eye of Jove may, as in that case it will, be freed from passion." Soph. Electr. 1495, 6: χώρει δ' ἔνθα περ κατέκτανες πάτερα τον αμόν, ως αν εν ταυτώ θάνης, "in order that you may, as by going there you will, die in the very place where you murdered him." (Hermann's note on this passage seems to me very surprising.) Now the only difference in the case before us is, that the main verb is If the Chorus had asked Kreon:

τί δ έστιν, ανθ' οὐ τύνδ' ανήλωσας λόγον;

the answer in the text would be quite in accordance with the common usages of the language: "in order that you may, as by having heard my words you will, be careful to see to their observance by others." But this or a similar basis for the sentence being fully implied in the tenour of what has preceded, its omission need not offend here any more than in Æsch. Choeph. 981: ως αν παρή μοι μάρτυς εν δίκη $\pi o \tau \dot{\epsilon}$, where I think there is, properly speaking, an omission of the antecedent clause. Cf. Thucyd. VI. 91. On the whole, I conceive that there are only three modes of dealing with this passage, in which a scholar can acquiesce: (1.) the supposition that a line has fallen out, in which the Chorus asked why they had been summoned; (2.) the supposition that Kreon is interrupted by the Chorus, who mistake his use of the word $\sigma \kappa \sigma \pi o i$; (3.) the supposition that the subjunctive with $\dot{\omega}_{S}$ \dot{a}_{V} has here an imperative force, the antecedent clause being implied. As I consider this the most reasonable supposition, I have merely changed νῦν into νυν, a change which the second supposition would also demand.

222. $\tau \dot{o}$ $\kappa \dot{e} \rho \delta o s$.] For the agency here attributed to $\kappa \dot{e} \rho \delta o s$, "the love of lucre," cf. Pind. P. III. 54, N. IX. 33.

- 225. φροντίδων ἐπιστάσεις.] Cf. Plutarch. de Profect. Virt. Sent. 76, c: οὕτως ἄν τις ἐν φιλοσοφία τὸ ἐνδελεχὲς καὶ τὸ συνεχὲς τῆς πορείας καὶ μὴ πολλὰς διὰ μέσου ποιούμενον ἐπιστάσεις, εἶτ αὐθις ὁ ρμὰς καὶ ἐπιπηδήσεις, ἀλλὰ, κ.τ.λ. τεκμήριον ἐαυτῷ ποιήσαιτο προκοπῆς. Plato Resp. VI. p. 511, b: τὰς ὑποθέσεις ποιούμενος οὐκ ἀρχὰς ἀλλὰ τῷ ὅντι ὑποθέσεις, οἶον ἐπιβάσεις τε καὶ ὁρμάς. The plural ὁδοῖς, which follows, shews that he is speaking of a number of fresh starts, or recommencements of one and the same journey.
- 231. $\tau o i \alpha \hat{v} \theta' \dot{\epsilon} \lambda i \sigma \sigma \omega \nu \tau \alpha \chi \dot{v}_s$.] This emendation, which Erfurdt and Hermann have derived from the Scholiast, seems to me necessary. The common reading, $\beta \rho \alpha \delta \dot{v}_s$, is obviously a marginal gloss. It may be perhaps as well to remark, that $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda i \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$ refers to the thoughts, and not to the turns, which the Sentinel took on his journey; compare $Aj\alpha x$, 351: $\ddot{\alpha} \lambda i \sigma \sigma \omega \nu \pi \lambda \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha \nu$, with v. 158 supra: $\tau i \nu \alpha \delta \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \iota \nu \dot{\epsilon} \rho \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$.
- 233, 234. τέλος γε μέντοι—όμως.] For ἐνίκησεν (sc. ή γνώμη) see below v. 274. El. 245. The words which follow have not found favour in the eyes of some of the critics. Wunder would read σοί τ΄ εἰ, οτ κεί σοι. Emper proposes ώς, κεί τὸ μηδὲν έξερῶ, φράσων ὅμως. I think that the vulgate is genuine, and that it is sufficiently supported by the passage which Erfurdt quotes from the Œd. T. 545, 6: λέγειν σὺ δεινός: μανθάνειν δ΄ ἐγὼ κακὸς σοῦ. δυσμενῆ γὰρ καὶ βαρύν σ΄ εὕρηκ ἐμοί. The terror of the Sentinel, and the anger of Œdipus, justify this emphatic position of the personal pronoun. Cf. infra v. 681: τὸ γὰρ σὸν ὅμμα δεινὸν ἀνδρὶ δημότη λόγοις τοιούτοις οἶς σὺ μὴ τέρψει κλύων.
- 235. δεδραγμένος.] One MS. has πεπραγμένος: others, πεφραγμένος, for which Dindorf has substituted the Attic form πεφαργμένος. The Scholiast obviously read δεδραγμένος, a strong metaphorical word, well adapted to the character of the speaker. The later writers seem to use the word in very much the same signification, and it must have extended its

applications in the ordinary language of Athens, in which the commonest coin, the $\delta\rho\dot{a}\chi\mu\eta$, was so called because it was a handful of $\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\rho\mu a\tau a$, i. e. $\delta\beta$ odoi. Cf. Herod. III. 13: $\tau a\dot{v}\tau as$ (τas $\mu v\dot{\epsilon}as$) $\delta\rho a\sigma\sigma\dot{o}\mu\epsilon vos$ $a\dot{v}\tau o\chi\epsilon\iota\rho\dot{\iota}\eta$ $\delta\iota\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\pi\epsilon\iota\rho\epsilon$ $\tau\dot{\eta}$ $\sigma\tau\rho a\tau\iota\dot{\eta}$.

- 241. εὐ γε—κύκλω.] I have adopted the correction στεγάζει, which Emper has suggested, of the vulgate στοχάζει. The latter has no signification which suits the context: the former, which means "you roof yourself in," or "cover yourself over-head," is the proper correlative to ἀποφάργνυσαι κύκλω, "you surround yourself with a hedge." In the next line, I have given νέον its common euphemistic force.
- 253. ο πρωτος—ημεροσκόπος.] This is a note of time. The day-watches had just commenced, for it was shortly after sun-rise.
 - 259, 260. λόγοι—φύλακα.] The participial sentence is a secondary predication, or explanatory apposition to the main verb. It is, in fact, equivalent to an adverb. Cf. Æsch. Prom. 200. Eurip. Bacch. 1084, where see Elmsley.
 - 260. $\kappa \hat{a} \nu \hat{e} \gamma i \gamma \nu \epsilon \tau o$.] The imperfect is used here instead of the aorist, because, in the eagerness of his narrative, the Sentinel reproduces the scene, and represents it as going on. Consequently, he has used the imperfect or present throughout, instead of the aorist, which is the regular historical tense. Similarly, in a shorter clause, \cancel{Ed} . Col. 272 (cf. 952):

καί τοι πως έγω κακός φύσιν, ὅστις παθων μεν άντέδρων, ὥστ΄ εἰ φρονων ἔπρασσον, οὐδ΄ ᾶν ὧδ΄ έγιγνόμην κακός.

The other passages which Neue quotes (ad Œd. Tyr. 125), and which present an agrist in the apodosis, are not to the point. He might have found one precisely similar in Thucyd. I. 75: καὶ γὰρ ἀν αὶ ἀποστάσεις πρὸς ὑμᾶς ἐγίγνοντο.

263. $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda' \ \dot{\epsilon}\phi\epsilon\nu\gamma\epsilon \ u\dot{\eta} \ \dot{\epsilon i}\delta\dot{\epsilon}\nu\alpha\iota$.] The common reading inserts $\tau\dot{o}$ before $\mu\dot{\eta}$. This is not required by the sense, and spoils the metre. As it is clear that the imperfect must stand, it seems much better to omit the article, than to substitute the aorist. The poet has here used $\phi\epsilon\dot{\nu}\gamma\omega$, which commonly signifies "to be defendant in a suit," as opposed to $\delta\iota\dot{\omega}\kappa\omega$, in the sense of $\dot{a}\rho\nu\sigma\dot{\nu}\mu\alpha$, or "to put in a plea." In the same sense the word is used by Æschyl. Suppl. 393:

δεί τοι σε φεύγειν κατά νόμους τους οίκοθεν ώς ουκ έχουσι κυρος ουδεν άμφι σου.

Demosth. adv. Aph. p. 813, § 1: $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon i \delta \dot{\eta}$ $\dot{\delta}'$ où τos $\tau où s$ $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ $\sigma a \phi \hat{\omega}_s$ $\dot{\epsilon} i \dot{\delta} \dot{\sigma} \tau as$ $\tau \dot{a}$ $\dot{\eta} \dot{\mu} \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \rho a$ $\dot{\epsilon} \phi \nu \gamma \epsilon$ $\mu \eta \dot{\delta} \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ $\delta i a \gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \nu ai$ $\pi \epsilon \rho \dot{i}$ av- $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$. These passages, which are quoted by Wex, sufficiently justify the construction, and although the repetition of $\dot{\epsilon} i s$, through $o\dot{\nu} \delta \dot{\epsilon} i s$, may seem a little harsh, it is not without precedent; and there certainly does not appear to be any necessity for the emendations $\dot{\epsilon} \phi \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon$ for $\dot{\epsilon} \phi \epsilon \nu \gamma \epsilon$, or $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \dot{\nu} \kappa \tau o$ for $\dot{\epsilon} \phi \epsilon \nu \gamma \epsilon$ $\tau \dot{o}$, proposed by Hermann and Bergk, or for Dindorf's insertion of $\pi a s$ before $\dot{\epsilon} \phi \epsilon \nu \gamma \epsilon$, and his omission of $\dot{\epsilon} i \dot{\delta} \dot{\epsilon} \nu ai$ at the end of the line.

269, 270. ἐς πέδον κάρα νεῦσαι.] Not that they threw themselves on the ground like Oriental mourners, but merely that they hung their heads—a sign of embarrassment, which has been ingeniously expressed by Tennyson in his new poem, The Princess, p. 26:

"At those high words, we, conscious of ourselves, Perused the matting."

See below, v. 439.

280, $\pi\rho i \nu \ \dot{o}\rho \gamma \hat{\eta} \hat{s} \ \kappa a i \ \mu \epsilon$.] With many of the commentators, I have adopted Seidler's correction of the common reading $\kappa \dot{a} \mu \dot{\epsilon}$. The $\kappa a i$ throws an emphasis on $\dot{o}\rho \gamma \hat{\eta} \hat{s}$.

289. $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\dot{\alpha}$ $\tau a\bar{\nu}\tau a$ — $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{\epsilon}$.] In these lines there are several points which previous Editors have overlooked. In the first place, the $\kappa\alpha\dot{\iota}$ $\pi\dot{\alpha}\lambda\alpha\iota$ has seemed to one of them inconsistent with the short duration of time which had elapsed

M

since Kreon came to the throne. But πάλαι does not imply of necessity any particular lapse of time. The Chorus had just used the same adverb to express a short cogitation (above v. 275). The άνδρες πόλεως are the άστοί, δημόται, or lower citizens: see below v. 681, and cf. Pind. P. I. 84: agray ακοά κρύφιον θυμον βαρύνει. Ρ. ΧΙ. 30: ο δέ χαμηλά πνέων άφαντον βρέμει. The adverb δικαίως is used here in a sense which has escaped the commentators, but which I have expressed in the version, and have explained in the New Cratylus (p. 371). Lastly, ως στέργειν έμέ, does not refer to the filial affection of the people for their King, but to Kreon's approbation of the sentiments and conduct of the lower orders. For the meaning of the verb, see above v. 273, and Phil. 456: τούτους έγω τους ανδρας ου στέρξω $\pi o \tau \epsilon$; and for the post-position of the subject $\epsilon \mu \dot{\epsilon}$, see Eurip. Hecub. 730: σύ δὲ σχολά(εις ώστε θαυμά(ειν έμέ. Æsch. Pers. 513: ως στένειν πόλιν Περσων ποθούσαν φιλτάτην $\eta \beta \eta \nu \gamma \theta \sigma \sigma \sigma$. Any other way of construing these words seems to me impossible. Kreon merely says that he would have liked them to be implicitly obedient; for their love he cared nothing: oderint, dum metuant, is the tyrant's motto. For the force of ω_S c, infin. vide infra v. 303, and the passage quoted above from the Persæ.

- 303. $\chi\rho\delta\nu_{\varphi}$ $\pi\sigma\dot{\tau}$ — $\delta\iota\kappa\eta\nu$.] The King says that they have at last brought their dislike to an overt act, which will ensure their punishment. The $\chi\rho\delta\nu_{\varphi}$ $\pi\sigma\tau\epsilon$ belongs therefore to $\dot{\epsilon}\xi\dot{\epsilon}\pi\rho\alpha\xi\alpha\nu$, of which the effect is $\dot{\omega}_{\gamma}$ $\delta\delta\hat{\nu}\nu\alpha\nu$ $\delta\iota\kappa\eta\nu$.
- 318. $\dot{\rho}\nu\theta\mu\dot{i}\zeta\epsilon_{iS}$.] For this use of the word, see Blomfield's Glossar. in Prom. 249.

320. $\ddot{a}\lambda\eta\mu a$.] With most of the Editors, I have adopted

¹ The poet means: "not only is prolixity tiresome in all matters, but it is especially so when another's glory is being proclaimed in the hearing of his fellow-citizens of the lower orders." I cannot but think that $d\sigma\tau\hat{\sigma}\nu$ here is governed by $\kappa\rho\hat{\nu}\phi\iota\sigma\nu$ for the $d\kappa\alpha\hat{\sigma}$ is clearly the glory of Hiero (cf. v. 90), and $d\sigma\tau\alpha\hat{\sigma}$ are the lower citizens (cf. P. III. 71), who were generally envious (cf. O. VI. 7.)

Schneider's suggestion, that Sophocles wrote $\tilde{a}\lambda\eta\mu\alpha$ here, as in the Ajax, 381, 389, and not the vulgate $\lambda\dot{a}\lambda\eta\mu\alpha$. The Scholiast translates the word in this passage just as he translates $\tilde{a}\lambda\eta\mu\alpha$ in the Ajax, and the context requires it.

324. κόμψενε.] Ruhnken has sufficiently illustrated the use of this word (ad Tim. p. 154), which here refers to the Sentinel's punning refinements on δοκεῖ, δοκεῖν, and δόκησις. An English writer, who was celebrated for τὰ κομψὰ ταῦτα, εἴτε ληρήματα χρη φάναι εἶναι εἴτε φλυαρίας, has used the verb "to prate," as their best description: "he would be bold with himself, and say, when he preached twice a day at St. Giles', he prated once." Buckeridge's Funeral Sermon on Bishop Andrewes, p. 295. Lib. Angl. Cath. Theology. And with reference to the ἄλημα of v. 320, this verb very appropriately expresses the egotistical vulgarity of the special-pleading coxcomb. So in the Pursuits of Literature, the notorious egotism of Lord Erskine is similarly described:

Octavius. This of yourself?

Author. 'Tis so.

Oct. You're turn'd plain fool,

A vain, pert prater of the Erskine school.

332-373. First Stasimon. The metres are as follows:

$\sigma\tau\rho o \phi \dot{\eta} \dot{a}.$ 1. $\dot{\iota} \cup \cup || \dot{\iota} \cup || \dot{\iota} \cup || - ||$ 2. $- - || \dot{\iota} \cup \cup || \dot{\iota} \cup || - ||$ 3. $\dot{\iota} \cup || \dot{\iota} \cup \cup || \dot{\iota} \cup || - ||$ 4. $- - || \dot{\iota} \cup \cup || \dot{\iota} \cup || - ||$ 5. $- || \dot{\iota} \cup || \dot{\iota} \cup \cup || \dot{\iota} - ||$ 6. $\cup || \dot{\iota} \cup || - \cup || \dot{\iota} ||$ 7. $\cup || \dot{\iota} \cup || - \cup || \dot{\iota} \cup || - - ||$ 8. $\dot{\iota} \cup \cup || \dot{\iota} \cup \cup || \dot{\iota} \cup \cup || \dot{\iota} \cup \cup ||$ 9. $\dot{\iota} \cup \cup || \dot{\iota} \cup \cup || \dot{\iota} \cup \cup || \dot{\iota} \cup \cup ||$

στροφή β΄.

164

The whole of this ode should be scanned as dactylicotrochaic. It seems to me most unreasonable to suppose that iambic rhythms should find a place in such a scheme: and instead of imagining, with Dindorf, iambic verses mixed up with cretics, trochees, and Bacchei, I have merely marked the anacrusis in $\sigma\tau\rho$. \acute{a} . 5, 6, 7. $\sigma\tau\rho$. $\acute{\beta}$. 4, 5, 7, 8, 10. That universal metre, the Saturnian, may teach us that the anacrusis is most properly in its place at the beginning of trochaic rhythms (see *Varronianus*, p. 173 sqq.). $\Sigma\tau\rho$. \acute{a} . 8, 9, 10, may be considered as a dactylic octameter resting on a spondee, and followed by a trochaic tripodia.

332. $\pi o \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \omega \nu \dot{\alpha}$.] Some years ago I suggested (ad Pind. O. I. 28), that it would be as well to make $\pi o \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ the subject here, as it is in the passage of Pindar, because it seemed more natural that $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\alpha}$ should be the subject as $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$ is. In this conjecture, I now see, I had been anticipated by Neue, who is confidently followed by Wunder. I should not have thought it worth while to alter the text, even if there were any great force in the reasons mentioned

above. But there seems to be truth in what Emper says, that if we translate $\kappa a i$ by und doch, "and yet," the inversion of the propositions will give greater emphasis to the passage. For the meaning of $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \delta i$ here, the student may compare infra $1013: \beta \rho \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \chi \sigma i \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \hat{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \iota \nu \sigma i$, with the definition in Aristotle, Eth. Nic. VI. 12. § 9: $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \delta i \tau \iota i \delta \nu \alpha \mu \iota i \delta \nu \iota i \delta \nu \alpha \mu \iota i \delta \nu \iota i \delta \iota i \delta \nu \iota i \delta \nu \iota i \delta \nu \iota i \delta \iota i \delta \nu \iota i \delta \nu \iota i \delta \nu \iota i \delta \iota$

340. ἰλλομένων ἀρότρων. The Aldine and one of the MSS. have παλλομένων, which appears to me unintelligible. I am unable to see any difficulty in the text according to the above reading, which I consider indisputably genuine. sense is suggested by the word $\pi o \lambda \epsilon \dot{\nu} \omega \nu$ which follows, and the words before us must mean, "as the ploughs are being moved backwards and forwards in a zig-zag course," alluding. naturally, to the continuance from furrow to furrow; from which the Greeks derived their phrase, "to write as the oxen turn" (βουστροφηδον γράφειν i.e. έπαν ομοίως τοις αροτριώσι βουσί τὰς αντιστροφάς ποιή τις. Hesych.). That ίλλω may be used in this sense, is clear from the line in Nicander quoted by Buttmann, (Lexil. II. 156): Φεῦγε δ' αεί σκολιήν τε καί ου μίαν ατραπόν ίλλων, with which we might compare Virgil's description of the flight of Turnus, Anoid XII. 742, 743:

> Ergo amens diversa fuga petit æquora Turnus, Et nunc huc, inde huc, incertos implicat orbes.

And another passage, (Ibid. XII. 482):

Haud minus Æneas tortos legit obvius orbes Vestigatque virum, et disjecta per agmina magna Voce vocat—

might be used to explain Xenophon's phrase, (Venat. VI 15): ai δὲ [κύνες] ὑπὸ χαρᾶς καὶ μένους προϊᾶσιν ἐξίλλουσαι τὰ ἰχνη, ὡς πέφυκε, διπλᾶ, τριπλᾶ, προφορούμεναι παρὰ τὰ αὐτὰ, διὰ τῶν αὐτῶν ἐπηλλαγμένα, κ.τ.λ. Buttmann's opinion seems to have coincided with this: but he speaks doubtfully, and quotes nothing in support of his suggestion, except the line from Nicander.

340. $i\pi\pi\epsilon i\psi$ $\gamma \acute{e}\nu \epsilon \iota \pi o\lambda \epsilon \acute{\nu}\omega\nu$.] I prefer $\pi o\lambda \epsilon \acute{\nu}\omega\nu$, the constructio ad sensum, to $\pi o\lambda \epsilon \acute{\nu}o\nu$, which agrees more strictly with $\tau o\hat{\nu}\tau o$. Immediately afterwards we have $\acute{a}\mu\phi\iota\beta a\lambda\acute{\omega}\nu$. By the $i\pi\pi\epsilon i\psi$ $\gamma \acute{e}\nu\epsilon\iota$ the Scholiast rightly understands not horses, which were rarely used with the plough, but mules, which were preferred for that employment in very ancient times; he says: $i\pi\pi\epsilon i\psi$ $\gamma \acute{e}\nu\epsilon\iota$ $\pi o\lambda \epsilon \acute{\nu}\omega\nu$. $\tau a\hat{\imath}s$ $\mathring{\eta}\mu\iota\acute{o}\nu o\iota s$

αί γάρ τε βοῶν προφερέστεραί είσιν ελκέμεναι νειοῖο βαθείης πηκτὸν ἄροτρον.

- (Il. X. 352). He adds τινès δὲ καὶ Ἰπποις. χρῶνται εἰς ἀροτριασμόν; but the training of the horse for the yoke is not mentioned till afterwards, v. 350. In the same way as Sophocles has here shrunk from mentioning the mule, Simonides addressed the victorious mules of Leophron as "the daughters of storm-footed steeds" (χαίρετ ἀελλοπόδων θύγατρες ἵππων. Fragm. 13. Bergk.).
- 342. κουφονόων.] The credit of this certain emendation is due to Brunck. We have below, v. 610, ἀπάτα κουφονόων ἐρώτων. The reader of the Phædrus does not need to be told, that, in the language of Sophocles and Plato, words referring to the use of wings are employed to denote the purpose of the mind, especially in regard to the fluctuating emotions of love (See New Oratylus, p. 68). Here we have the converse metaphor; or rather that, which gave occasion to the metaphor in the other case, is here used in the reversed application: wings expressed the light-mindedness of man, therefore light-mindedness is made an epithet of the winged birds. See Aristoph. Aves, 168—170:

ο Τελέας έρει ταδί· ἄνθρωπος ὅρνις ἀστάθμητος πετόμενος, ἀτέκμαρτος, οὐδεν οὐδέποτ ἐν ταὐτῷ μένων.

With which compare the Funeral Service: "he fleeth as it were a shadow, and never continueth in one stay." The compound "flighty-purposed," by which I have rendered κου-φόνους is derived from Shakspere, Macbeth, Act IV. Sc. 1:

"The flighty purpose never is o'ertook Unless the deed go with it."

The words $\phi \hat{\nu} \lambda o \nu$ and $\epsilon \theta \nu o s$ are used here with a covert reference to their employment as political terms, denoting classes in a state.

- 343. θηρών— έθνη.] Cf. Aristot. Eth. Nic. VIII. 1, δ 3: τοις πλ. των ζωων καὶ τοις όμο έθνεσι πρὸς ἄλληλα.
- 350. ὀχμάζεται—ζυγῶν.] This emendation, which Franz sent to Böckh, is referred by Wolff (in the Zeitschrift für Alterthumswissenschaft, 1846, p. 746,) to Schöne (Allg. Schulztg. 1833, II. p. 948); and I agree with Emper in thinking it by far the most probable of those which have been proposed. Phavorin. p. 1406: κυρίως δέ ἐστιν ὀχμάσαι τὸ ἴππον ὑπὸ χαλινὸν ἀγαγεῖν ἡ ὑπὸ ὄχημα. So Eurip. El. 817: ὅστις ταῦρον ἀρταμεῖ καλῶς ἵππους τ' ὀχμάζει. The middle here has its proper force. Antholog. Palat. IX. No. 19: νῦν κλοίφ δειρὴν πεπεδημένος, οἶα χαλινῷ καρπὸν ἐλᾶ Δηοῦς ὀκριόεντι λίθφ.
- καὶ φθέγμα καὶ ήνεμόεν φρόνημα καὶ άστυνόμους ὀργάς.] Most students of Sophocles have sought in vain for a precise and consistent explanation of these words. Without discussing the opinions of previous commentators, whether I partially agree with, or wholly differ from, their views, I will state what appears to me the meaning of the In speaking of the δεινότης or power of man, he enumerates the following exemplifications of it: (1) navigation: (2) agriculture: (3) fowling, hunting, and fishing: (4) domestication of wild cattle, and taming and training the ox and the horse: (5) the three particulars in the verses before us: (6) architecture: (7) medical skill. such a complete specification, it seems scarcely possible that a highly educated Athenian would omit: (a) language applied to poetry and oratory: (b) speculative reasoning or philosophy: and (c) political science. And I believe that these are the three particulars here mentioned as $\phi \theta \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \mu \alpha$, ηνεμόεν Φρόνημα, and αστυνόμοι όργαί. The first word,

 $\phi\theta\epsilon\gamma\mu a$, has no epithet, and as it cannot mean that man taught himself (εδιδάξατο) mere utterance, it must imply language in its higher sense, or as applied to oratory and poetry. The other words, φρόνημα and οργαί, are defined by their In themselves, they are general terms referring, the one to that mixture of intellect and will which was placed by the Greeks in the breast $(\phi \rho \eta \nu)$ of man, and which formed the basis of his political predilections and of his philosophical bias (see above, v. 176); the other, to that complex of longings and likings, which, regulated by the mind, constituted the distinctive character or disposition of an individual (see below, v. 850, 929). How Φρόνημα and opyn differ, and at the same time how far they agree, may be seen by a comparison of the following passages; above, v. 169: μένοντας έμπέδοις φρονήμασιν. Ajax 640: ουκέτι συντρύφοις οργαίς έμπεδος. What then are the ηνεμόεν Φρόνημα and the αστυνόμοι όργαι which man has taught himself (εδιδάξατο)? With regard to the former, it is to be observed that we have twice in this play the phrase Φρονείν διδάσκεσθαι, or διδάσκειν το Φρονείν, (infra. vv. 717, 1313), where φρονείν means "wisdom" considered as a sort of experience (ἐμπειρία), and the ὀργαί, which a man teaches himself, can only be regarded as habitudes, or έξεις, which he acquires by practice. Accordingly, the very idea, which must be attached to the word φρόνημα in this passage, is inconsistent with one of the versions proposed for the epithet nucleow, namely, "swift as the wind:" for Φρόνημα must here be considered as something fixed and stable, not as something fleeting and changeable. Moreover, it does not appear that $\eta_{\Gamma \in \mu o' \in \Gamma}$ is used in this sense by the more ancient poets: we have ἀελλάδες ἴπποι in Œd. T. 463, and conversely, Bopea's $a\mu \pi \pi \sigma s$, infra v. 952: but the passages quoted by Erfurdt are all of them from later With regard to the animorum incredibiles motus celeritasque ingeniorum of Cicero (pro Archia, VIII. § 17), this does not settle the meaning of Sophocles in this passage, but only shows what he might have said. The ριπαί $\dot{\epsilon}\chi heta$ ίστων ἀν $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\omega
u$, supra v. 137, and the $\tau\hat{\omega}
u$ αὐ $\tau\hat{\omega}$ ν ἀν $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\omega
u$ αυταί ψυχης ριπαί, infra v. 904, obviously refer to passion,

and not to intellect. We must have recourse therefore to the other and more ancient sense of nveudeis, i.e. "ventosus eâ significatione quâ dicuntur loca ventosa" (H. Steph. in v.). By a very natural application of the word in this sense it means "lofty"—(cf. luft, lift, luff, &c.) "up in the air," "exposed to the winds:" thus Pindar calls Ætna îmov aveμόεσσαν Τυφώνος. If therefore $\phi\theta\epsilon\gamma\mu\alpha$ refers to poetry, as by implication and in part it does, there is the same juxtaposition, that we find here, in Eurip. Alcest. 962: έγω καὶ διὰ μούσας καὶ μετάρσιος ήξα (where for the verb The epithet aστυνόμος is not to be exof. Hecub. v. 31). plained by a mere reference to the phrase aoth vémeur, urbes incolere. For although this is no doubt the origin of the compound, it had established itself in the time of Sophocles as an independent word, which conveyed a special signification. It referred, namely, to the internal care and management of a town—the repair of houses, the police and cleansing of the streets, and the superintendence of the fountains, harbours, &c. The performance of these duties was called a στυνομία (Arist. Pol. VI. 8. § 5); and in order to its proper performance at Athens, there was a board of officers called agravous, five for the city and five for the Piræus (Aristot. apud Harpocr. s. v.). Plato thought, that, in proportion as his citizens were properly educated, they would the less need regulations of this kind (Resp. IV. p. 425, p.) that is, they would of themselves be sufficiently under the influence of ἀστυνόμοι ὀργαί;—but in his Laws (VI. p. 763, c.), he is careful to appoint a board of three ἀστυνόμοι and five αγορανόμοι. If, from the legal use of the word in the prose writers, we turn to its tropical use in the poets, we shall find, as here, a direct reference to the primary Thus, Pindar prays on behalf of the city of application. Ætna, that Jupiter will bestow upon the inhabitants μοίραν εύνομον, άγλαταισιν δ' άστυνόμοις επιμίξαι λαόν (Ν. ΙΧ. 31). And Æschylus distinguishes between the Gods as actuvoμοι, ὕπατοι, χθόνιοι, οὐράνιοι, and ἀγοραῖοι (Agam. 88). I think therefore that this adjective and its converse $\dot{\alpha}_{\gamma\rho\sigma}$ νόμος (Œd. T. 1103. infra 775. Æschyl. Agam. 140) ought to be paroxytone, like the word denoting the offices of town and country police. In conclusion, I will remark that if, as is probably the case, Sophocles is referring here by covert allusion to his friend Pericles, the connexion between the ηνεμόεν φρόνημα and the ἀστυνόμοι ὀργαί will be particularly emphatic; for there was nothing better known about this great statesman, than that he combined with his ἀστυνομία the μετεωρολογία which he got from Anaxagoras; cf. Plato, Phædrus, p. 270, A. (where τὸ ὑψηλόνουν is the prose version of ἡνεμόεν φρόνημα), with Cic. Orator. 34, § 119, who says, "quem etiam quo grandior sit et quodammodo excelsior (ut de Pericle supra dixi) ne physicorum quidem ignarum esse volo. Omnia profecto, quum se a cœlestibus rebus referet ad humanas, excelsius magnificentiusque et dicet et sentiet."

δυσαύλων. As the poet is here speaking of architectural contrivances as a shelter against the inclemency of the weather, it is obvious that this epithet must be taken in its most pregnant meaning, namely, "frosts which make a mere hut, or any thing except a walled house, very comfortless." Although $a\dot{\nu}\lambda\dot{\eta}$ is used poetically to signify a complete house (Trach. 897), and even a treasure-house entirely walled in (infra v. 920), its proper meaning was "a partial shelter"—such as a court-yard or cattle-pen without a roof, or a hut without side walls. According to Athenæus (V. p. 189, B), it was essential to the proper definition of the term, that the place to which it was applied left a free access for the wind: ἔτι τοίνυν οὐδ ή αὐλή άρμόττει έπὶ τοῦ οίκου, ὁ γὰρ διαπνεόμενος τόπος αὐλή λέγεται καὶ διαυλωνίζειν Φαμέν το δεχόμενον έξ έκατέρου πνευμα χώριον. έτι δε αυλός μεν το δργανον ώ διέρχεται το πυεθμα κ.τ.λ. As people who lived in the country, watching the flocks and herds, were obliged to trust to their clothing for a defence against the weather, and had only αὐλαὶ to retire to, we read of their ἀγρονόμοι αὐλαί (infra 775). Electra sends word to her brother ofors ev πέπλοις αὐλίζομαι (Eurip. Electr. 304), and her rustic husband speaks similarly of his own cottage: Tivos & Ekati τάσδ' ἐπ' ἀγραύλους πύλας προσηλθον (ib. 342); indeed, so completely was this phraseology adopted by the Athenians, that their rustic deity, whom they worshipped in the spring as a daughter of Kekrops, was called Agraulus, or Aglaurus, vide Photius, s.v. Καλλυντήρια, p. 127, Porson. By a not unnatural transition, the wild animals are called αγρονόμοι (Æsch. Agam. 140), or ἄγραυλοι (supra v. 348); and the poor shelter of the soldier's bivouac is termed his δυσαυλία (Æsch. Agam. 541). With so many implied references, it is obvious that the epithet δύσαυλος is best rendered by the converse of the English word "comfortable," which is almost equally comprehensive, and equally untranslatable. The idea, which Sophocles wished to convey, is partly expressed by the sparso triste cubile gelu of Propertius, Lib. III. El. 13. v. 26.

- 355, 6. πάγων υπαίθρεια καὶ δύσομβρα φεύγειν βέλη.] The metre indicated a corruption in the old reading; with Dindorf, I have introduced Böckh's emendation; cf. Æsch. Agam. 355; and, for the lengthening of the penultima, such forms as eπινύμφειος, eπινίκειος, κ.τ.λ. For the force of this epithet of the frost, see Soph. Tr. 162: πάγου φανέντος αίθρίου; and cf. Horat. III. Carm. 10, 8: "positas ut glaciet nives puro numine Jupiter." For the application of βέλη to the frost, see Psalm CXLVII. 17: αρμα
- άπορος ἐπ΄ οὐδὲν ἔρχεται τὸ μέλλον.] mann, whom most of the commentators repeat, connects the words επ' οὐδεν with το μέλλον, remarking: "Non recte Scholiasta explicat, έπ' οὐδεν τῶν μελλόντων. Aliud est enim έπ' οὐδεν μέλλον, ad nullam rem futuram, infinite dictum, quam finite, ad eorum, quæ futura sunt, nihil. Quorum alterum est, ad nihil, si quid futurum est; alterum, ad nihil, quod est futurum." With all submission to this veteran scholar, I must beg to doubt whether the Greek syntax would bear such a construction as eπ' οὐδεν το μέλλον. The passage referred to by Wunder is not at all parallel: infra v. 719: μηδέν το μη δίκαιον. This is, of course, to be explained by what precedes, and Hæmon means μηδέν διδάσκου το μη δίκαιου, "be not in any respect instructed

by me in what is not just." In the passage before us, as I have elsewhere stated (New Cratylus, p. 385), I take $\tau \dot{o}$ $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ as a sort of adverb, analogous to $\tau \dot{o}$ $\pi \rho \dot{\iota} \nu$, $\tau \dot{o}$ $\nu \dot{\nu} \nu$, &c. In v. 605 infra, it is undoubtedly used in this way; and the construction of this passage requires a similar usage: $\tau \dot{o}$ $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda o \nu$, $\ddot{a} \pi o \rho o s$ $\ddot{\epsilon} \rho \chi \epsilon \tau a \iota \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\pi}$ $\dot{o} \dot{\nu} \dot{o} \dot{\epsilon} \nu$, "in regard to the future, he comes to nothing without resources."

- 360. φεῦξιν ἐπάξεται.] Here ἐπάγομαι bears its common sense "of calling in succours" (Thuoyd. I. 3); with which is coupled the notion of getting aid of any kind; see Plato, Menex. p. 238, B: ἄρχοντας καὶ διδασκάλους αὐτῶν ἐπηγάγετο [ἡ γῆ], Thuoyd. I. 81: ὧν δέονται, ἐπάξονται. There is no need, therefore, for Heindorf's correction ἐπεύξεται (in his note on Plato, Sophist. p. 235, c: οὐ—μήποτε ἐκφυγὸν ἐπεύξηται τὴν—μέθοδον).
- 362. $\sigma o \phi \acute{o} v \tau \iota \check{e} \chi \omega v$,] i.e. $\tau \acute{o} \mu \eta \chi a v \acute{e} v \tau \eta s \tau \acute{e} \chi v \eta s \sigma o \phi \acute{o} v \check{e} \chi \omega v$, Scholiast. The reference is of course to the use of the verbs $\mu \eta \chi a v \mathring{\omega} \mu a \iota$ and $\tau e \chi v \mathring{\omega} \mu a \iota$, and not to mechanical art in its modern sense: cf. $\mu \eta \chi a v o \dot{\rho} \dot{\rho} \acute{a} \phi o s \mathscr{E} d$. $T. 387. \tau \acute{e} \chi v \eta \mu a Phil. 916$.
- 366. γεραίρων.] With Ellendt, I have received the old conjecture of Reiske and Musgrave, which seems to me far more probable than any of the more recent emendations. For the palæographical considerations, see on v. 24, supra.

κακάς δὲ τόλμας μήτ ἐπισταίμην ἐγώ, μήτ ἐκμάθοιμι, τάς τε τολμώσας στυγῶ.

Pind. P. II. 83: οὖ οἱ μετέχω θράσεος. For the use of

χάριν in this collocation, see $Ed.\ T.$ 883 sqq: εἰ δέ τις υπέροπτα χερσὶν ἢ λύγψ πορεύεται, Δίκας ἀφύβητος, οὐδὲ δαιμόνων ἔδη σέβων, κακά νιν ἔλοιτο μοῖρα δυσπότμου χάριν χλιδᾶς, εἰ μή κ.τ.λ. For the general idea cf. Æschyl. Eumen. 344: Ζεῦς—ἔθνος τόδε λέσχας ἆς ἀπηξιώσατο. For ἴσον φρονῶν, see above on 176, and compare Hom. $Il.\ IV.\ 361: τὰ γὰρ φρονέεις ἄ τ᾽ ἐγώ περ.$ That ἔρδω is often used in a bad sense, is well known: see especially $Phil.\ 684.$

- 374. $\delta a \iota \mu \acute{o} \nu \iota o \nu \tau \acute{e} \rho a s$.] The adjective $\delta a \iota \mu \acute{o} \nu \iota o s$, which refers to the influences of an intermediate deity ($\delta a \acute{\iota} \mu \omega \nu$), often expresses that which is more than would be expected without such intervention: hence it means "strange," "surprising," "wonderful"—and this is the signification which it bears in the compellation \mathring{w} $\delta a \iota \mu \acute{o} \nu \iota e$: see ad Pind. O. VI. 8, 9.
- 378. $\dot{a}\pi\dot{a}\gamma\sigma\nu\sigma\iota$.] I have adopted the emendation of Böckh: for this reference to the $\dot{a}\pi a\gamma\omega\gamma\dot{\eta}$, while it might easily perplex a scribe, would be very much in its place here.
- 385. ἄναξ—ἀπώμοτον.] Probably a tacit reference to Archilochus, Fr. 69, 1. Bergk: χρημάτων ἄελπτον οὐδέν ἐστιν οὐδ΄ ἀπώμοτον. cf. below 390. The same fragment seems to have been in his memory when he wrote Œd. Col. 615.
- 388. $\dot{\epsilon}\xi\eta\dot{\nu}\chi\rho\nu\nu$.] Unless we ought to read $\dot{\epsilon}\xi\eta\dot{\nu}\chi\eta\sigma\alpha$, as in Phil. 851, we must explain this imperfect by the common use of the same tense with $o\dot{\nu}$, and without $\dot{a}\nu$; so that the construction suggested by Matthiä, § 598, A, is the true one; $\sigma\chi o\lambda\hat{\eta}$ $\pi o\theta'$ $\dot{\eta}\xi\epsilon\iota\nu$ $\delta\epsilon\hat{\nu}\rho'$ $\dot{a}\nu$ $\dot{\epsilon}\xi\eta\dot{\nu}\chi o\nu\nu$ being equivalent to $o\dot{\nu}\kappa$ $\dot{\epsilon}\xi\dot{\eta}\dot{\nu}\chi o\nu\nu$ $\dot{\eta}\xi\epsilon\iota\nu$. One of the MSS. and the margin of Turnebus give $\sigma\chi o\lambda\hat{\eta}$ γ' $\dot{a}\nu$ for $\sigma\chi o\lambda\hat{\eta}$ $\pi o\theta'$, and this is adopted, after Erfurdt and Hermann, by most of the critics. Precisely the same construction is found in Ed. Tyr. 434, where, however, we have the aorist $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\epsilon\iota\lambda\dot{\alpha}\mu\eta\nu$; and if $\dot{\epsilon}\xi\eta\dot{\nu}\chi\eta\sigma\alpha$

were read here, I should prefer $\sigma\chi o\lambda\hat{\eta}$ γ' $\hat{a}\nu$ after $\hat{\epsilon}\pi\hat{\epsilon}i$. In nearly all the passages quoted by Blomfield (Gloss. Prom. 710), we have $o\ddot{\nu}$ $\pi o\tau \epsilon$ with the imperfect of $a\dot{\nu}\chi\dot{\epsilon}\omega$ or $\dot{\epsilon}\xi$ - $a\nu\chi\dot{\epsilon}\omega$, and he tacitly introduces the same tense into the line from the Philoctetes. In Agam. 508 (470), the herald says $o\dot{\nu}$ $\gamma\dot{a}\rho$ $\pi o\tau'$ $\eta\dot{\nu}\chi o\nu\nu - \mu\epsilon\theta\dot{\epsilon}\xi\epsilon\nu$; and if any one wishes to have the same construction here, he might read $\sigma\chi o\lambda\hat{\eta}$ $\pi o\theta'$ $\eta'\xi\epsilon\nu$ $\delta\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\nu}\rho'$ $\dot{a}\nu'$ $\dot{\epsilon}\xi\eta\dot{\nu}\chi o\nu\nu$ $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$. It must be remarked that the Sentinel is more likely to be made to refer to what he did say (supra v. 329), than to what he would have said.

- 395. Θοῦρμαιον.] I have been obliged to render this word by an English phrase, which is more expressive than elegant. The word Godsend is used with a different application, and the exclamations "a prize, a prize!" or "found, found!" could not be introduced in a descriptive passage, although the latter is the best representative of the Greek εῦρηκα, which has become a descriptive word in the proper name Hurreekee, still given to a place on the Indus, where Alexander's Indian conquests ceased, and where our dominion was consummated.
- 429. χοαῖσι τρισπόνδοισι.] i. e. milk, wine, and honey. Hom. Od. xi. 26. The verb στέφει perhaps refers to the libations being poured round the body: the Scholiast says στέφει κοσμεῖ, περιρραίνει. For the full force of άρδην in the preceding line, and for the shape of the proches, see the figure of Victory in Müller's Denkmäler der alters Kunst, Heft I. Taf. 13. No. 47.

434. αμ'.] I have adopted Dindorf's AM for AΛΛ.

448—450. ov $\gamma \acute{a}\rho \tau \iota$ — $\nu \acute{o}\mu o \nu s$.] The third of these lines has caused a good deal of perplexity to the Editors: some propose to emend it by writing $\mathring{\eta}$ for o $\mathring{\imath}$, or $\tau o \acute{\iota} - o \nu \sigma \mathring{o}$ for o $\mathring{\imath}$ $\tau o \nu \sigma \mathring{o}$, and changing $\mathring{\omega} \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \nu$ into $\mathring{\omega} \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \nu$. And Dindorf, who is followed by Wunder and Emper, adopts the favourite expedient of omitting the line altogether. It appears to me that the intention of the poet has not been

Kreon asks Antigone if she knew the proclamaunderstood. tion $(\tau \dot{\alpha} \kappa \eta \rho \nu \chi \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau a)$, and then expresses his surprise that she should venture to transgress these laws (τούσδε νόμους), meaning, of course, his own enactments. She replies, that she did not consider his proclamations as emanating from Zeus, the supreme God, or from that justice which regulated the rights of the dead, who, she says, have established these laws, namely, the laws of sepulture, which do not need any enactment, but have their opou set up in the human heart; "and I did not," she continues, "think your κηρύγματα superior to vomma, which had the Gods for their authors." The whole Play turns upon the opposition between his laws and those which she thought it right to obey. And this speech in particular is entirely upon that text. words, σοι δ' εί δοκῶ—οφλισκάνω, are another expression of the same antagonism. "If my obedience to the laws of heaven in defiance of the laws of man, seems to you foolish, I consider your opposition to the laws of heaven, on behalf of your own ordinances, equally void of sense." The signification of τούσδε νόμους in v. 450 is partly suggested by the $\dot{\eta}$ Eύνοικος $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ κάτω $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ which precedes, and partly by the ώρισαν έν ανθρώποις which follows. The laws, which infernal justice regulated, and which had their opou, not as outward marks, but as records in the heart, could need no further description in their opposition to the κηρύγματα of Kreon. It is because they are so implicitly defined, that the article which Böckh would place before $\tilde{a}_{\gamma\rho\alpha\pi\tau\alpha}$ is unnecessary, and has been omitted by the poet. Moreover, it will be remembered that $\delta(\kappa\eta)$ and $vo\mu os$, in their relation to funeral rites, have a natural title to stand in juxtaposition: cf. above v. 23, 24. I think, therefore, that the proposal to reject line 450, must be considered as one proof, among many, of the necessity of general exegesis to sound criticism.

507. σοὶ δ' ὑπίλλουσι στόμα.] The Scholiast has correctly explained these words: γιγνώσκουσι καὶ οὖτοι διὰ δὲ σὲ τὸ στόμα συστέλλουσι καὶ σιωπῶσιν. Ύπίλλω applies to that action of the mouth in resolute silence, which is produced by the pronunciation of the word mum, and I have

used the word in the translation as it is employed by Shakspere, Richard III. Act III. Sc. 7:

"Now, by the Holy Mother of our Lord, The citizens are mum, say not one word."

- 509. τους ομοσπλάγχνους.] See 1034 infra.
- 513. οὐ μαρτυρήσει—χθονός.] The common reading is, ταῦθ' ὁ κατθανῶν νεκύς. In one MS, and in the margin of Turnebus, we have ὁ κατὰ χθονὸς νεκύς, which Brunck adopted, without a due regard to the metre. I believe that I have restored the true reading, which was lost partly by the copyists looking back to v. 510, χῶ κατ[άντιον] θανῶν, where also we have the various reading κατὰ χθονός, and partly by some confused reference to vv. 24 and 26, where Eteokles is described as κατὰ χθονός, and his brother spoken of as τὸν ἀθλίως θανόντα Πολυνείκους νέκυν. I think also that the καὶ was required here as in v. 510, and that the reference to Eteokles would not be sufficiently distinct if the old reading were retained.
- 519. τίς οίδεν—εὐαγη τάδε.] Scholiast: τίς οίδεν, εἰ καθ' "Αιδου ἀλλήλοις διαλλάσσοντες ηγοῦνται εὐσεβη ταδε; cf. Œd. Τγτ. 921: ὅπως λύσιν τιν ημίν εὐαγη πόρης. Κάτωθεν for κάτω 'στίν, is suggested by the Scholiast, from whom Dindorf has borrowed it.
- 554. ἀλλ΄ οὐκ—λόγοις.] Matthiä's explanation of these words (586 γ.) appears to me inadmissible. He translates them, "not without my having spoken," and quotes Eurip. Ion. 237: ἐπὶ δ΄ ἀσφάκτοις μήλοισι δόμων μὴ πάριτ' ἐς μυχόν. But the omission of the article in the latter passage makes a great difference, and it seems impossible to translate the line before us, without considering ἀρρήτοις as a secondary predicate, or adjective used adverbially. The construction is the same as the πρὸς ἰσχύοντας τοὺς ἐχθροὺς of Thueyd. I. 36, which is explained in New Crat. p. 384.
 - 557, 8. $\theta \dot{\alpha} \rho \sigma \epsilon \iota \dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$.] Wunder entertains a

strange notion as to the meaning of these words: he says. "nemo non perspexisset sensum hujus loci, si scripsisset poeta: ώστε τοις (ωσι μηκέτ' ωφελείν, ita ut vivis nihil jam utilis Idem significavit iis verbis quæ posuit. necessario incipit utilis esse, qui vivis esse desierit." sense in which I understand the passage is very different from this. Ismene had said: "Nay, our sin is equal; for if you were the agent, I was privy before the fact," (Scholiast: ότι σύ μεν επραξας εγώ δε συνήδειν, cf. Hec. 857: σύνισθισυνδράσης δέ μή). To which Antigone replies: "Never mind -you live; that is the difference,—and my life has been long ago sacrificed in my attempt to help (i. e. bury) the dead." The idea which attached itself to the phrase where tois θανοῦσι, may be derived from a comparison of Æsch. Pers. 842: ως τοις θανούσι πλούτος ούδεν ωφελεί, with Eurip. Alcest. 56: καν γραθς όληται πλουσίως ταφήσεται.

- 563. ξὖν κακοῖς πράσσειν κακά.] Although it is clear from the τοῖς κακῶς πράσσουσιν of the preceding verse, and from the word βιώσιμον in the answer of Ismene, that the reference is to suffering rather than to sin, Böckh has translated these words, als Böses du mit Bösen thatst.
- 570. $\hat{\omega}$ $\phi i\lambda \tau a\theta' \pi a\tau \eta \rho$.] I subscribe to the opinion of Böckh and Süvern, who, following the old Editions, have restored this verse to Antigone. I have also adopted Böckh's suggestion that 572, 574, should be assigned to the Chorus, and not to Ismene.
- 573. "Aιδης—εφυ.] As I believe that the phrase "to forbid the banns," however connected with our Church usages, is derived from the signification of the words themselves, I have not hesitated to imitate Ford in this reference to "the churchman's part."
- 575. καὶ σοί γε κάμοί.] The poet is again playing with the different usages of δοκεῖν. He means ἐμοὶ δέδοκται, ώς καὶ σοὶ δοκεῖ, scil. τήνδε κατθανεῖν.
 - 576, 7. ἐκ δὲ τοῦδε—ἀνειμένας.] Dindorf, who is folsoph. Ant.

lowed by Wunder, thinks this reading inadmissible, and proposes instead, εὖ ἐἐ τάσδε χρη γυναῖκας εἶλαι μηδ ἀνειμένας ἐᾶν. His arguments have failed to convince me that this emendation is either necessary or in good taste. Any person who will take the trouble to compare Ajax 286: ὁ δ εἶπε πρός με βαί ἀεὶ δ ὑμνούμενα, γύναι, γυναιξὶ κοσμὸν ἡ σιγὴ ψέρει: Tr. 61: ἄλλως τε καὶ κόρη τε κάργεία γένος, αἶς κόσμος ἡ σιγή τε καὶ τὰ παῦρ ἔπη, with Electr. 516: ἀνειμένη μὲν, ὡς ἔοικας, αὖ στρέψει. οὐ γὰρ πάρεστ Αίγισθος, ός σ ἐπεῖχ ἀεὶ, μή τοι θυραίαν γ οὐσαν αἰσχύνειν ψίλους: supra 61: γυναῖχ ἔψυμεν, 484: ἡ νῦν ἐγὼ μὲν οὐκ ἀνὴρ, αὕτη δ ἀνήρ, and the passages quoted by Poppo on Thucyd. II. 45 fin., will see that the emphatic use of γυναῖκας in this passage, as a predicate opposed to ἀνειμένας, is quite in accordance with the spirit of the Greeks, and of their language.

580—617. Second Stasimon. The metres are as follows:

```
στροφή ά.
```

στροφή β'.

In my judgment, the previous arrangements of this ode have been altogether unsatisfactory. The critics have not shrunk from a medley of iambics, trochees, and antispasts; and even a senarius, with unequally resolved arsis, has been allowed to appear. It is nothing but dactylico-trochaic verse, the trochaic rhythm appearing chiefly as dipodia and ithyphallicus. There is a trochœus semantus (vide Herm. El. Doctr. Metr. p. 660) in $\sigma\tau\rho$. á 1, which makes an incisio in the line. $\Sigma\tau\rho$. a 6 is the metre which I have restored in v. 943 infra, namely, two trochaic dipodiæ cum anacrusi followed by an ithyphallicus, which is repeated in the following line, and follows a single dipodia cum anacrusi in the last line of the Strophe.

αίων.] Sophocles opposes to γενεά, considered as representing the whole series of generations which make up the existence of a family, the aiw here, or yévos v. 591, i. e. the existing generation for the time being. If mischief $(a\tau\eta)$ once gets into a family, no single generation $(ai\omega\nu$, γένος) can exhaust it, but it must have its play; just as the waves, which the wind raises on the surface of a narrow sea or bay, such as that between Eubœa and Attica, must affect the whole mass of water until they reach the shingle at the The Chorus in the Ajax 629 holds to a different bottom. He speaks of a father's hearing παιδος δύσφορον άταν, αν ούπω τις έθρεψεν αίων Αιακιδαν άτερθε τοῦδε. The inherited evils of the Labdakidæ are the leading idea in the one case; the exception, which Ajax furnished to the general prosperity of his race, is prominently brought forward in the other passage. See Pind. P. III. 86: αίων δ' ἀσφαλής

οὐκ ἔγεντ' οὕτ' Αἰακίδα παρὰ Πηλεῖ οὕτε παρ' ἀντιθέψ Κάδμψ. Schiller has fully caught the spirit of Greek tragedy in his *Piccolomini* (Act II. Sc. 7, of Coleridge's version; III. Sc. 9, of the original): "Es geht ein finstrer Geist durch unser Haus," u. s. w. "There's a dark spirit walking in our house," &c. See a Greek version of the passage in Hermann's Opuscula, V. p. 356.

586, 7. βυσσόθεν κελαινάν θίνα και δυσάνεμον. The commentators have, strangely as it appears to me, mistaken the meaning of this passage. Wunder adopts the explanation of the Scholiast: "nomen δυσάνεμον recte explicat Scholiasta: τὴν ὑπὸ ἀνέμων ταραχθεῖσαν. Similiter, supra 356, δύσομβρα dictum est." Jacobs, who is followed by Erfurdt, proposes δυσανέμω, scil. στόνω. Ellendt, who retains δυσάνεμον, would join the word adverbially to βρέμειν. seems to me that the context leads to a very obvious inter-When mischief begins in a family, it goes on pretation. έπὶ πληθος γενεας: similarly, when the wind in the Euripus blows hard upon the surface for a given time, the undulatory motion continues till the shingle at the bottom is stirred; now this shingle being in the έρεβος υφαλον—i. e., as Jacobs explains it, το μέλαν της θαλάσσης Βάθος—is itself black and gloomy for want of light (κελαινά); and being covered by a bulk of water, it is also δυσάνεμος, or not easily affected by the wind. I should therefore explain δυσάνεμος in the same way as the adjectives δυσήνιος. δυσθαλπής, δυσθεράπευτος, δυσθήρατος, &c. &c., which all signify a defiance of that which is expressed by the main part of the compound. Accordingly, the poet is not here speaking of the alluvial mud cast up along the shore, which Aristotle calls o dis o médas, but of the general deposits at the bottom of the sea: thus also Aristoph. Vesp. 696: τί λέγεις; ως μοῦ τὸν θίνα ταράσσεις, on which the Schol.: ἐκ βυθοῦ με κινεῖς. Hesych.: θῖς τὸ κάτω βάθος Pind. P. VI. 12-14: ovr avenor es της θαλάσσης. μυγούς άλος άξοισι παμφόρω γεράδι τυπτόμενον. general, we may compare with this metaphor that which has been explained above, v. 20.

181

588. ἀντιπληγες ἀκταί.] The poet speaks as an Athenian, who had taken his stand on the East Coast of Attica, and looked towards Eubœa while a violent gale was blowing from the North-East. It would first touch the surface of the sea, but at length would so affect the whole mass of water, that the windward coast of Eubœa, no less than the lee shore of Attica, would be lashed by the waves. That ἀκτη is particularly applied to the sea-coast of Attica, which derived its name from this use (Aττικη = Aκτικη), is well known. See Suidas s.v.; Anecd. Bekkeri, p. 370, 8; Strabo (quoting Sophocles) IX. p. 392. And that the term was also applied to Eubœa, is clear from v. 1100 infra, and from Trach. 236: ἀκτη τις ἔστ Εὐβοιίς.

589, 90. $a\rho\chi a\hat{i}a - \pi i\pi\tau o\nu\tau$.] For the construction see the *New Cratylus*, p. 385. The necessary emendation $\phi\theta\iota\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$ is due to Hermann. Dindorf has pointed out a similar corruption in Eurip. *Alcest*. 100.

593—597. νῦν γὰρ— Ερινύς. Hermann's insertion of ο before τέτατο is required by the metre, and recognized by the Scholiast. He subsequently adopted a more extensive change, writing $\delta \pi \epsilon \rho$ for $v \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho$, and $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \dot{\epsilon} \tau a \tau o$: but the preposition seems necessary, and, as well as the relative, was read by the Scholiast. For the phrase ο τέτατο φάος, Ι have elsewhere compared Phil. 817 sq.: ວັບພຸດວາ & ດໍາຕ່ຽງວາຣ τάνδ' αίγλαν ἃ τέταται τανῦν. For the sense of the word ρίζα the student may refer to Ajax 935; Pind. O. II. 4; Æsch. Suppl. 105; St. Paul, Rom. XV. 12; Arist. Eth. Nic. VIII. 14. § 3: δθεν φασί ταὐτὸν αίμα καὶ ρίζαν καὶ τοιαῦτα. The phrase κόνις καταμά ρίζαν may be partly illustrated by Ajax 1157: γένους ἄπαντος ρίζαν I have justified the common reading kovis . éEnunuévos against the emendation κόπις, in the New Cratylus, p. 294.

597. λόγου τ' άνοια καὶ φρενῶν Ερινύς.] It is clear that this is predicated of Antigone, whose inconsiderate language to Kreon, coupled with her feeling of resentment at the violation of religious ordinances in the case of

Polyneikes, had led to her condemnation. This is the proper force of the word έρινύς, which, as Müller says (Eumenid. § 77), denotes "the feeling of deep offence, of bitter displeasure, when sacred rights belonging to us are impiously violated by persons who ought most to have respected them."

598, 9. τεὰν, Ζεῦ — κατάσχοι.] Some years ago I pointed out the sense of this passage, which had been generally misunderstood. I will repeat here what I wrote in 1836. "The connexion of ideas in this passage is as follows: 'What mortal transgression or sin is Jupiter liable to, Jupiter the sleepless and everlasting God? But mortal men know nothing of the future till it comes upon them.' We should certainly read ὑπερβασία in the nominative case. Τίς ὑπερβασία κατέχει τεὰν δύνασίν; is equivalent to τεὰ δύνασις κατέχει οὕτινα ὑπερβασίαν" (see above on v. 4). "Compare Theognis 743—6, which Sophocles had in his head:

καὶ τοῦτ', ἀθανάτων βασιλεῦ, πῶς ἐστι δίκαιον ἔργων ὅστις ἀνὴρ ἐκτὸς ἐων ἀδίκων, μή τιν' ὑπερβασίην κατέχων μηδ' ὅρκον ἀλιτρον, ἀλλὰ δίκαιος ἐων μὴ τὰ δίκαια πάθη;

Theatre of the Greeks, Ed. 4, p. 81."

600—602. $\tau \dot{\alpha} \nu$ ονθ' $\ddot{\nu} \pi \nu \sigma s$ — $\mu \hat{\eta} \nu \epsilon s$.] These words do not balance the corresponding words in the antistrophe, and various attempts have been made to mend the corruption thus indicated. Moreover, the word $\pi a \nu \tau \sigma \gamma \dot{\eta} \rho \omega s$ has been with justice objected to on its own account. Schneider, in his Lexicon, pronounced it a word of doubtful authority. Emper says, that this epithet is totally inapplicable to refreshing sleep, and that as the gods were supposed to be liable to sleep, they must have been considered liable to grow old, if that was the effect of sleep. He suggests, therefore, that we have in this word an old error of the copyist, whose eye lighted on $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\dot{\eta}\rho\omega s$, written as a various reading by the side of $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\dot{\eta}\rho\psi$, and that Sophoeles probably wrote $\pi a \nu \tau \sigma \delta \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \rho$, as in Homer 11. XXIV. 5. Od. IX.

373, we have the phrase $\tilde{\nu}\pi\nu\sigma$ $\tilde{\eta}\rho\epsilon\iota$ $\pi a\nu\delta a\mu \acute{a}\tau\omega\rho$. I understand that Bamberger (in Schneidewin's *Philologus* I. 4, p. 604), proposes $\pi a\nu\tau\dot{\sigma}\theta\eta\rho\sigma$ or $\pi a\nu\tau\sigma\theta\dot{\eta}\rho\omega$ s. It appears to me, that the true reading is $\pi a\gamma\kappa\rho a\tau\dot{\eta}s$, which occurs as an epithet of $\tilde{\nu}\pi\nu\sigma$ in the Ajax 660, and which appears as an epithet of $\chi\rho\dot{\sigma}\nu\sigma$ in a passage in which Sophocles was obviously influenced by his recollections of what he had written in this chorus: Ed. Col. 607 sqq:

ῶ φίλτατ' Λίγέως παῖ, μόνοις οὐ γίγνεται θεοῖσι γῆρας, οὐδὲ κατθανεῖν ποτε, τὰ δ' ἄλλα συγχεῖ πάνθ' ὁ παγκρατής χρόνος.

Other commentators have sought to mend the metre by altering the following line. Hermann originally proposed ούτε θεών άκμητοι, which Emper adopts with the dialectical change ἄκματοι. Dindorf writes: ουτ' ἄκοποι θεών νιν. Böckh: ἀκάματοι θεῶν οὐ. It appears to me that the corruption lies in $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$. What are "the months of the Gods!" The $\Delta i \partial s$ $\mu e \gamma \dot{a} \lambda o \nu \dot{e} \nu i a \nu \tau o \dot{i}$, of Homer (Π . II. 134), are by no means a parallel. Although the word $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ does not occur elsewhere in Æschylus or Sophocles, there is no reason why he should not have used it, as I believe he did here, and in v. 1305 infra: and I have written with the greatest confidence ακάματοι θέοντες, which suits the metre, and perfectly coincides in construction with Electra 164: ôr έγωγ άκαμάτα (vulg. άκάματα) προσμένουσ ἄτεκνος. The use of this adjective, as a secondary predicate or adverb, has been mentioned by Suidas, s. v. ακάματα or ακαμάτα, αντί ακαμάτως, καὶ αδιαλείπτως η ου κεκμηκότως. For the months as a measure of time, we may compare Catullus XXXIV. 18: "Tu cursu, dea, menstruo, Metiens iter annuum," and for the rapidity of their course ($\theta'_{eov\tau\epsilon_s}$), cf. Hor. IV, Carm. VI. 39: "celeremque pronos volvere menses." Id. IV. Carm. VII. 13: "damna tamen celeres reparant coelestia lunas."

604-607. $\tau \acute{o}$ $\tau \acute{e}\pi \epsilon \iota \tau a - \check{a}\tau a$.] Of the various methods which have been proposed for correcting this manifestly corrupt passage, the only one which I can accept as par-

tially true, is that which regards the terminations of vv. 606 and 607, as wanting—the words οὐδὲν ἔρπει and ἔκτος ἄτας having been transferred from vv. 611 and 617. then that we have a lacuna, amounting in each case to a trochaic dipodia, at the end of each line, the question ishow can we, without any aid from the MSS., restore the missing words? With regard to v. 606, I think it may be safely concluded: (1) that we have here lost some word governed by επαρκέσει; for although the absolute use of this verb is not unprecedented, as we shall presently see, yet it seems absolutely necessary to connect the law, here mentioned, with the destiny of man, otherwise the immunity of Zeus from mortal transgression will be without its proper antithesis: (2) that the lost words must have borne some palæographical resemblance to what precedes or follows. otherwise their absorption would be hardly explicable. With regard to v. 607, the meaning obviously intended comes so close to that of the intrusive words, that I think we may safely regard them as a marginal illustration of something which stood in the text. To begin then with this second line: I consider the words οὐδεν ερπει as the remains of a gloss on the dative βιότω, which was placed on the lefthand margin of this line. The Scholiast wished to illustrate the use of a verb of motion with the dative, and therefore quoted the phrase [είδότι δ'] οὐδὲν ἔρπει from v. 611. And I regard the words extos at as the remains of a gloss upon the whole line, which having been originally [ovdeis έν πάσαις ταις πόλεσιν πράσσει τὸν βίον ἄπαντα], έκτὸς atas, where the illustration was partly borrowed from the phraseology of vv. 616, 617, has ultimately coalesced with the gloss on $\beta_{i\dot{\phi}\tau\psi}$, so that there remained in the margin only the words οίδεν έρπει έκτος άτας, which have been equally divided between the two lines in the text. Now the evidence in a case like this is of cumulative probability; and before we can restore v. 607, we must return to the former The poet says, that although Zeus is free from sin, as he is a sleepless and everlasting potentate, yet that for the present, the future, and the past, (cf. Eurip. Iph. T. 1263), the law, which he is about to mention, will sufficiently

describe $(\epsilon \pi a \rho \kappa \epsilon \sigma \epsilon)$ —what?—of course, the destiny of man. The common use of the verb $\epsilon \pi a \rho \kappa \epsilon \omega$ is well known. It signifies "to ward off"—hence, "to help or aid"—hence, "to supply or furnish." In the first sense it governs the dative of the person and the accusative of the thing—in the second, the dative or accusative of the person—in the third, the genitive of the person and the accusative of the thing, or the dative of the thing only. But besides this common use, there are passages in which $\epsilon \pi a \rho \kappa \epsilon \omega$ seems to approximate in meaning to $a \pi a \rho \kappa \epsilon \omega$ "to be sufficient," (see $Ed.\ Col.\ 1766$: $\tau a \tilde{\nu} \tau$ $a \pi a \rho \kappa \tilde{\nu} \omega$. Thus Solon writes $(Fr.\ 14,\ Bach.\ 4,\ Bergk)$:

δήμω μεν γάρ εδωκα τόσον κράτος όσσον επαρκεί, τιμης ουτ άφελων ουτ έπορεξάμενος,

which shows that the same verb is intended in Æsch. Agam. 370: έστω δ' απήμαντον ώστε καπαρκείν εὖ πραπίδων $\lambda a \gamma o \nu \tau a$, for this seems to be an imitation of the former passage. It is true that Coraës would read ἀπαρκεί in the fragment of Solon, and that some understand the same verb in the Agamemnon. But as Blomfield justly remarks: " ἀπαρκείν de rebus dicitur quarum satis est, ἐπαρκείν potius de personis"—meaning, I presume, that απαρκέω is used only intransitively, but that $\epsilon \pi a \rho \kappa \epsilon \omega$ always implies an active satisfying of some want, law, or condition: which is the case. Now, I believe that, in this sense, ἐπαρκέω would properly govern the accusative of the person or thing, whose requirements were adequately met and answered, just as έξίσταμαι, which, properly and according to the construction of its preposition, would govern the genitive, is used with the accusative when it denotes avoidance from fear, as in the phrase ἐκστῆναι κίνδυνον (see Lobeck, ad Ajacem, v. 82). It is easy to see the origin of these changes of construction. If e'Eiotaman means, "I get out of the way" of a thing, it might first be used absolutely, to signify "I fear," and then if the object of alarm were expressed, this would naturally be expressed in the accusative. Similarly, if ἐπαρκέω, which signifies to lend our aid in warding off danger, got the accessary meaning of being a sufficient aid or help-mate. and from that passed on to the signification, to be adequate

to all the requirements of an object, it might be used absolutely, as in the passage from Solon,—where, however, $\tau \delta \nu \delta \eta \mu \sigma \nu$ is immediately supplied by the thoughts of the readers,—or if the object were necessarily expressed, it would stand in the accusative, as in the passage from the Agamemnon. Now, as I have already said, the expression of the object is necessary here, and the metre and sense suggest the words $\dot{\alpha}\nu \delta \rho \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \sigma a \nu$ as the necessary supplement; see Pind. P. III. 59, 60:

χρη τὰ ἐοικότα πὰρ δαιμόνων μαστευέμεν θυαταῖς φρασίν, γνόντα τὰ πὰρ ποδὸς, οΐας εἰμὲν αἴσας.

Let us now see if this meets the palæographical test which has been suggested - that is, whether these words are sufficiently like what followed to make their absorption pro-We come then to the other lacuna. If the meaning of v. 607 was given in the gloss which we have assumed, —and enough is left of the line to make this nearly certain—the remaining words must have been ara and a verb of motion. Whether we agree or not with Hermann (Opuscul. II. 326), that $\epsilon l \mu l$ may be used as a present tense, I think no one will doubt that it might with propriety be employed here in a general apophthegmatic sentence, dependent on the future verb ἐπαρκέσει: cf. Soph. Fr. Incert. 813, Dindorf: τίσις δ' ἄνωθεν είσιν αίματοροόφος. Æsch. Sept. c. Theb. 682: μελαναιγίς δ' ούκ είσι δόμον Έρινυς, ουτ' αν έκ γερών θεοί θυσίαν δέγωνται. Suppl. 158, 172: χαλεποῦ γάρ ἐκ πνεύματος εἶσι χειμών. If then elow ata were the original reading here, we see how the resemblances between the terminations of the five successive lines produced the absorption or loss in two of the intermediate verses. For if the endings were,

> έπαρκ---έσει άνδρός---αίσαν είσιν άτα [ἰκτὸς] [ἰρποι] αγ-κτος έλπὶς ὄν---ασις άνδρῶν,

we may perfectly well understand how a blundering copyist,

assisted in his error by confused marginal glosses¹, may have made the omissions, which I have thus endeavoured to supply. I may add, that, as the epithet $\pi \dot{\alpha} \mu \pi o \lambda \iota s$, like $\ddot{\alpha} \pi o \lambda \iota s$, $\dot{\nu} \psi \dot{\iota} \pi o \lambda \iota s$, $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \dot{\sigma} \pi o \lambda \iota s$, &c. implies a person or personification, this is an additional reason for concluding that $\ddot{\alpha} \tau \eta$ was here mentioned in the nominative case.

- 608—612. $\dot{a} \gamma \dot{a} \rho \delta \dot{\eta} \pi \rho \sigma a \dot{\sigma} \sigma \eta$.] It will be remarked that ovagus and anata are both predicates. ἀπάτη ἐρώτων, he means the frustration of a man's longings: so infra 623: ἀπάτη λεγέων "the disappointment of his expectations in regard to marriage." Alciphron (III. 5) speaks of $\epsilon \lambda \pi i \delta \epsilon_s$ $\alpha \pi \alpha \tau \eta \lambda \alpha i$. The nominative to $\epsilon_\rho \pi \epsilon_i$ is not οὐδεν, which is the accusative after είδότι, but, as Wunder has remarked, η έλπὶς ἀπάτη γενομένη. On the form προσαύση, it may be sufficient to quote Lobeck, ad Ajacem, p. 358: "Ex quo colligi licet, avew illud, quo de agimus, idem valere quod aipeiv, verumque esse quod in Soph. Antig. 615, plerique libri exhibent, πρίν πυρί θερμώ πόδα τις προσαύση, id est, προσάρη, ut in glossa exponitur, sive προσαρμόση." Id. Ρηματικόν, p. 12 note: "cum Sophoclis illo $\pi\rho i\nu - \pi\rho\sigma\alpha\nu\sigma\eta$, si quis contulerit Apollinar. Ps. XC. 24: μήποτε σον πόδα λᾶι καθάψης, non dubium habebit hujusmodi locis grammaticos inductos esse, ut aυσαι et άψασθαι synonyma dicerent." For the general meaning, the reader will find an exact parallel to this passage in Pindar, O. XII. 5-9. Cf. also Proverbs XIII. 12.
- 612, 613. σοφία—πέφανται.] The parallel passages for this adage are fully given by Ruhnken on Velleius Paterculus II. 57 (265, 266), and by Wyttenbach on Plutarch, de audiendis poetis, p. 17, B (pp. 190, 191). The Latin adage, which is still in colloquial use, quem vult deus perire, dementat prius, is probably an abridged translation of ὅταν δ΄ ὁ δαίμων ἀνδρὶ πορσύνη κακά, τὸν νοῦν ἔβλαψε πρῶτον ῷ βουλεύεται.
- 1 By a singular coincidence, (which shows the probability of such corruptions,) in the first proof of page 60, the words κατ' αὖ νιν, which I had written in the margin after Οἰδίπου δόμοις, were inserted between οὐδ' ἔχει and λύσιν in v. 592.

- 617. πράσσει—ἄλγους.] I have here written ἄλγους instead of ἄτας, because I think it scarcely possible that Sophocles should have repeated this word without any emphasis, and because the parallelism of the actual ἄλγος and the tendency to ἄτη seems to me to be required here no less than in v. 4 supra. I think the corruption arose from a former Scholiast having written in the margin of v. 607 supra, ουδείς ἐν πάσαις τ. π. πράσσει ἐκτὸς ἄτας, as an explanation to the πάμπολις εἶσιν ἄτα which he found there. The proper explanation of ολιγοστὸν χρόνον here may be derived from the converse πολλοστῷ χρόνον Aristoph. Pax, 559: on which see New Cratylus, p. 206. Πράσσει is used with ἐκτὸς ἄλγους, as it is with the adverbs πῶς, εὖ, κακῶς.
- 620. τάλιδος.] I agree with Dindorf, that the words της μελλογάμου νύμφης, which appear in the MSS., are a marginal gloss on τάλιδος, and ought to be expunged. The resemblance between τᾶλις and the ταλιθά (ΚΤ) φ) of Mark V. 41, is merely accidental. The latter is simply a Syriac derivative from τηφ "a young lamb," or "a newborn gazelle."
- 627, 628. καὶ σύ μοι—ἐφέψομαι.] Hæmon promises only a conditional obedience. "If you have for me γνώμας χρηστάς—and not otherwise—you are my ruler and guide." I consider ἀπορθόω, as nearly as possible, a synonym of ἀπευθύνω, cf. ad 666: cf. Plato, Legg. VI. 757, ε: ἀπορθοῦν τὸν κλῆρον πρὸς τὸ δικαιότατον, with id. ibid. p. 757, ε: κλήρω ἀπευθύνων εἰς τὰς διανομὰς αὐτήν. Consequently, the words to be supplied here are με γνώμαις, cf. Plato, Legg. XII. 946, p: κατὰ τὴν τῶν εὐθύνων γνώμην: and for the use of ἀπευθύνω in Sophocles, see Œd. Τ. 104, Ajax 72, and cf. supra 178. The same conditional obedience is promised in the σοῦ καλῶς ἡγουμένου, which follows.
- 637. $\pi \epsilon \delta a_{S}$.] This reading is introduced by Wunder on the authority of the Scholiast.

- 639. $\pi\rho\dot{o}_{S}$ $\dot{\eta}\delta\sigma\nu\dot{\eta}_{S}$.] The common reading γ' $\dot{\nu}\dot{\phi}'$ $\dot{\eta}\delta\sigma\nu\dot{\eta}_{S}$ is not sanctioned by the best MSS., and the γ_{S} is quite out of place. I have therefore adopted the reading proposed by Hermann (see above on v. 24, and for the construction, cf. v. 51).
- 654—658. ὅστις δ΄ ὑπερβὰς—τἀναντία.] With Böckh and Dindorf, I have adopted Hermann's original suggestion respecting the transposition of these lines. They were formerly placed after line 662.
- 655. κρατύνουσιν νοεί.] Dindorf has extracted this correction from the best MS.
- 660. εν δ αν αρχεσθαι θέλειν.] This second αν is, like the former, to be referred to θαρσοίην, and θέλειν governs αρχειν as well as αρχεσθαι. This is another of those instances, in which it has not been generally observed, that θέλειν is used to signify habitual conduct. Compare Pindar, O. XIII. 9: ἐθέλοντι δ αλέξειν Ύβριν. Æschyl. Persæ, 176: ων αν δύναμις ἡγεῖσθαι θέλη.
- 662. παραστάτην.] See note on v. 140 supra, and cf. Aristot. Pol. III. 4. $\oint 6$: ὤσπερ οὐδὲ τῶν χορευτῶν κορυφαίου καὶ παραστάτου.
- 666. ὀρθουμένων.] Although ὀρθὸς properly signifies "vertical," and εὐθὺς, "horizontal," they are both used to denote a straight unbroken line, whether horizontal or vertical. Thus, we have seen ἀπορθόω employed as a synonym for ἀπευθύνω (supra v. 627, 628); and we have ὀρθοῦν πόλιν, v. 167, as well as εὐθύνειν πόλιν, v. 178. Here ὀρθούμενοι does not mean qui erecti stant, as Wunder takes it, nor qui se regi patiuntur, as Emper translates it, but qui rectam aciem servant.
- 667. $\sigma\omega'(\epsilon\iota)$ Hom. II. V. 531: αἰδομένων δ' ἀνδρῶν πλέονες σόοι ἡὲ πέφανται, and the other passages quoted in the New Cratylus, p. 406.

668. τοῖς κοσμουμένοις.] Wunder and Emper rightly understand this participle as neuter. For the use of κόσμος, as implying government and military discipline, see *Theatre* of the Greeks, Ed. 4. p. 8.

678, 679. γένοιτο—προσκοπείν.] I cannot see the necessity for any alteration here. The sense is made clear by the particles which the poet has used: "although I could not, and do not wish, to arraign the justice of your sentiments, nevertheless (μέντοι) it might come to pass, that this censure would proceed with propriety from another," (i.e. γένοιτο καλώς—έγον καὶ ἐτέρφ λέγειν ὅπως σὺ κ.τ.λ. where kal performs that office of emphasis, which is best expressed in English by a stress on the auxiliary). "At all events (οὖν), whether such censure were right or wrong, it is my natural office as your son $(\pi \epsilon \phi \nu \kappa a)$, to keep an eye on your behalf," (προ-σκοπείν, cf. infra 732: σοῦ γὰρ οῦν $\pi \rho o - \kappa \eta \delta o \mu \alpha i$), "to all words, thoughts, and censures, which have reference to your conduct." I think, therefore, that Wunder's correction yevorto is quite unnecessary, and that Hermann's readings χατέρως and συ δ' ου πέφυκας are detrimental to the sense.

687—689. ήτις—τινος. There is some little difficulty in this passage from the use of un where we should have expected ov. Wunder takes this negative with the infinitives, and explains the use of the prohibitive by referring to the fact-" impedimento fuisse Antigonam, ne insepultus jaceret Polynices, quum sepulturæ honore ipsa cum ornaret." Emper "finds the justification of the $\mu\eta$ in the transition from a particular to a general reference: $\eta \tau_{iS}$ refers indeed to Antigone, but by means of the second apodosis (for we have here the figure protasis inter duplicem apodosin), the thought receives a general application, ουχ ήδε, &c." This is the more correct view of the case. I consider that the special reference to Antigone terminates at $\phi\theta$ ives, and that the words which follow contain a general sentiment in explanation of the epithet εὐκλεεστάτων—"her deeds were most glorious: for, if a woman, when her brother lies unburied,

braves every danger to guard his corpse from insult, is she not worthy of the highest glory?" This appears from the use of $\eta \tau_{is}$ instead of η . Sophocles must have been particularly anxious to show that his reference here was general, for the verb $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{a}\omega$ would have justified the use of $o\dot{v}$, even in a conditional clause: see Ajax 1131: $\epsilon i \tau o\dot{v}s$ $\theta av\acute{o}v\tau as$ $o\dot{v}\kappa$ $\dot{\epsilon}\hat{a}s$ $\theta \dot{a}\pi\tau\epsilon iv$ $\pi \dot{a}\rho\omega v$.

709. ἀλλ' εἶκε—δίδου.] I prefer the old reading θυμοῦ to the dative, which has been substituted by many of the Editors. The word θυμοῦ, on which the rhetorical accent falls, is so placed as to qualify the whole sentence: "with regard to your θυμός, εἶκε καὶ μετάστασιν δίδου scil. μετάστασιν αὐτοῦ." That εἶκε θυμοῦ in itself would be good Greek, is clear from Hom. II. IV. 509: ὅρνυσθ' ἰππόδαμοι Τρῶες, μηδ' εἰκετε χάρμης ᾿Αργείοις. It would be impossible to understand εἶκε θυμῷ otherwise than as equivalent to the phrase διδόναι τόπον τῆ ὁργῆ. Plutarch, De cohibendâ irâ, p. 4623. Rom. XII. 19. Casaubon ad Athen. XIV. p. 652.

711—714. $\phi \dot{\eta} \mu' \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \omega \gamma \dot{\epsilon} - \mu \alpha \nu \theta \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$. For the sentiment see Hesiod. Op. et dies 291, sqq., and cf. Aristot. Eth. Nic. I. 4, § 5-7. According to the ancients, true σοφία was έμφυτόν τι,—hence the φῦναι τὸν ἀνδρα ἐπιστήμης πλέων, or κεί τις ή σοφός, above 701; and thus Pindar teaches, O. IX. 28: αγαθοί δὲ καὶ σοφοί κατὰ δαίμον ανδρες. It is worthy of remark,—indeed, the proper understanding of an important epoch in Athenian history depends upon it—that although the nobles were by birth αγαθοί καί σοφοί, and though καλοκάγαθὸς expressed a mixture of good qualities and mental culture, which was generally found in the nobles (see the New Cratylus, p. 408), yet in the time of Pindar and Sophocles the καλοί, as a class, were beginning to separate themselves from the nobles or καλοκάγαθοί, and a middle class was springing up, especially at Athens, who called themselves of radoi, as distinct from the squar on the one hand, and from the aristocrats on the other. could say, as here, καλον το μανθάνειν, or το μανθάνειν πόλλ' αίσχρον οὐδέν (above v. 701), and the educated Athenians

thought with him, but Pindar delights in invectives directed against the $\kappa a \lambda o i$ and $\mu a \theta o \nu r e s$. And this reminds me that all the commentators on Pindar P. II. 72,—myself included—have missed the meaning of that passage. I can scarcely doubt, after all, that the true punctuation is:

γένοι οίος έσσί μαθών καλός τοι πίθων παρά παισίν, αίεὶ καλός—

It seems most probable that the sentence would be completed in the first three words, which contain an intelligible idea, and are in accordance with the Homeric phrase, and with the passage in Thucyd. III. 14: γίγνεσθε δὲ ἄνδρες οἴουσπερ ννῶς οἴ τε Ἑλληνες ἀξιοῦσι καὶ τὸ ἡμετέρον δέος βούλεται. With regard to the second clause, wherein the men of accomplishment (καλοί), who have acquired their learning (μαθόντες), are opposed to those whose abilities are the gift of heaven, it is sufficient to quote O. II. 86: σοφὸς ὁ πολλὰ εἰδως φυᾶ· μαθόντες δὲ λάβροι παγγλωσσία κόρακες ως, κ.τ.λ. Cf. Eurip. Hippol. 79.

- 719, 20. μηδέν—σκοπεῖν.] Scil. μηδέν διδάσκου ὁ μη δίκαιόν έστι. Wunder has rightly explained τἄργα—"opera sua quum spectanda dicit, significat id, quod faciendum suaserit oratione illa, qua patrem de sententia sua demovere studuerit. Non dissimiliter dictum Phil. 99: νῦν δ΄ εἰς ἕλεγχον ἐξιών ὁρῶ βροτοῖς τὴν γλῶσσαν, οὐχὶ τἄργα πάνθ΄ ἡγουμένην, ubi τὴν γλῶσσαν, οὐχὶ τἄργα nobis est, das Reden, nicht das Thun."
- 722. $o\dot{v}\dot{\delta}$ $\dot{a}\nu$ — $\kappa a\kappa o\dot{v}_s$.] The meaning of this line has been overlooked. The emphasis falls on the first syllable of $e\dot{v}\sigma e\beta e\hat{\iota}\nu$. Kreon asks, "Is it the result of your counsels that one should pay respect to—treat with consideration $(\sigma\dot{e}\beta e\dot{\iota}\nu)$ —those who oppose themselves to the laws?" The son answers: "I would not even bid you to pay religious reverence $(e\dot{v}\sigma e\beta e\hat{\iota}\nu)$, when the base were the objects of it." And then Kreon asks whether Antigone was not in this predicament—whether she had not, in her anxiety to perform the duties of $e\dot{\nu}\sigma e\beta ia$ (infra 899, 918), taken the enemies of the state as the objects of her undue reverence. There is

the same allusion to the two applications of $\sigma \epsilon \beta \omega$ in vv. 735, 736, where $o\dot{\nu} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \sigma \dot{\epsilon} \beta \epsilon is = \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon is \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$.

- 727. $\chi\rho\dot{\eta}$ ' $\pi\dot{\iota}$ $\tau\dot{\eta}\sigma\dot{\delta}$ ' $\check{a}\rho\chi\epsilon\iota\nu$ $\chi\theta\sigma\nu\dot{\epsilon}$ s.] Most scholars will agree with Wunder in rejecting the $\gamma\epsilon$ of the vulgate. It appears to me that Sophoeles must have written the line as I have given it: $\check{a}\rho\chi\epsilon\iota\nu$ is used absolutely, as it generally is, and the collocation $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\iota}$ $\dot{\tau}\dot{\eta}\sigma\dot{\delta}\epsilon$ $\chi\theta\sigma\nu\dot{\delta}$ is very common in Sophoeles (cf. Ed. Col. 569, 1258, 1705). The interchange of γ and π has been referred to above on v. 24. The corruption has crept in from the $\gamma\dot{\eta}s$ $\check{a}\rho\chi\sigma\dot{s}$ of v. 730. The use of the dative after $\chi\rho\dot{\eta}$ is referred to by Thom. M., and is justified by other examples.
- 729. οὐ τοῦ κρατοῦντος—νομίζεται;] Cf. Phil. 386, Œd. Col. 38, and see Arist. Eth. Nic. IX. 8, $\oint 6$: ὤσπερ καὶ πόλις τὸ κυριώτατον μάλιστ' εἶναι δοκεῖ καὶ πᾶν ἄλλο σύστημα.
- 747. γυναικός—μη κώτιλλέ με.] The verb κωτίλλω seems to be properly applied to the idle small-talk of women: cf. Hesiod. Op. et D. 371: μηδὲ γυνή σε νοόν πυγοστόλος έξαπατάτω αἰμύλα κωτίλλουσα. Theor. Id. XV. 87: παύσασθ, ὧ δύστανοι, ἀνήνυτα κωτίλλοισαι. The King here treats Hæmon as a παρθενοπίπης, who could not speak like a man, with reference to his saying οὐκ εὖ φρονεῖν, when he meant παραφρονεῖν.
- 750. χαίρων—δεννάσεις έμέ.] Böckh takes ἐπὶ ψόγοισι with χαίρων. Wunder would translate the words reprehendendo, accusando. Emper proposes to read ἔτι for ἐπί. I think that, as δεννάζω signifies to use hard words, threats, and the like, and as Hæmon begins with ψόγος (above 680), and is at last supposed by his father to threaten (above 743), the meaning must be, "you shall not, after all your censures, come to threats and abusive language with impunity." I cannot think, with Emper, that this meaning is here out of its place: it seems to me that after the bandying of words in vv. 745, sqq., it is eminently appropriate here.

765. $\pi \epsilon \tau \rho \omega \delta \epsilon \iota - \kappa \alpha \tau \omega \rho \nu \chi \iota$.] It is clear from the description here and elsewhere, that the place of Antigone's confinement was one of those partially-subterraneous $\theta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \mu o \iota$ or $o\dot{\nu} \delta o \dot{\iota}$, with dome-shaped top, which the Greeks used as secret chambers, treasure-houses, store-rooms, and prisons: see Müller's Ancient Art and its remains, § 48. pp. 22, 23, English Translation. Emper refers to a paper by Col. Mure in the Rhein. Mus. 1839, Heft. II. p. 265. See below on v. 1173.

772-783. Third Stasimon. The following is the scheme of the metres.

- 1. | • | | • | |
- 2. 0 | 4 0 | | 4 0 0 | 4 | 4 0 | 4 0 0 | 4 0 |
- 4. || \(\frac{1}{2} \cdot \cdot \) | \(\frac{1}{2} \cdot \cdot \) | \(\frac{1}{2}
- 5. - || '- - || '- || '- ||
- 6. || 1 0 0 || 1 0 | - || 1 0 0 || 1 0 5.

It is customary to scan this pair of strophes with iambic dipodiæ, Bacchei, and other irregularities, inimical to the rhythm, which is simply dactylico-trochaic. The second and third lines are, in effect, one, as appears not only from the metre, but still more so from the repeated $E\rho\omega$, which, according to the laws of good style, ought to stand in close rhetorical connexion with the two relatives which follow.

773. Έρως, δε ἐν κτήμασι πίπτεις.] Most of the commentators understand by κτήματα, "the wealthy and powerful," and Propertius is quoted in explanation; I. El. 14, 15:

Nam quis divitiis adverso gaudet amore? Nulla mihi tristi præmia sint Venere. Illa potest magnas heroum infringere vires: Illa etiam duris mentibus esse dolor.

Klotz thinks that by $\kappa \tau \eta \mu a \tau a$ we must understand "slaves." Emper regards the passage as corrupt. Now the use of

 $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\pi i\pi\tau\omega$, with the dative, to signify the access of an emotion or passion, is exceedingly common, and ξρως εμπίπτει τινί is a phrase of constant occurrence, e. g. Æschyl. Ag. 322: έρως δε μήτις πρότερον έμπίπτη στρατώ πορθείν α μή χρή κέρδεσιν νικωμένους. Plato, Resp. VI. p. 499, c: πρίν αν τοῖς Φιλοσόφοις τούτοις... έκ τινος θείας επιπνοίας άληθινης φιλοσοφίας άληθινὸς έρως έμπέση. Whether this phrase is borrowed from the language of the wrestling school or not (see note on Pindar, P. VIII. 81), it is sufficiently expressive and intelligible. What then is the meaning of $\epsilon\rho\omega_s$ $\epsilon\mu$ πίπτει κτήμασι? It does not appear to me to be explicable otherwise than by a reference to the dictum of Plato, that men are the κτήματα of the Gods; see Phædo, p. 62, B: οὐ μέντοι άλλα τόδε γέ μοι δοκεί, ω Κέβης, εῦ λέγεσθαι, τὸ θεούς είναι ήμων τούς επιμελομένους καὶ ήμας τούς άνθρώπους εν των κτημάτων τοις θεοις είναι. Ibid. p. 62, p, Legg. X. p. 902, B, 906, A: ξύμμαγοι δὲ ἡμῖν θεοί τε ἄμα καὶ δαίμονες. ημείς δ αὖ κτήματα θεῶν καὶ δαιμόνων. If the reader will compare these passages with that in the Critias, p. 109. B, he will see that the mind of man is regarded as influenced by the Deity, in the same way as the flock is guided by its shepherd: οίον νομής ποίμνια κτήματα καὶ θρέμματα έαυτων ήμας ετρεφον πλήν ου σώμασι σώματα βιαζόμενοι, καθάπερ ποιμένες κτήνη πληγη νέμοντες, άλλ' ή μάλιστα εύστροφον ζώον έκ πρύμνης απευθύνοντες οίον οΐακι πειθοί ψυχης έφαπτόμενοι κατά την αυτών διάνοιαν, ούτως άγοντες $\tau \partial \theta \nu \eta \tau \partial \nu \pi \hat{a} \nu \epsilon \kappa \nu \beta \epsilon \rho \nu \omega \nu$. That the poets were in the habit of speaking of the regulated functions of the mind, in phraseology borrowed from that which described the shepherd's office, is clear from the metaphors βουκολείν Φροντίσι τι (Æsch. Agam. 669), or βουκολείσθαί τι (Eumen. 78); and Φρενός οἰοβώτης (Soph. Ajax 607). I am convinced, therefore, that Sophocles here speaks of love as making men his κτήματα, by his triumphant victories over those whom he attacks; so that κτήματα is here used proleptically. And I think that this interpretation is supported by the context. First, the poet addresses Eros as invincible; then he states that he is not only victor when he combats, but that by attacking he at once enslaves—makes the objects of his attack his arnuara, the herd which he guides and governs. As the wrestler, who merely threw his adversary, might gain only an incomplete victory, while he who fell upon him would secure his triumph, so love not only conquers, but he falls with his victim undermost, who thenceforth becomes entirely He then expresses the throne of love's supremacy, and the universality of his influence. Of all the commentators on Sophocles, Reisig has, in my opinion, made by far the nearest approximation to the truth. He says (Enarrat. in Œd. Col. 315): "κτήματα sunt illi, qui amore sunt capti. Amor, qui in eos irruis quos habes, qui tibi sunt mancipati, κτήμασι σοις." Only, it will be observed, that he does not quite see the force of εμπίπτω, and takes κτήμασιν as a descriptive phrase, whereas it must be a proleptic word or secondary predicate, so that the phrase may be rendered: Amor, qui, incidendo jacentibus, debellatos tibi quasi jure mancipi vindicas.

- 777. ὁ δ ἔχων μέμηνεν.] It is in accordance with the idiom of the Greek language to say not only ἔρως ἔχει τινα, but also ἔχει τις ἔρωτα. Thus we have seen above, that the objects of Love's influence are his κτήματα. Pindar says (I. VII. 29), ἔρως γὰρ ἔχεν. Plato, on the contrary, as here, ἀνὴρ ἔχων ἔρωτα (Phædr. p. 239, Β), and, ὁ Ἔρως ἐν πάση ἀναρχία καὶ ἀνομία ζῶν, ἄτε αὐτὸς ῶν μόναρχος, τὸν ἔχοντα—αὐτὸν ὥσπερ πόλιν ἄξει ἐπὶ πᾶσαν τόλμαν (Resp. IX. p. 575, A). We have the same inversions in είς ἄτην ἄγειν and ἄτην ἄγειν (supra ad v. 4), κατέχειν ὑπερ-βασίαν and ὑπερβασία κατέχοι (supra ad 598, 9), &c.
- 778. ἀδίκους.] Schol.: σῦ καὶ δικαίους διαφθείρεις, ώστε τὰς φρένας αὐτῶν ἀδίκους γενέσθαι.
- 781. ἐναργὴς βλεφάρων ἵμερος.] For the idea, see New Cratylus, p. 583. I need hardly say that my version was suggested by Shakspere; Love's Labour's Lost, Act IV. Sc. 3:

"But love, first learned in a lady's eyes,
Lives not alone immured in the brain, &c."

782, 3. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma \hat{\alpha} \lambda \omega \nu - \theta \epsilon \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$.] Dindorf, who is fol-

lowed as usual by Wunder, alters the vulgate by inserting ούχι before πάρεδρος, and omitting the words έν άρχαις before $\theta \epsilon \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$. I agree with him so far as to think that the metre is faulty, and that ev apxais is a marginal gloss; but I think his insertion of ouxi utterly tasteless. The abnegation of a metaphor, which it was not necessary for the poet to use, seems to me at variance with all established rules of good style, and suitable only for the lowest comedy. dorf thinks that his view is confirmed by the words which follow: νῦν δ' ήδη 'γω καὐτὸς θεσμων έξω φέρομαι. appears to me that these words point to a very different remedy for the corruption of the text: they tell us that the preceding words must have spoken of the power of love as having equal power with the mighty laws of filial piety, in the case of a particular person; for this is the opposition implied in the νῦν ἥδη ἐγωὶ καὶ αὐτός. Now as they are speaking of the particular case of Kreon and Hæmon (τόδε νείκος άνδρῶν ξύναιμον), and as the victory gained by love referred only to Hæmon, I have not hesitated to insert $\pi \alpha i \delta i$ before I think that the resemblance of the first two syllables of the latter word has caused the confusion between them and the word which originally preceded. perhaps a play upon this last word in the ἐμπαί(ει which follows. For the application of this verb, cf. Aristoph. Thesm. 975: "Ηραν την τελείαν, η πασι τοις χοροισιν έμπαίζει τε καὶ κληδας γάμου φυλάσσει. Love and filial duty take their seats on the bench together, and the vote of love carries the day, because Aphrodite is irresistible in her sport. For the meaning of the μεγαλοί θεσμοί, see Pindar P. VI. 19—27. For $\nu\iota\kappa\hat{q}$, see above 274, and cf. Æschyl. Eumen. 915: νικα δ' αγαθών έρις ήμετέρα δια παντός: and for the phraseology of the version, see King Lear, Act III. Sc. 6:

789—857. First Kommos. The metres are as follows:—

[&]quot;Thou robed man of justice, take thy place;—
And thou, his yoke-fellow of equity,

Bench by his side:—You are of the commission,
Sit you too."

στροφή ά.

στροφή β'.

The chorus adds three iambic dimeters and a dimeter antispast.

επφδός.

792—797.] ἀλλά μ' ὁ παγκοίτας—νυμφεύσω.] See Shakspere, Romeo and Juliet, Act IV. Sc. 5:

- "O son, the night before thy wedding-day
 Hath death lain with thy wife:—There she lies,
 Flower as she was, deflowered by him.
 Death is my son-in-law, death is my heir;
 My daughter he hath wedded! I will die,
 And leave him all; life leaving, all is death's."
- 801. ἐπίχειρα.] See New Cratylus, p. 223.
- 805. $\xi \acute{e} \nu a \nu$.] The Theban Chorus is made to use this designation of Niobe, because she married Amphion, king of Thebes. On the epithet $\Phi \rho \nu \gamma \acute{e} a \nu$ applied to her, see Strabo XII. p. 571.
- 811.] $\tau \acute{e}\gamma \gamma \epsilon \imath \delta$.] I agree with Wunder and Emper in accepting Bothe's emendation of the vulgate $\tau \acute{e}\gamma \gamma \epsilon \iota \theta$, and I have also, in v. 808, adopted Musgrave's change of $\delta \mu \beta \rho \omega$ into $\delta \mu \beta \rho \omega$. As Emper justly remarks, there is a confusion here between the person and the thing in the metamorphosis— $\delta \phi \rho \dot{\nu}_s$ and $\delta \epsilon \iota \rho \dot{\alpha}_s$ being applicable to the rock as well as to Niobe.
- 815.] $\tau o i s$ $i \sigma o \theta e o i s$.] Emper has remarked with truth, that this refers to a nominative τa $i \sigma o \theta e a$, and not to a lower synonym of $\theta e o s$ and $\theta e o \gamma e \nu \nu \gamma s$, applied to Niobe.

- 818. οὐκ οὐλομέναν.] With Böckh, I have restored the Homeric form of the common reading ὁλομέναν. It seems to me inconceivable that Sophocles should make Antigone, on the road to the grave, speak of herself as οὐκ ὁλλυμέναν. The passages quoted by Erfurdt and Wunder, from Euripides, prove nothing.
- 823, 4. $\epsilon \mu \pi a s \epsilon \pi \iota \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \mu a \iota$.] Wunder would read $\epsilon \pi a \nu \delta \hat{\omega} \mu a \iota$, which is quite unnecessary. Emper properly remarks that $\epsilon \mu \pi a s$ explains $\epsilon \pi \iota \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \mu a \iota$: "you, at all events, even though I can obtain nothing else."
- 828. οὖτ'—τοῖσιν.] I have adopted Emper's emendation of this passage. The common reading—οὖτ' ἐν βροτοῖσιν οὖτ' ἐν νεκροῖσιν,—has obviously crept into the text from a marginal gloss.
- πατρφον—αθλον.] The common reading is εκτί-The best Laurentian MS. has extelvels, which, by the mere omission of a connecting line, becomes exteless. I think there can be little doubt that this is the true read-For although there is an apparent justification of the phrase, ἐκτίνεις πατρφον ἄθλον, in Æschyl. Agam. 1564: χερὸς πατριώας εκτίνοντα μηχανάς, it must be recollected that this is only apparent; Agamemnon might be said to atone to Ægistheus for the crime of Atreus, but this mode of speaking could not be applied to the case of Antigone. against whom no one entertained inherited animosity. the other hand, the phrase ἐκτελεῖν ἄθλον is established in common usage: see Hom. Od. XXII. 5: οὖτος μὲν δή ἄεθλος ἀάατος ἐκτετέλεσται, (cf. Theon apud Plutarch. p. 1087, A. Vol. V. Pars II. p. 440, Wyttenb.) Od. XXI. 135: εκτελέωμεν ἄεθλον. Soph. Trach. 1177: το λεκτον ἔργον ἐκτελῶν; and especially Hom. Od. XI. 279, 280: τῶ δ΄ ἄλγεα κάλλιπ΄ οπίσσω πολλά μάλ', ὅσσα τε μητρὸς Ἐρίνυες έκτελέουσιν, where the misfortunes of this very family are referred to. So above, v. 2, 3: ἀρ' οἶσθα ὅτι ὁποῖον ούχὶ τῶν ἀπ' Οἰδίπου κακῶν Ζεῦς τελεῖ; Pind. P. IV. 165: τοῦτον ἄεθλον εκών τέλεσον.

834-838. έψαυσας-Λαβδακίδαισιν.] If ψαύω, in its translated sense of touching upon in words, can be used with the accusative (and this is clear from v. 933: ψαύων τον θεόν; cf. supra 544, 5: μηδ α μη θιγες ποιού σεαυτής), there seems to be no reason for making a difficulty here. Mepiuvas will then be the accusative, and as a train of thoughts rather than a single recollection is awakened by the word πατρώον (cf. above, 582), the plural is almost With Dindorf, I have received Brunck's emendation of oîtov for oîktov. Böckh has justified the use of τριπόλιστον in the sense of τριπόλητον, cf. Pind. N. VII. fin. Soph. Phil. 1238. The construction ημετέρου Λαβδακίδαισιν πότμου is explained by Matthiä (G. Gr. § 589 g. 3). For the phraseology of the translation, the reader may compare Macbeth, Act IV. Sc. 1:

> "Whate'er thou art, for thy good caution thanks; Thou hast harp'd my fear aright."

The epithet "thrice-renowned" is also Shaksperian (Richard III. Act IV. Sc. 2. So thrice-faméd. Henry VI, Part II. Act III. Sc. 2.).

- 852. \dot{a} $\tau a \lambda a i \phi \rho \omega \nu$.] I have introduced \dot{a} from v. 842, on account of the cretic rhythm. In v. 854, I have written ίρον for ίερον, with Wunder and Dindorf.
- αδάκρυτον.] Triclinius: τὸ ἀδάκρυτον σαφηνισμός έστι τοῦ οὐδεὶς στενάζει το γάρ παρ οὐδενος στεναζόμενον αδάκρυτόν έστιν, i.e. αδάκρυτον is a secondary predicate, equivalent to ώστε οὐ δακρύουσιν αὐτόν. Cf. Œd. Col. 1602: των σων αδέρκτων ομμάτων τητώμενος.
- άφετε—είτε χρη--(ωσα τυμβεύειν στέγη.] These corrections, which are partly due to the MSS., and partly to Dindorf, have been most properly received by Wunder. The use of $\chi \rho \hat{\eta}$ for $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \iota$ or $\chi \rho \dot{\eta} \zeta \epsilon \iota$, is supported by Hesychius and Suidas, and by quotations from Euripides (apud Cic. ad Att. VIII. 1. et Suidam, s.v. παλαμάσθαι), and Cratinus (apud Suidam, s.v. $\chi \rho \dot{\eta}$).
 - 873. $\phi i \lambda \eta \pi \rho o \sigma \phi i \lambda \dot{\eta} s \delta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma o \dot{\epsilon}$ Cf. Eurip. Hecuba

982, 3: φίλη μεν εί σὺ, προσφιλες δε μοι τόδε στράτευμ Άχαιῶν.

- 884—887. πόσις μεν—βλάστοι ποτέ.] In the Transactions of the Philological Society, Vol. I. pp. 163, 164, I have stated my reasons for believing that Herodotus (III. 119) has imitated Sophocles in this passage. G. Wolff, who gives the priority to Herodotus, considers this passage as an interpolation by the frigid Iophon (Zeitschr. f. d. Alterthumsw. 1846, p. 629 sqq.).
- 899. την δυσσεβείαν.] So above, v. 185: την άτην: below, v. 918: την εὐσεβίαν. The article implies that which is, in the particular case, a mischief, an impiety, an act of religion. The Chorus says above, v. 847: σέβειν μεν εὐσέβειά τις, meaning that in the conflict between human and divine laws, that which is εὐσέβεια, considered under one aspect, may be regarded from another point of view as an act of δυσσέβεια; and thus the translation given by Dindorf and Wunder in this passage—impietatis orimen—truly expresses the force of the construction. It was a charge of impiety—it appeared an impiety to the accuser who judged from his own principles,—but it was not so in itself.
- 900—903.] ἀλλ' εἰ—ἐκδίκως ἐμέ.] If we read this passage under the influence of those habits of thought which we derive from Christianity, we may be disposed to understand it as spoken in a spirit of self-abasement and charity. But this is very far from the poet's meaning. Antigone says: "If I have done wrong, if the gods, in fact, approve of the conduct of Kreon, by suffering I shall become conscious of my error; the fact of my suffering will prove to me that the award of Heaven is against me: but if Kreon is wrong, I pray that he may not escape an equal amount of anguish." The first two lines have been properly explained by the Schol.: εἰ ταῦτα τοῖς θεοῖς ἀρέσκει, παθόντες τὴν τιμωρίαν, [συγ]γνοίημεν [ἀν] τὴν ἀμαρτίαν. Only we must be careful to remember, what Wex has pointed out, that συγγιγνώσκω here appears in its original sense, as a corre-

lative of σύνοιδα: cf. Herod. V. 91: συγγιγνώσκομεν αυ- $\tau \circ i \sigma i \eta u \hat{i} \nu \circ i \eta \circ i \eta \sigma \sigma \sigma i \dot{\sigma} \circ \theta \hat{\omega}_{s}$. The two latter lines are properly explained by Wex, in the Appendix to a translation of the Antigone, which I have not seen. His explanation is thus given by G. Wolff (Zeitschrift f. d. Alterthumsw. 1846, Wex supposes that the indicative εί μεν οὖν τάδ' $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\iota\nu$ $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ θ . κ . suggests a subtle irony: "if these things really are as they think:" referring to Plato, Apol. p. 37, c. p. 30, B. p. 25, B. Protag. 340, E. Thætet. 171, B; to which Wolff adds Ed. Tyr. 895. He thinks also that the rai in v. 903, indicates the wish on the part of Antigone that Kreon might meet with equal sufferings; and he compares, . for the negative periphrase which gives bitterness to this wish, Æsch. Prom. 104: αὐθαδία...αὐτην καθ' αὐτην οὐδενὸς μεῖζον φρονεί (Teuffel, Rhein. Mus. 1844, 621, quotes Dem. Ol. II. 6, p. 23: οὐδένων είσὶ βελτίονες, i.e. "as bad as any one"). Aristoph. Equites 1252: κλέπτης μέν οὐκ αν μαλλον, εύτυχης δ ίσως.

905. $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \delta \epsilon \gamma$.] "The $\gamma \dot{\epsilon}$ gives the following turn to the thought: 'she at least is still the same (though perhaps Kreon has altered his mind).' This view is nullified by Kreon's words, and then at length the Chorus gives up all hope." Emper.

915. $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \kappa \omega \rho \alpha \nu i \delta \hat{\omega} \nu$.] The reading in the text is due to Emper, who has seen that $\kappa \omega \rho \alpha \nu i \delta \alpha i$ could not apply to the Chorus, and that $\beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda i \delta \alpha$ must be a marginal gloss.

919—954. Fourth Stasimon. The following scheme will exhibit the very simple metres of these stanzas.

στρ. ά.

204 NOTES. [919—920.

The long syllables which occasionally interrupt the regular progress of the dactylico-trochaic verse in this, and other odes of the same kind (especially the *Dancing Song*, infra), are due to a peculiarity in the music, and indicate distinct successive bars in the accompaniment. In Pindar P. V., Hermann, whom I have followed, assigns to the seventh line of the strophes a single word of three long syllables, or a dissyllable followed by an enclitic, remarking (*Opuso*. VII. p. 152): "evanescit omnis difficultas, si incisionis constantia moniti illas tres syllabas credimus, similiter ut trochæum semantum, multo tardiore ductu cantatas singularem vocein fecisse."

920. ἐν χαλκοδέτοις αὐλαῖς.] i.e. in a chamber lined with plates of bronze fixed to the walls by nails of the same metal: see above on v. 354, and cf. Pausan. II. 23. § 7, with

Leake Morea II. p. 382, and Dodwell's Cyclopean Remains, pl. 10.

- 922. καί τοι καί.] I have adopted Hermann's insertion of the καί, for the article cannot be omitted in the corresponding verse of the antistrophe.
- 925. ὅλβος.] This is Erfurdt's undoubtedly true emendation: cf. Bacchylides Fr. 34 Bergk: θνατοῖσι δ΄ οὐκ αὐθαίρετοι οὕτ' ὅλβος οὕτ' ἄγναμπτος Ἄρης. The vulg. ὅμβρος is quite unintelligible in this collocation.
- 928. ὀξύχολος.] The MSS. have ὀξυχόλως. I have adopted Scaliger's conjecture: the adjective is here a secondary predicate, like πυρφόρος above v. 135, and δύστηνος in Trach. 936: κάνταῦθ' ὁ παῖς δύστηνος οὕτ' ὀδυρμάτων ἐλείπετ' οὐδέν: "the boy, like a miserable creature as he was:" (Construct. Gr. Præcepta, 51, a).
- ούτω—γλώσσαις.] The article τας before 931—933. uavias is to be explained as in the passages cited above on v. 899. And for this reason, among others, I agree with Emper, that the sentence ends after, not before, κεινός; which is emphatically placed last, to mark the parallel between this case and that of Antigone. She too had exhibited her madness in violent words: above v. 597: λόγου τ' άνοια καὶ Φρενών Ερινύς; and when the parallel comes, the emphasis naturally falls on keivos. I also agree with Emper that the repetition of uaviais is intolerable, and I would gladly adopt his emendation επέγνω δ' ανίαις, if I could believe that Sophocles would make an anapæst of the last word. It appears to me that the proper word for the context is δύαις, and that επέγνω δε δύαις was first corrupted by the omission of δε before $\delta \dot{\nu}$, and afterwards by the insertion into the text of the marginal gloss aviais, which was corrupted into maviais, in consequence of the copyist's eye having rested on the word uavias in the previous line (see above on v. 606). That δύαις is the word, which Sophocles would have used here with the strictest propriety, is easily shown. $\Delta \dot{\nu}_n$ means

the pain or suffering which results from constraint, and is. therefore, a word of cognate signification with ανάγκη, δύσστηνος (στενός, στεινός), necessitas, &c. The Etym. M. derives it from $\partial \epsilon \omega$, "to bind;" and though Blomfield (Gl. Prom. 186) says "prave," I have no doubt that the Grammarian is right: (compare the analogies of δύω, &c., New Cratylus, p. 188). Now Æschylus employs the word in a sense and application very similar to that before us. 179: πίκραις δύαισιν οὐδεν ἐπιχαλᾶς, where the Chorus is addressing the fettered Titan. Again, Prometheus says of himself, (ibid. 511): $\mu\nu\rho$ iais δὲ $\pi\eta\mu$ οναῖς δύαις τε καμφθείς ώδε δέσμα φυγγάνω τέχνη δ ανάγκης ασθενεστέρα μακρφ. And again (ibid. 523): τόνδε γάρ σώζων έγω δεσμούς αεικείς και δύας εκφυγγάνω. As Lycurgus $(\epsilon \dot{\nu} \chi \theta \eta \ \dot{\epsilon} \nu \ \delta \epsilon \sigma \mu \hat{\varphi}, \text{ what would be more in accordance with}$ this phraseology than the mention of the Súas, which taught him his error? And if, as I believe (see the note on this passage in the Introduction), the Chorus is here referring to Kreon's impiety, he is afterwards made to confess δειλαία συγκέκραμαι δύα (v. 1276). It is probable that $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \gamma \nu \omega$, as well as ψαύων, should be considered as governing the accusative $\tau \dot{\partial} \nu \theta \epsilon \dot{\partial} \nu$. He recognized the God, and at the same time discovered his error in meddling with him. The Emperor Julian probably had this passage in his mind when he wrote (Anthol. Pal. IX. 368):

Τίς; πόθεν είς Διόνυσε; μὰ γὰρ τὸν ἀληθέα Βάκχον, οῦ σ' ἐπιγιγνώσκω' τὸν Διὸς οίδα μόνον.

That $\psi \alpha \dot{\nu} \omega \nu$, at any rate, is placed in close connexion with its verb, is clear from the very similar passage in Pind. P. VIII. 12: $\tau \dot{\alpha} \nu$ (sc. $\dot{A} \sigma \nu \chi \dot{\alpha} \nu$) $o \dot{\nu} \dot{\partial} \dot{e}$ $\Pi o \rho \phi \nu \rho \dot{\nu} \omega \nu$ $\mu \dot{\alpha} \dot{\theta} e \nu$ $\pi a \rho$ $a \dot{l} \sigma a \nu$ $\dot{e} \dot{\xi} e \rho e \theta \dot{l} \zeta \omega \nu$, which may have been in the recollection of Sophocles, when he wrote this strophe: the construction here, and the use of $\dot{\eta} \rho \dot{e} \theta \dot{\iota} \zeta e$ immediately after, seem to point to this.

935, 6. φιλαύλους—τ' ήρέθιζε Μούσας.] Cf. Arist. Nubes 311:

> εὐκελάδων τε χυρών έρεθίσματα καὶ Μοῦσα βαρύβρομος αὐλών.

 $\pi a \rho a \delta \hat{\epsilon} - \pi \epsilon \lambda a \gamma \hat{\epsilon} \omega \nu$.] Although $\pi a \rho a$, with the genitive, undoubtedly means "from the side of a thing," and not "by its side," it is clear that the meaning here is juxtaposition, and not removal. So also infra v. 1091. reason for the irregularity appears to be this. aspect or direction is considered rather than mere proximity, although the idea of the one nearly anticipates what is presumed in the other, it is allowable to use $\pi a \rho a'$, with the case denoting removal, instead of the same preposition or ev. with the case of close or immediate position. Thus $\tau \dot{o} \pi a \rho \dot{a}$ ποδός (vide Pind. P. III. 60: γνόντα τὸ πὰρ ποδός. P. X. 62: Φροντίδα ταν παρ ποδός) may be equivalent to το έν ποσί (vide Pind. P. VIII. 32: τὸ ἐν ποσί μοι τράγον ἴτω), οτ το παρά ποδί (cf. O. I. 74), οτ το προ ποδός (I. VII. In Homer Il. IV. 468: παρ' ἀσπίδος clearly implies that Elephenor was wounded in the left side, which he exposed as he leant forward to drag away the corpse of Echepolus, i. e. παρ' ἀσπίδος, "where the shield had been, but was no longer." The mixture of aspect and position is best seen in the following passage, where the four points of the compass are described (Œd. Col. 1245): ἄται—αὶ μὲν ἀπ' ἀελίου δυσμών (the west), ai δ' ανατέλλοντος (the east), ai δ' ανα μέσσαν ἀκτίνα (the south), αὶ δὲ νυχιᾶν ἀπὸ ρι π ᾶν (the north), where in three instances the place from which the mischiefs proceed is defined; in the other—ava μέσσαν ακ- $\tau \hat{i} \nu a$ —their locality is intimated.

- 938. $i\delta$ — $\tilde{a}\xi\epsilon\nu\sigma$.] The first word is due to a Dresden MS. Böckh has suggested the necessary supplement $\tilde{a}\xi\epsilon\nu\sigma$ s.
- 939. ἀγχιστος.] The metre points to some defect in the word ἀγχίπολις, which I consider to have been a marginal synonym (derived perhaps from Æsch. Sept. c. Theb. 503) for ἄγχιστος, a word used by Sophocles (Œd. T. 929) and Pindar (P. IX. 64), with the same application to a deity. Vide supra on v. 174. Dindorf suggests ἄγχουρος, but would prefer to alter the antistrophe. Some read ἀγχίπτολις, but if the word is to be changed, why not adopt an emendation which will square with the antistrophic metre?

- 942. $\tau\nu\phi\lambda\omega\theta\dot{\epsilon}\nu$.] See the passages compared with this, by Matthiä Gr. Gr. 409. 5, obs. 1.
- 943. ἀλαστόροισιν.] Welcker properly explains this as referring to the spirits of vengeance, which cried aloud in the sightless eyeballs of the Phineidæ.
- 943, 944. αραγθέν, έγχέων ἄτερθε At one time I was disposed to agree with Böckh and Dindorf in preferring Lachmann's ἀραχθέντων to Hermann's well-known emendation, ἀτερθ' εγγέων. On further consideration, I am convinced that the true reading is what I have given,—namely, έγχέων ἄτερθε—which comes to the same thing in meaning with Hermann's $\tilde{a}\tau\epsilon\rho\theta'$ $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\chi\dot{\epsilon}\omega\nu$, and is equally derivable from the Scholiast; but which I do not substitute for apay θέν, a word which appears to me peculiarly in its place—but for υφ' αίματηραίς, which I consider to be interpolated. that my emendation becomes a new correction, by virtue of the new grounds on which it rests, and the different change which it introduces into the text. My reasons are as follows: I feel convinced that in the strophe, as in the antistrophe, there must be a pause between the Ithyphallicus which follows the two trochaic dipodiæ in v. 943, and that which stands by itself in v. 944, before the anacrusis and cretic which preface the final Ithyphallicus of the stanza. The incision, therefore, in $\dot{a}\rho\alpha\chi\theta\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ — $\tau\omega\nu$, would be very objectionable. Moreover, I think that the γιασμός in τυφ- $\lambda\omega\theta\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ — $\delta\dot{\alpha}\mu\alpha\rho\tau$ os, $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\alpha\dot{\delta}\nu$ — $\dot{\alpha}\rho\alpha\chi\theta\dot{\epsilon}\nu$, assisted as it is by the pauses of the rhythm, must have proceeded from Sophocles. So far too we have the MSS. with us, and they also give us the word εγχέων which follows, and which is recognized by the Scholiast. After this word, the metre found in the antistrophe (and it is the metre which we should infer here) is deformed by a redundancy of syllables. This must have been borrowed from some marginal Scholium on the text. The Scholia Laurentiana are as follows: ἀραγθέν ἀντί τοῦ τυφλωθέν. Again: αραχθεν εγχέων αραχθεν αίματηραίς χείρεσσιν υπ' έγχέων και κερκίδων άκμαις, τούτεστι γυναικείαις. It is obvious that these words are griev-

ously corrupt, and Hermann has attempted the following correction of the whole Scholium; τυφλωθέν αντί τοῦ άραχθέν, αίματηραίς χείρεσσι, καὶ οὐχ ὑπ' έγχέων, καὶ κερκίδων άκμαισι τούτεστι γυναικείοις όργάνοις. view of the remedy is very different. With regard to the former gloss, I think the true reading is $a\rho a\gamma \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \cdot \dot{a}\nu \tau \dot{\epsilon}$ τοῦ τυφθέν. Triclinius paraphrases it άραχθέν καὶ πληγέν, and έλκος τυφθέν would readily occur to the Scholiast, if he were acquainted with Homer, as he most probably was: cf. Il. XXIV. 421: σύν δ' έλκεα πάντα μέμυκεν, δοσ' $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \dot{\nu} \pi \eta$. The second gloss should, I think, be corrected thus: ἀραχθὲν ἐγχέων [ἄτερθεν]· [ἀντὶ τοῦ] αἰματηρῶς [τυφθέν,] [ούχ] ὑπ΄ ἐγχέων [άλλά] χείρεσσι καὶ κερκίδων άκμαις, τούτεστι γυναικείαις [χερσί.] The adverb αίματηρώς, as applied to the explanation of ομμάτων κύκλοις $\dot{a}\rho a \chi \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu$, would be suggested by a comparison of v. 52 supra: όψεις ἀράξας αὐτὸς αὐτουργῷ χερί, with Œd. Col. 552: τας αίματηράς όμματων διαφθοράς. Indeed the epithet seems to have been applicable to minor affections of the eye: see Eurip. Iph. A. 370: τί δεινά φυσᾶς αίματηρον όμμ' έχων, where it refers merely to blood-shot eyes. It is, at any rate, a strange epithet for χείρεσσι in the text; as if the use of a less deadly weapon made the hands emphatically aiματηραί! The conclusion of the Scholium shows that the χείρεσσι καὶ κερκίδων άκμαῖσι of the text were cited together; for the feminine epithet yvvaikelais can only refer to the former word χείρεσσι, and the meaning of the Scholiast must be, that the poet, by adding κερκ. ἀκμ. to the word χείρεσσι, implied that the deed was done by a woman's hand, the shuttle being the woman's tool in those days. conclude, therefore, that the $\dot{\nu}\phi$ in the text has come from the ὑπ' ἐγγέων of the Scholiast, and the epithet αἰματηραῖς from the adverb $ai\mu\alpha\tau\eta\rho\hat{\omega}_{S}$ used by him. The secondary cause for the intrusion of the former may have been a familiarity with the phrases ὑπὸ χερσὶ δαμῆναι, ὑπὸ δουρὶ $\tau \nu \pi \hat{\eta} \nu a i$, and the like (which, however, would be no justification of a similar usage here, where the sense required is that which is expressed by the instrumental dative alone), and the secondary cause for the displacement of $\ddot{a}\tau\epsilon\rho\theta\epsilon$ by

aiμ-aτηραίς, may be sought in the resemblance between the adverb and the last three syllables of the adjective. The use of χείρεσσι, without an epithet, by the side of the words which signify the instrument employed, is justified by Trachin. 517: τότ' ην χερος, ην δε τόξων πάταγος. Sophocles employs this word to express nakedly feats of strength and violence; see e. g. El. 37: δόλοισι κλέψαι γειρός ενδίκους σφαγάς. Ajax, 27: κατηναρισμένας έκ χειρός. 115: χρω χειρί φείδου μηδεν ωνπερ έννοεις. As distinguished from the έγχος, the proper weapon of a man, even the bow appeared effeminate to the Greeks of the age of Sophocles: οὐ μεταμέλει μοι τούτου ὅ τι ἀποθανοῦμαι, says the Spartan, άλλ' ότι ὑπὸ γύνιδος τοξότου. Whence their contemptuous use of atractos, to signify an arrow. Thucvd. Most readers will recollect that the contempt of the Hoplite Goliath for David is grounded on his being In a precisely similar case of female vengeance, Euripides makes his Chorus address Polymestor thus: anoλέμω γειρί λείψεις βίον (Hec. 1034); which is an exact parallel to έγχέων ἄτερθε χείρεσσι, κ.τ.λ.

946, 7. μέλεοι μελέαν πάθαν κλαΐον ματρός,] restored the old punctuation. All the Editors since Erfurdt have placed the comma after κλαΐον, and have taken the words, ματρός έχοντες ανύμφευτον γονάν, together, as signifying ex infausto matris connubio nati. This, no doubt, is allowable, and would not be harsher than the Euraimor veikos $a\nu\delta\rho\hat{\omega}\nu$, above v. 780. But it seems to me, that unless there were some reference here to a similarity between the fate of the mother of the Phineidæ and that of Antigone, the whole passage, and especially the end of this antistrophe, would lose its chief point. The fact that the Phineidæ themselves were blinded by their step-mother, and that they bewailed their own wretched lot, in being sprung from a mother unhappy in her marriage, would not sufficiently connect their case with the catastrophe of this drama. The legend referred to is as follows: Phineus, King of Salmydesus in Thrace, had, by his wife Cleopatra, the daughter of Boreas and Oreithyia, who was the daughter of Erechtheus, two sons, called Plexippus and Pandion. Now Phineus having fallen madly in love with Idæa, a Scythian princess, not only incarcerated his divorced wife Cleopatra in a treasure-chamber or dungeon similar to that in which Antigone was confined, but was induced by the step-mother to put out the eyes of his two sons, who seem to have been described as attempting her rescue (see Diodorus Sic. IV. 43, 44). Consequently, the imprisonment of Cleopatra, rather than the blindness of his sons, was the point of the story as far as Sophocles was interested in it, and this reference, to the $\mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \alpha \pi \alpha' \theta \alpha \mu \alpha \tau \rho \delta s$, is the natural transition from the mention of the disaster which befel them, to the more direct allusion to a traditionary imprisonment, with which the Athenians were perfectly familiar, because it was connected with their own national mythology.

- 949. αὐδασ΄.] Although I have adopted Dindorf's correction of the inexplicable ἄντασε, I cannot agree with him in thinking that it is necessary to substitute ἀρχαιογόνοιο Ἑρεχθείδα for the plural genitives which appear in the text. With regard to the metre, the substitution of ἄγχιστος for ἀγχίπολις, in the strophe, will set that right; and as Cleopatra was the daughter of the daughter of Erechtheus, I do not see how the poet could speak of her as claiming the seed of an Erectheides. On the contrary, I think the plural both more accurate and more poetical. The verb αὐδάω with this reference is more frequently found in the passive, as in Phil. 240: αὐδῶμαι δὲ παῖς Ἁχιλλέως: cf. Trach. 1096. So ἥ τινα Λατοίδα κεκλημένον, Pind. P. III. 67.
- 965. $\gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \iota \kappa \lambda \dot{\nu} \omega \nu$.] The translation implies, "if you listen, you will know." So *Electr.* 878: $i\sigma\theta\iota \tau o\hat{\nu}\tau$ $\dot{\epsilon}\mu o\hat{\nu} \kappa \lambda \dot{\nu} o \nu \sigma a$. It has not been sufficiently observed, that when $\kappa \lambda \dot{\nu} \omega$ is discriminated from $\dot{\alpha} \kappa o \dot{\nu} \omega$, it presumes the continuous act of listening, whereas $\dot{\alpha} \kappa o \dot{\nu} \omega$ signifies to hear and understand, which, as an act of comprehension, is single. Thus we often find $\kappa \lambda \dot{\nu} \omega$ in the present tense by the side of $\dot{\alpha} \kappa o \dot{\nu} \omega$ in the imperfect, a orist, or perfect: cf. Æschyl.

Prom. 456: κλύοντες οὐκ ἤκουον. Choeph. 5: κλύειν, ἀκοῦσαι. Eurip. Suppl. 1061: ὁρμὴν λάβοις αν—κλύων, ἀκοῦσαι δ΄ οῦ σε βούλομαι, πάτερ. Soph. Phil. 53; ἤν τι καινόν, ὧν πρὶν οὐκ ἀκήκοας, κλύῃς.

985. δυσμόρου.] The compound dismal-fatal, in the translation, is borrowed from Macbeth, Act II. Sc. 5:

I'm for the air: this night I'll spend Unto a dismal-fatal end.

1001—1003. κουδέ μαντικής—πάλαι. That the words των δ υπαί γένους are corrupt, seems to me sufficiently obvious; and that the interpretation υπό των γένους for υπὸ τῶν ἐγγενῶν is inadmissible, has been already seen by Wunder and Emper. The change which I have introduced is very slight, and appears to me not only justified, but required by the context. In the first place, as the whole passage is an address in the second person plural from 1000 to 1006, it seems unnatural that a merely demonstrative sentence should be introduced. I think then, that $\tau \hat{\omega}_{\nu}$ is a relative explaining the word $\tilde{a}\pi\rho\alpha\kappa\tau\sigma\sigma$ $\tilde{v}\mu\tilde{i}\nu$. Then, it is impossible to take τῶν ὑπαὶ γένους for ὧν τοῦ γένους ὕπο; and something is wanted to give both the word $\tilde{a}\pi\rho\alpha\kappa\tau\sigma_{s}$, and the verbs which follow, a definitive value. Now with regard to the former, the force of the adjective is suggested by $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma$ σομαι in Œd. Τ. 124: εί τι μή ξύν άργύρω επράσσετ $\tilde{e}\nu\theta e\nu\delta e$: and the same supplement is required here: I have therefore introduced ἀργύρου, to be construed like γρυσοῦ in Eurip. Med. 963, or θανάτοιο in Pind. P. VI. 39;—namely, as a genitive of price or value. And I conceive, that although the construction $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ \vec{v} \pi$, $\dot{\alpha} \rho \gamma \dot{\nu} \rho o \nu$, is faultless, the abruptness of the two genitives, the resemblance between $T\Omega NY\Pi AI\Gamma ENOY\Sigma$ and $T\Omega NY\Pi AP\Gamma YPOY$, and the old trick of anticipating,—in this case, the τὸ μαντικὸν γὰρ $\pi \hat{a} \nu \phi_i \lambda \hat{a} \rho \gamma \nu \rho \rho \nu \gamma \hat{\epsilon} \nu \rho s$ of v. 1023 infra—which seems to have beset this copyist, have led to the corruption which has hitherto remained in the text. Cf. also 1045: καὶ ταῦτ΄ άθρησον εί κατηργυρωμένος λέγω.

1004, 5. $\tau \partial \nu \pi \rho \partial s \Sigma \Delta \rho \delta \omega \nu \tilde{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau \rho \rho \nu$.] It is clear that Sophocles is here referring to the pale amber-coloured mixture of $\frac{4}{5}$ of gold with $\frac{1}{5}$ of silver (Plin. H.·N. XXXIII. 23). There is a climax here, if the emendation which I have just proposed gives the true reading. Kreon says he has been sold for silver: but that if they bid for him gold mixed with silver, or even the pure gold of India, they would not effect their object. That the word $\tilde{\eta}\lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau \rho \rho \nu$ originally and properly designates the substance "amber," and not the metallic admixture of gold and silver, has been fully proved by Buttmann, in an elaborate and admirable essay on the subject in the Mythologus, Vol. II. pp. 337—363. His dissertation on the etymology of the word is so instructive, that I may take this opportunity of placing it within the reach of the English student (ibid. p. 355 sqq.):

"I hope to have no difficulty in convincing the philologer, that the word ηλεκτρον, comes from έλκειν 'to draw¹.' In an object which so frequently grew warm from contact with the human body, the attractive power would not only of necessity manifest itself on the earliest acquaintance, but would also at once engage especial attention. Accordingly, we not only find this circumstance mentioned by the Grammarians (see the Etym. M. quoted in the note below, and Eustath. ad Dionys. Perieget. 294: ἐξ οῦ καὶ λάβαι μαχαίραις γίγνονται ἀχύρων ἐφελκυστικαί, ως ἡ μαγυῆτις σιδήρου); but it had also attracted the observation of the most ancient philosophers. The passage in Plato's Timæus (p. 80 c: καὶ τα θαυμαζόμενα ἡλέκτρων περὶ τῆς ἕλξεως καὶ τῶν Ἡρακλείων λίθων, πάμτων τούτων ὁλκὴ μὲν οὐκ ἔστιν οὐδενί ποτε,) is especially important, because the phrase τὰ θαυμαζόμενα shows the impression produced by these phenomena on simple men, and because the words ἕλξις

1 "In Nemnich's Dictionary of Natural History, s.v. succinum=electrum, after a reference to the derivation from Elector by Pliny, we find the following quotation: 'in other writers, quod confrictum, calefactum, ad se trahat paleas aliasque res minutas.' I know not whence these Latin words are taken, and Nemnich does not appear to have been aware of any corresponding derivation of the word electrum: for he adds no remark to the quotation. One would think it referred to the derivation from ελκειν here proposed. As, however, I do not find this elsewhere, I conjecture that we have here an incomplete citation, originating with the words in the Etym. M., in which the inadmissible derivation, παρὰ τὸ ἐλεῖν τὰ ἔκτος, is there maintained: τριβόμενον γὰρ ἀρπάζει τὰ πελάζοντα φρύγανα."

and $\delta \lambda \kappa \eta^2$ exhibit the verb $\delta \lambda \kappa \epsilon \omega$ as the proper term to denote this effect. We have, besides, a very ancient historical proof of this physical observation, in the notice which Diogenes Laertius (I. 24) has preserved us from Aristotle—namely, that Thales, induced by the magnet and amber, attributed a soul even to inanimate objects.

I recognize, therefore, in ηλεκτρον, according to the termination, a verbal from Exkew, which, though quite in accordance with analogy, would be more exactly represented by the harsher form έλκτρον, 'the drawer,' or 'drawing-stone.' The change of breathing, so far from appearing strange, is shown to be perfectly analogous by a comparison of ηλιος, ηέλιος, ημέρα, ημαρ, and a number of other words, especially in the case of an old word, which must have come immediately from Ionia into Greece, along with the substance. As for the intrusion of the ϵ , I might, in accordance with the usual procedure in grammars, content myself with remarking, that the harshness produced by the concurrence of many consonants is thus avoided: but it is more satisfactory to appeal to an analogy, more definite and pervading a number of cases. I have already laid this down elsewhere; but I will take this opportunity of confirming my position by further considerations.

[In the present state of comparative Philology, it is unnecessary to repeat this exposition, which occupies the next paragraph in the original essay.]

That this may not be treated as mere speculation, I will point out the same conformation in two other derivatives from $\tilde{\epsilon}\lambda\kappa\omega$. If the Greek word, which signifies a Furrow, occurred only in the form $\tilde{\omega}\lambda\xi$, it would have presented itself at once as a derivative from $\tilde{\epsilon}\lambda\kappa\omega$, and we should merely have noticed the mutability of the breathing, as in many other instances. As it is, this appears as a contraction of the forms $\tilde{\omega}\lambda\alpha\xi$, $\tilde{\alpha}\lambda o\xi$, which are known to be old accessory forms of the common word $\alpha\tilde{\upsilon}\lambda\alpha\xi$. According to my view, however, $\tilde{\omega}\lambda\xi$, $\tilde{\omega}\lambda\alpha\xi$, $\tilde{\alpha}\lambda o\xi$, have all arisen by vowel-changes (Umlaut) from the same root $\tilde{\epsilon}\lambda\kappa\omega$, with and without an insertion of vowels 4.

^{&#}x27; Compare, in addition to the analogies which follow, $d\lambda \kappa a ia$, 'a tail,' from the same root, instead of $\delta\lambda \kappa a ia$, which is likewise used."

³ "Lexil, 15, 2, 28, 2. Gr. Gr. § 99, 12, 1."

^{4 &}quot;I have made it probable (Lexil. 59, 4) that the form αὐλαξ has arisen from the digamma." [See also New Cratylus, p. 130, 564.]

The other word is $\eta \lambda \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \eta$. But in regard to this also, we must, in the first place, examine some ordinary expositions. We frequently find this word used for the Spindle, and yet the Lexica and the explanations of the Grammarians, where they speak clearly, suppose the Distaff. And thus the word is confused with the word ἄτρακτος, which, so far as I know, is never understood otherwise than of the Spindle. In addition to this, we have a poetical use of both words. Namely, ἄτρακτος is very often used to signify an arrow; the same is assumed of ηλακάτη; and thus we explain the Homeric epithet of Artemis, γρυσηλάκατος. It is certain that ηλακάτη is also used for a reed and a stalk: see Hesychius and Schneider. On this is founded a conception, for which, it seems, a good deal may be said; namely, that both words properly signify a reed, then that which was made of reed, namely, the arrow, and the spindle or distaff. From this statement we must nevertheless detach what has no plausibility. It was very natural that the epithet of Artemis, especially in its usual connexion, γρυσηλάκατος κελαδεινή, should be, by preference, understood of the arrows: yet it is remarkable that, with this exception, ηλακάτη is never used in the more ancient poetry to signify arrows; and it is more than remarkable that Homer, who uses the simple word so often and so constantly of spinning, should wish us to understand him as speaking of arrows when he uses this compound. We should also well consider Pindar's usage, who gives the same epithet to Amphitrite, the Nereids, and Leto. Pindar does not belong to the age and to the class of poets, whose expressions are so easily explicable as awkward misconceptions of Homer's words. It is also quite clear, that χρυσηλάκατος was in general an epithet of Goddesses; and supposing it derived from ήλακάτη, in its ordinary signification, it must have denoted female excellence, pretty much in the same way as σκηπτοῦχος indicates manly worth. That in Homer, however, Artemis alone has this epithet, which is common to all Goddesses, (and yet she has it only three times,) is sufficiently explained, as is the same circumstance in regard to several other Homeric epithets, from the structure of the verse, and from the example of old current popular lays, by means of which such adjectives gradually became, even without any intrinsic necessity, constant epithets. At all events, the passage in the Odyssey 8, 122 foll, appears to me to be no contemptible voucher for this explanation of the epithet γρυσηλάκατος. There we find that Helena

came out of her chamber 'Αρτέμιδι γρυσηλακάτφ εἰκυῖα, and we are immediately told how her female-slaves brought to her her spinning-apparatus, with the express mention that she got it as a present from the Queen of Thebes, namely: Χρυσέην τ' ήλακάτην τάλαρον θ' υπόκυκλου. On the other hand, there is no trace that ατρακτοι ever signified the reed, and it means an arrow only in certain passages, which are altogether of a poetical, tragical, or lyrical nature *, which are therefore sufficiently accounted for only by an old transition from one object, thin, long, and thicker at both hands, to another of the same kind. Ήλακάτη, however, is actually used of the reed and the stalk;—this the old Lexicographers state quite definitely; -and indeed of sedge and cornstalks in particular; although they confirm it only with a passage of Æschylus, who used πολυηλάκατος as an epithet of the bank of a river (Schol. Victor ad Il. #, 183. ap. Heyn. p. 784. Hesych. in the second gloss 'Ηλακάτη); but it is also found in this sense in Theophrast. Hist. pl. 2, 2., where the shafts of the reeds between the knots are called ηλακάται.

Nevertheless, several doubts arise in my mind about the opinions, founded upon this, that the spinning-apparatus had its name from the reed; and of these doubts the most important is the usage of Homer. In his writings there are two forms η ηλακάτη and τὰ ήλάκατα, which we must consider more accurately The former is clearly described as the distaff, Od. δ, 135: αὐτὰρ έπ' αὐτῷ (namely, the basket,) Ἡλακάτη τετάνυστο ἰοδνεφές εἶρος εχουσα. Voss, however, understands this of a horizontal spindle, which was stretched across the basket. Among the proofs for our view of the case, I will, in the first place, adduce as the most obvious, the transition to a furniture of an altogether different nature; namely, to mast- and sail- work. Here also Pollux and others have mentioned an ἄτρακτος or spindle, and an ηλακάτη, both being situated upon and above the sail-yard; indeed, we find in an author cited by Athenœus XI. p. 475. A, that it was the part of the mast which overtopped the θωράκιου, είς ύψος ανήκουσα καὶ όξεια γιγνο- $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta$: and so also the Scholiast on Apollonius 1. 565, quotes from Eratosthenes: ήλακάτη δε λέγεται το λεπτότατον και ακρότατον μέρος τοῦ ἰστοῦ: a description which throughout reminds us of nothing but a perfectly-straight distaff: and this was consequently laid straight across the spinning-basket of Helena. If we compare

^{* [}Buttmann forgets Thucyd. IV. 40; and the modern Greek, άδρακτος, "an arrow." See above on v. 943, 4. p. 210.]

with this the passage of Plato in the tenth book of the Republic (p. 616), where he is describing his symbolical spindle of necessity or of the universe, we shall find that he calls this, atpaktos. and distinguishes from it (but as constituent parts of it,) the n\aκάτη, and the whirl, σφόνδυλος; as follows: ἐκ δὲ τῶν ἄκρων τεταμένον 'Ανάγκης άτρακτου-, ου την μεν ηλακάτην τε και το άγκιστρον είναι έξ αδάμαντος, τον δε σφονδυλον μικτον έκ δε τούτου και άλλων yevων: which means, 'the spindle reaching from above; of which the ηλακάτη together with the hook were made of indestructible metal, but the whirl, of this and other materials mixed.' In what follows, then, he describes the peculiar mechanism of his whirl, which was distinguished from the actual one by this, that the actual one is simple, whereas his consists of eight whirls joined together. The more accurate description of this does not belong to the present question; as, however, he joins all with one another in a direction upwards, (for he says that each whirl has the hollow, in which the following one was inserted, on the upper side); and as he makes the whole of it a sort of spire about the $\eta \lambda \alpha \kappa \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta$, we see clearly that this image is taken from the perpendicular spindle, the under part of which rested upon a whirl, upon, and, with this whirl, around, one and the same axis or cylinder. The continuation of such cylinders upwards formed, therefore, the distaff; so that in the Scholion on the Il. m. 183, it is correctly stated: ηλακατην γάρ καλουσιν—το γυναικείον εργαλείον εξ ου το νημα έλκουσιν. From this statement, then, is explained the apparent interchange, which actually occurs here and there, of the ηλακάτη with the spindle, since it is an essential part of that implement, and, as a cylinder combined with the wheels which revolve around it, actually forms a spindle; there is, on the contrary, no passage in which ἄτρακτος occurred in such a manner that it could be taken for the distaff. But each of the two names might, no doubt, stand equally well for the whole spinning-apparatus, since the whole in its leading features represented a spindle. And so, in fact, we have seen that, in the Homeric passage, the ηλακάτη alone is named; and it is to be taken precisely so in the well-known poem of Theocritus, the subject of which it would be wrong to call 'a distaff,' since it is rather a prettily-manufactured spinning-machine, which we could only call 'spindle,' if we wished to denote it by one English word. In Plato, on the contrary, and in Pollux (4. chap. 28), we find $a\tau\rho\alpha\kappa\tau\sigma\sigma$ as a general name for the whole. In other passages we find both words connected as the two leading

parts. Leonid. Tar. 78 (Anthol. Cephal. 7, 726): καί τε προς ήλακάτην καὶ τον συνέριθον ἄτρακτου "Ηεισεν.

The other Homeric form is τὰ πλάκατα. This has been frequently taken for a thing of the same kind as the former. Others. on the contrary, (v. Hesych.) took ηλακάτη for the distaff, but τα ηλάκατα for the spindle, because, in fact, the latter form is constantly connected with the verb στρωφάν, στροφαλίζειν. The philologer feels of himself that this is not tenable, and is at the same time sensible of the correctness of the explanation, which is undoubtedly also the received one at the present day, and which clearly results from the epithet λεπτά.—Od. ρ, 97: λέπτ' ηλάκατα στρωφώσα-namely, that ήλάκατα signifies the threads, that which is spun, which is certainly rolled round the spindle. But the opinion, that ηλακάτη originally signified the reed, is quite irreconcileable with this. For then, for the idea of spinning, ηλακάτη would necessarily have been the root-word, and τὰ πλάκατα would have been derived from it, which every one who has any taste for analogy must feel to be impossible. Rather, it is certain that neither of these two words can be derived from the other, but that these are both to be deduced from one common root. And this, according to the analogy set forth above, is given us by the verb ἕλκω; for the distaff is, as we have seen above, the implement έξ οὖ τὸ νημα ελκουσιν, and the threads are τὰ ελκόμενα. It is very usual, however, for natural objects to be named according to their resemblance to the objects of domestic life; and thus it is very natural for the part of a stalk situated between two knots, to be compared, even in very ancient times, with a spindle or cylinder, and called after it5.

If then we put together all the etymological deductions which we have made up to this point, it would, according to the usual form of the verb $\ddot{\epsilon}\lambda\kappa\omega$ and its significations, be perfectly in accordance with the strictest analogy, if a Furrow should be called $\ddot{\epsilon}\lambda\xi$, spun threads $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\kappa\tau\dot{\alpha}$, the spindle $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\kappa\tau\dot{\eta}$, and amber $\ddot{\epsilon}\lambda\kappa\tau\rho\rho\nu$: it is certainly no insignificant confirmation of our opinion, that the forms, which have taken their places, furnish again an equally strong analogy among themselves: for instead of $\ddot{\epsilon}\lambda\xi$ we find among other words $\vec{\omega}\lambda\alpha\xi$: instead of $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\kappa\tau\dot{\alpha}$ and $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\kappa\tau\dot{\eta}$, $\dot{\eta}\lambda\dot{\alpha}\kappa\alpha\tau\alpha$ and $\dot{\eta}\lambda\alpha\kappa\dot{\alpha}\tau\eta^{\delta}$, and instead of $\ddot{\epsilon}\lambda\kappa\tau\rho\rho\nu$, $\ddot{\eta}\lambda\epsilon\kappa\tau\rho\rho\nu$.

^{5 &}quot;Compare the similar case in the German Spule, Federspule."

 $^{^{6}}$ "According to another pronunciation, even without change of vowel, ηλεκάτη: vide Hesych."

I remark, in conclusion, that this naming of amber from the phenomenon of attraction, frequently appears in other languages also. The vulgar French name at the present day, tire-paille, Sacy has already compared with the Oriental Kah-ruba, which in Persian means literally the Straw-stealer. The second part of the name, ruba 'robber' raüber, agrees, like so many other Persian words, with German roots of similar signification; and hence it is very probable that the name raf, rav, which amber bears in the North-german languages, also belongs to the root raffen, rauben, 'rob,' with which again we should compare the Oriental notice in Pliny 37, 2, where Niceas relates of amber:—in Syria quoque fæminas verticillos inde facere et vocare harpaga, quia folia et paleas vestiumque fimbrias trahit.—For the German Bernstein, I know no other derivation than the one most usually received from beren. bernen, i. e. brennen ('to burn'); but I take this opportunity of directing the attention of my readers, as Gesner has done before me, to the correspondence between this name and the later Greek name for the same material,—namely, $\beta \epsilon \rho o \nu i \kappa \eta$, $\beta \epsilon \rho \nu i \kappa \eta$, and $\beta \eta \rho \nu \lambda$ -Aos, which last genuine Greek name of a known jewel, from the similarity of sound as pronounced by the common people, has obtained this additional signification. See Eustath. ad Hom. Od. δ, 73, and Salmas. ad Solin. p. 1106. It is possible that the name was brought into Greece by the German Franks: but we have still to wish for something more certain7."

1034. $\sigma\pi\lambda\acute{a}\gamma\chi\nu\omega\nu$.] It is perhaps scarcely necessary to mention that the $\sigma\pi\lambda\acute{a}\gamma\chi\nu a$, or viscera majora (i.e. the heart, the liver, and the lungs), were considered by the Greeks the seat of the affections: cf. Ajax, v. 995, Eurip. Hipp. 117. The word is probably connected with $\sigma\pi\lambda\acute{\eta}\nu$, i.e. $\sigma\pi\lambda\acute{\eta}\nu\gamma\alpha\nu a$. For the use here, see v. 509.

7 "If this is correct, perhaps there is truth in the derivation of the Italian vernics, French vernis, Firnis, from this βερνίκη, and consequently from Bernstein. Adelung has fallen into a ludicrous error, when he supposes that Firnis comes from the "Latin" vernix; for this new Latin word is much more likely to have been coined from the Franco-Italian." [The evidence supplied by the researches of Mr. Eastlake, (Materials for a History of Oil Painting, pp. 230 sqq.) has made it abundantly clear that the modern word vernice, "varnish," must be a lineal descendant of the Greek Βερονίκη, as referring either to the famous golden hair of the Egyptian Princess, or to the city Berenice, where the amber-coloured nitre was found. If it is true that the name of Veronica, the patron saint of painters, is derived from this designation of the substance which they used, we have here a curious example of a return to personification in the use of a word.]

 $\dot{a}\nu\theta'$ $\dot{b}\nu$ $\dot{e}_{\chi}\epsilon_{1}$ = $\mu\epsilon\tau_{0}$ $\kappa(\sigma a_{5})$ Here again, as it appears to me, the copyist has made his usual confusion between the true reading and something like it in the same page. In the first place, one of the MSS. gives κατοικίσας. and this is better than κατώκισας, for as the έχεις μέν of v. 1036 answers to the exers de of v. 1038, the insertion of an independent verb is scarcely allowable. I have no doubt, however, that κατώκισας is an older reading than κατοικίσας, and that the latter was introduced by some one who perceived the construction, though he could not restore the text. The original copyist, whom we have to thank for so many blunders of the same kind, allowed κατω to take the place of metoi-, because he saw it just above in the preceding line. But the context, no less than the offensive jingle between κάτω and κατώκισας at the ends of two successive lines, requires the substitution which I have made. In fact, the adverb $a\tau i\mu\omega_S$ itself suggests a loss of franchise by exsilium—a deprivation of the political rights of the living, effected by this unnatural banishment to the grave, (cf. v. 25: τοις ένερθεν έντιμον νεκροίς), and the political allusion to the μέτοικος has occurred twice before in this play with the same reference: cf. 828: ovt en rolow έτ' ούτε τοίσιν μέτοικος, ού ζωσιν ού θανούσι. 865: μετοικίας δ΄ οὖν της ἄνω στερήσεται.

1048—1051. ἐχθραὶ—πόλιν.] Wunder, whose opinion is adopted by Dindorf, and in part by Emper also, maintains that these four lines are a spurious interpolation. I have not seen any sufficient reasons for this view of the case. On the contrary, it appears to me that the oracular obscurity of the passage is quite in keeping with the lines which precede. In any case, Böckh's interpretation is inadmissible, though I am not aware that any of the commentators have remarked, that the most insuperable objection to it is furnished by the poet's use of the epithet ἐστιοῦχος. Böckh thinks that these lines contain a general sentiment: that the prophet is made to state the general consequences of a corpse remaining unburied. "All cities, in which birds and wild beasts carry fragments of corpses to the altars,

are roused to animosity,"—consequently, Thebes is so. Now it appears to me impossible to understand the words in this sense, if for no other reason, because the phrase $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\iota o\hat{\nu}$ - $\chi o\nu$ $\dot{\epsilon}_{\rm S}$ $\pi \dot{o}\lambda\iota\nu$ implies that the bodies in question lay unburied in some foreign land: cf. Æschyl. Pers. 513:

όσοι δε λοιποί κάτυχον σωτηρίας Θρήκην περάσαντες μόλις πολλῷ πόνῷ ἥκουσιν ἐκφυγόντες οὐ πολλοί τινες, ἐφ' ἐστιοῦχον γαῖαν.

So also δόμους έφεστίους "native abodes," Sept. c. Theb. 73. Moreover, the compound συνταράσσονται expresses a conjunction of cities in the act of hostility: cf. supra v. 430: $\sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \ \delta \dot{\epsilon} \ \nu \iota \nu \ \theta \eta \rho \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \theta a$. There cannot, I think, be any doubt that the allusion is to the expedition by which the Argives, aided by Theseus, exacted the burial of their dead, and not to the Epigoni, who came ten years afterwards. In other respects, the meaning has been rightly given by Böckh. Έχθραὶ is of course a secondary predicate = $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \theta a \iota \dot{\epsilon} \chi \theta \rho \alpha \dot{\iota}$: and $\kappa \alpha \theta \dot{\eta} \gamma \iota \sigma \alpha \nu$ is quite justified by the passages which Böckh has cited: namely, Gorgias, apud Longin. III. 2: $\gamma \ddot{\nu} \pi \epsilon s \ \dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\nu} \nu \chi o \iota \ \tau \dot{\alpha} \phi o \iota$ (cf. Hermogenes $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \iota \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\omega} \dot{\nu}$ I. Vol. III. p. 226, ed. Walz.); Ennius, apud Priscian. VI. p. 683, Putsch:

Vulturis in sylvis miserum mandebat homonem, Heu, quam crudelei condebat membra sepulcro.

Strabo XI. p. 517: ζωντας παραβάλλεσθαι τρεφομένοις κυσίν ἐπίτηδες πρὸς τοῦτο, οῦς ἐνταφιαστὰς καλοῦσι τῆ πατρώα γλώττη. Soph. Electra 1480: πρόθες τα φεῦσιν, ὧν τόνδ εἰκός ἐστι τυγχάνειν: to which may be added, Lucretius V. 991:

Viva videns vivo sepeliri corpora busto.

And Mr. Ford, in his Hand-Book for Travellers in Spain, p. 567, speaks of the "bleaching bones, left to the national undertaker the vulture." See also, ibid. p. 349.

1053. καρδίας τοξεύματα.] See above, v. 1000, and cf. Æschyl. Eumen. 103: ὅρα δὲ πληγὰς τάσδε καρδίας σέθεν.

- 1058. τον νοῦν—φέρει.] I am disposed to think, with Wunder, that the words τον νοῦν τῶν φρενῶν are to be taken together, as in Homer, Il. XVIII. 419: τῆς ἐν μὲν νόος ἐστὶ μετὰ φρεσίν, ἐν δὲ καὶ αὐδή.
- 1064. $\epsilon i \kappa \dot{\alpha} \theta \epsilon i \nu$.] Elmsley, Wunder, Ellendt, and others would write $\epsilon i \kappa \alpha \theta \epsilon i \nu$. I have given my reasons for a contrary opinion in the *New Cratylus*, p. 470.
- 1071, 2. συντέμνουσι—βλάβαι,] i.e. συντέμνουσι τὴν οδον είς τους κακόφρονας "overtake them by a short cut:" cf. Æschyl. Eumen. 346: μάλα γὰρ οῦν ἀλομένα ἀνέκαθεν βαρυπεσῆ καταφέρω ποδὸς ἀκμὰν σφαλέρ ἀνυδρόμοις κῶλα, δύσφρον ἄταν (according to the readings of Ahrens, de dialect. Dor. p. 546). For the word β λάβαι, here used with distinct reference to its primitive meaning, see New Cratylus, p. 549.
- 1077—1080. ἀξίνας—ἐκλύσομαι.] Hermann, whose opinion is adopted by Dindorf and Wunder, thinks it necessary to suppose a loss of some few verses, "describing more accurately the place referred to, and also speaking more distinctly of Antigone, and they accordingly indicate a lacuna between vv. 1078, 79. This may be so. But we must recollect, on the other hand, that the King is represented as speaking in great haste and trepidation; and it may be asked whether the mention of hatchets to cut down timber for the funeral pile, coupled with a reference to the ἐπόψιος τόπος—the high meadow-land where Polyneikes lay, which has been already mentioned (supra v. 409: ἄκρων ἐκ πάγων: cf. infra 1163: $\pi \epsilon \delta i o \nu \epsilon \pi' \tilde{a} \kappa \rho o \nu$), and which was probably depicted on the right-hand περίακτος—would not suffice as a hurried description of his first purpose, while the antithesis in v. 1080, might seem to point to an intentional brevity in describing his proposed liberation of Antigone.
- 1083—1120. Tragic Dancing-song. The following scheme represents the metres:

στροφή ά.

στροφή β'.

The long syllables which appear in this song are to be explained as in the *Fourth Stasimon*, and were perhaps introduced here to accompany the slow solemn steps of the Emmeleia. For the quantity 'Elevoivias Böckh quotes Hom.

Hymn. ad Cer. 105, 266. Antimachus, Fragm. 55. Schellenburg, Eratosth. Fragm. Merc. XV. 15. p. 144, Bernh. Antipater Thessal. Epigr. 57; and on the antispasts ὑπἐρ κλιτύν, χορεύουσι, he remarks, that the former expresses in a charming manner the act of climbing the hill, while the latter beautifully imitates the lifting of the foot in the dance.

1083. ἄγαλμα.] Cf. above, v. 695, where the prosperity of a father is called an ἄγαλμα εὐκλείας to his children. In the same sense the deified Bacchus is here called the ἄγαλμα of Semele. "Αγαλμα," says F. A. Wolf, ad Hom. II. IV. 144, "is a bijou, that which rejoices the heart (cf. Ruhnk. Tim. s. v.), a work of art in which we take pleasure. Schol. D: καλλώπισμα, πᾶν ἐφ΄ ῷ τις ἀγάλλεται καὶ χαίρει, οὶ δὲ μεθ΄ Όμηρον ποιηταὶ ἄγαλμα εἶπον τὸ ξόανον."

1091. ναιετῶν.] Dindorf's conjecture.

1094—1101.] $\sigma \dot{\epsilon} \delta \dot{\nu} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho - \pi \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \iota$.] The first three lines describe Bacchus as haunting Parnassus; the last three, as frequenting Eubœa, to which both Nysa and $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \tau \dot{\alpha}$ refer, (above on v. 589). There is the same reference in 1111, 1112.

1105, 6. τὰν ἔκπαγλα τιμᾶς ὑπὲρ πασᾶν πόλεων.] This emendation of Dindorf's appears to me not only ingenious, but convincing.

1113, 1114. ιω πῦρ πνέοντων χοράγ ἄστρων.] Lobeck has failed to persuade me that we have here no Theocrasia, or confusion between the attributes of Bacchus and the Sun-god. He wishes to explain this passage by a reference to the practice of poets, who make nature participate in the emotions caused by the advent of deity (Aglaophamus, p. 218). It appears to me, on the contrary, not only that such an explanation would be inapplicable here, but also that the whole of this Emmeleia, which speaks in a mystic or Eleusinian strain, clearly identifies the functions of Iacchus with those of Phœbus, as Sun-god and as the deity

who presided over healing and moral purity: compare the very similar chorus in the Œd. Tyr. v. 151 sqq. and see the passages which I have quoted in the Theatre of the Greeks, (ed. 4 or 5), pp. 14, 15. Nay more, I believe that the dithyrambic or circular chorus itself, which was peculiar to Bacchus, was intended to represent the apparent course of the sun: see the author περί λυρικῶν, Boissonade, Anecd. Gr. IV. p. 458. Rheinisch. Mus. 1833, p. 169: κέκληται δὲ ἡ μὲν στροψή, καθά ψησι Πτολεμαῖος ἐν τῷ περί στατικῆς ποιήσεως, διὰ τοὺς ἄδοντας κύκλῳ κινεῖσθαι περί τὸν βωμόν, σημαίνοντας τὴν τοῦ ἡλίου κίνησιν. Even the epithet πολυώνυμος at the beginning of this ode is a sufficient proof of the Theocrasia in it.

1152. ἀνασπαστοῦ πύλης.] The Greek doors opened into the street; therefore, a drawn-back door is a closed door.

1168. $\theta a \lambda \lambda o is$.] "Of olive." Demosth. c. Macart. p. 1074, 22, quoted by Böckh.

 $\pi a \sigma \tau a \delta a$. The meaning of this word in reference to its present application is best furnished by Herodotus, who uses it in speaking of the stone chambers in the great Egyptian Labyrinth (II. 148), which he distinguishes from the αὐλαί, the στέγαι, and the οἰκήματα of the same building. We have seen above (on v. 356), that $\alpha \nu \lambda \eta$ was a place which left a free access to the wind; we know that στέγη was a roofed chamber; that οἴκημα was a single detached room; and that $\pi u \sigma \tau as$, contracted from $\pi a \rho a$ - $\sigma \tau \dot{\alpha}_{s}$ (there is a similar apocope in compounds with $\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}$), was an open porch standing out from a wall or from some other building: see the following passages: Xenoph. Mem. III. 8, § 9: τοῦ μέν χειμώνος ὁ ήλιος είς τὰς παστάδας υπολάμπει, του δε θέρους υπέρ ημών αντών καί τῶν στεγῶν πορευόμενος σκίαν παρέχει, with which compare Pollux, VII. 122: Κρατίνος δ' έν Διονυσαλεξάνδρω παραστάδας καὶ πρόθυρα βούλει ποικίλα. παστάδας δὲ Ξενοφῶν, as οι νῦν εξέδρας (cf. Hermann Opusc. V. p. 220). For έξέδρα, see Eurip. Orest. 1449: ἐκλῆσε δ' ἄλλον ἄλλοσε 226

στέγης, τους μέν εν σταθμοισιν ιππικοίς, τους δ' έν έξέδραισι. Herod. II. 169: παστάς λιθίνη ήσκημένη στύλοισι καὶ τῆ ἄλλη δαπάνη, with which compare Hesych. παραστάδες οι πρός τοίς τοίχοις τετραμμένοι κίονες. Plutarch, Brut. c. 55, uses $\pi a \sigma \tau \dot{a}_{s}$ as a synonym of $\sigma \tau o \dot{a}_{s}$. and there can be no doubt that it was the same as the Homeric $al\theta_{ov\sigma}a$. The name agrees in signification with vestibulum (from ve-stare, like pro-stibulum from pro-stare, Becker, Gallus, p. 189 Engl. Tr., for $\pi a \rho a - \sigma \tau a \dot{q} = ve-stibulum$, just as $\pi a \rho a' - \phi \rho \omega \nu = ve$ -cors.) It is clear, then, that Herodotus, in speaking of the multitudinous chambers of the Labyrinth, considered some of them as avia or "thoroughfares," some as $\sigma \tau \epsilon \gamma a \iota$ or "roofed apartments," some as οίκήματα or "detached rooms," and some as παστάδες or "projections from the main wall." Thus discriminated. $\pi a \sigma \tau a$ is properly applied here to a descending $\sigma \pi n \lambda a \omega \nu$. or rock-grave, built out and completed artificially with a rude portico of unhewn stones. If the excavation, whether natural or artificial, extended itself into a series of compartments, it would be a λαύρειον or λαβύρινθος—one of those σπήλαια καὶ έν αὐτοῖς οἰκοδομητοὶ λαβύρινθοι mentioned by Strabo, VIII. pp. 369, 373. That the παστάς, in the case before us, was made up of rough unhown stones fitted together, is clear from the description of the opening in v. 1182, as άρμος λιθοσπαδής χώματος. And I must remark, that the first word is partly technical; for the $\phi \lambda i \dot{\eta}$ is defined by Hesychius as $\dot{\eta}$ mapa $\sigma \tau \dot{\alpha}_s$ $\tau \dot{\eta}_s$ $\theta \dot{\nu} \rho a_s$, and the same lexicographer tells us that the ἀρμοστῆρες were a part of the φλιή: ε.ν. άρμοστής -καὶ λίθοι δύο πρὸς τῷ αὐτῷ της φλιάς τιθέμενοι άρμοστηρες λέγονται, where Heinsius proposes $\pi \rho \dot{o}s \tau \hat{\phi} = o \dot{v} \delta \hat{\phi}$, and Toup (V. p. 448) $\pi \rho \dot{o}s \tau o i \chi \phi$ της φλιάς. Comp. Pausanias' use of άρμονία, Bæot. c. 38, on which see Leake, Morea II. p. 379. Specimens of rude door-ways may be seen in Dodwell's Cyclopian Remains, pl. 4, 8, 11, 40, &c.

1184. ἡ θεοίσι κλέπτομαι.] Milton, Comus: Yet they in pleasing slumber lull'd the sense, And in sweet madness robb'd it of itself.

1194, 5. τίνα νοῦν ἔσχες.] Cf. Plato Resp. VI. 492, c: τὸ λεγόμενον, τίνα οἴει καρδίαν ἴσχειν; which shows that there was something colloquial in these phrases, as in our "what possessed you to do it?" From the phrase in the text came the later compound νουνεχής.

1199. $\delta\iota\pi\lambda\circ\hat{\nu}s$ κνώδοντας.] The κνώδοντες were properly the cross-bars in swords and hunting-spears; in the Ajax 1004: $\pi\hat{\omega}s$ σ' ἀποσπάσω πικροῦ τοῦδ' αἰόλου κνώδοντος, the epithet αἰόλου points to the hilt, while πικροῦ "piercing" rather belongs to the blade. See Lobeck's note on the passage. And for $\delta\iota\pi\lambda\circ\hat{\nu}s$ in this passage, cf. Eurip. Hec. 573: ἀμφίχρυσον φάσγανον κώπης λαβών ἐξεῖλκε κολεοῦ.

1213, 14. ἐς πόλιν γόους οὐκ ἀξιώσειν.] Sc. στένειν. For the phraseology of the Translation, see Shakspere, Sonnet LXXI. 13:

Lest the wise world should look into your moan, And mock you with me after I am gone.

1227, sqq. Second Kommos. The metre of this lamentation, like that of many others in the Greek Tragedies, is chiefly dochmiac.

στροφή ά.

- 1. - ||
- 2. Senarius.
- 4. Senarius.
- 5. 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1
- 6. _ ' ' ' || ' ' ||

στροφή β΄.

- 1. - | |
- 2. 0 1 1 0 || 0 1 1 5 ||
- 3. 0 1 1 0 || 0 1 1 0 ||
- 4. 50 5000 1
- **5.** - ||
- 6. - - | - | - |
- 1. 5 1 1 0 || 0 1 1 0 ||
- 2. 0 1 1 0 || 0 1 1 0 ||
- 3. 0 1 1 0 || 0 0 0 1 0 5 0 ||
- 4. 0 1 1 0 0 0 | 0 1 1 0 |
- 5. 000000 = || 000 ± 0 = ||
- 6. 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 9 1

I think these dirges should be arranged in two pairs of strophes, the former pair containing the King's first lament for Hæmon, the second, his aggravation of grief after he has seen the dead body of his wife, and learned the nature of her death, in v. 1266, sqq.

1241. $\lambda \alpha \kappa \pi \acute{\alpha} \tau \eta \tau \sigma \nu$.] Hermann and others prefer the Aldine reading, $\lambda \epsilon \omega \pi \acute{\alpha} \tau \eta \tau \sigma \nu$. The reading which I have retained appears to me to stand in more emphatic connexion with what has preceded, especially to the $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \gamma \alpha$ $\beta \acute{\alpha} \rho \sigma s$ $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \gamma \omega \nu \acute{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \iota \sigma \epsilon$, which requires some mention of the feet or heels,

to show that the heavy tramp of an avenging deity is referred to; cf. below 1316: ἐπὶ κρατί μοι πότμος εἰσήλατο Æsch. Ευπεπ. 343: βαρυπεσῆ καταφέρω ποδὸς ἀκμάν. Pers. 517: ὧ δυσπόνητε δαῖμον ὡς ἄγαν βαρὺς ποδοῖν ἐνήλλου παντὶ Περσικῷ γένει. Agam. 1591: εἰ δέ τοι μόχθων γένοιτο τῶνδ΄ *ἄκος, δεχοίμεθ΄ ἄν, δαίμονος χηλῆ βαρεία δυστυχῶς πεπληγμένοι, where I have introduced my own conjecture ἄκος for the ἄλις of the MSS. Cf. Ευπ. 615: ἔστι τοῦδ΄ ἄκος. Pers. 623: εἰ γάρ τι κακῶν ἄκος οἶδε πλέον.

1243—1245. $\Omega \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o \theta$,— $\kappa \alpha \kappa \alpha$. Wex has a long note upon this passage, in which he collects other instances of the juxtaposition of $\epsilon_{\chi \epsilon i \nu}$ and $\kappa \epsilon \kappa \tau \eta \sigma \theta \alpha i$, habere et possidere. The meaning of the passage appears to me to be sufficiently clear from what follows: the construction is, we exwe to kai κεκτήμενος, "as one who both has and possesses," τὰ μέν "the one class of things" (\mathring{a} $\check{\epsilon}\chi\epsilon\iota s$), $\tau \acute{a}\delta \epsilon$ $\kappa a\kappa \acute{a}$ i. e. "these sorrows" $\mathring{\eta}\kappa\epsilon\iota s$ $\phi \acute{\epsilon}\rho\omega\nu$ $\pi\rho \grave{o}$ $\chi\epsilon\iota\rho\hat{\omega}\nu$ "you have brought with you in your arms," τὰ δὲ ἐν δόμοις κάκα "but the other class" (α κέκτησαι), "namely, the store of evils laid up for you at home," ἔοικας καὶ τάχ' ὄψεσθαι ἥκειν " you seem to have come with a prospect of speedily seeing." The phrase exerv τε και κέκτησθαι, is the counterpart of our "to have and to hold;" the one verb expresses possession, and the other ownership. This, as Müller rightly shews, (History of Literature of Greece, II. p. 97 of my translation), is the meaning of the $\kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$ is as in Thucyd. I. 22: "it does not mean an everlasting memorial or monument. Thucydides opposes his work, which people were to keep by them and read over and over again, to a composition which was designed to gratify an audience on one occasion only." word $\kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \mu a$ expresses that previous existence and readiness for use which is also conveyed by the adjective etolmos, and the verb ὑπάρχω, as opposed to γίγνομαι: cf. Aristot. Eth. Nic. IX. 9, § 5 : ή δε ενέργεια δήλον ότι γίγνεται καὶ ούχ ύπάρχει ὥσπερ κτῆμά τι

1255. $\tau i \phi \dot{\eta} s - \nu \dot{\epsilon} \psi$.] The vulg. $\tau i \phi \dot{\eta} s$, $\hat{\omega} \pi a \hat{i}$; $\tau i \nu a$

λέγεις μοι νέον λόγον; labours under a double interpolation. It is impossible that the allocution $\hat{\omega}$ $\pi a \hat{i}$ should refer to the slave who is addressed here, and it would be quite out of place to transfer the address from him to the corpse of Hæmon, as Emper does, by reading: τί φης; ω παῖ, τίνα It is obvious to me that the words $\hat{\omega}$ λέγει σοι νέον, κ.τ.λ. which fit neither the metre nor the sense, cannot have proceeded from Sophocles here. Again, the word $\lambda \acute{o} \gamma o \nu$ at the end of the line interrupts the construction, and is a grievous tautology after the occurrence of the same word at the end of the last line but one, from which the copyist borrowed it with his usual carelessness. The insertion of $\vec{\omega}$ $\pi a \hat{i}$ is due to the corresponding line of the strophe, which was probably written in the margin by a Scholiast, who wished to explain the construction of the repeated adjective veos. If Sophocles, as I believe, wrote here:

auί ϕ ής; auίνα λέ γ εις νέον μοι νέ ω ; a commentator might very well quote

ίω παι νέος νέφ ξυν μόρφ,

as a parallel passage.

1266-8. ή δ' οξύθηκτος-κωκύσασα.] Ας οξύθηκτος is not a proper epithet for a person, as $\lambda \acute{\nu} \epsilon \iota$ would not be the right voice, when her own eyes were spoken of as affected by her own action (cf. infra 1280), unless τα αυτης were added, as in Trachin. 926; as the question of how she killed herself is answered afterwards (1281 sqq.); and as the anacoluthon in κωκύσασα would be intolerable here; I have accepted Hermann's suggestion, that for $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \xi$ we should read $\pi \tau \epsilon \rho \iota \xi$, but I have placed the lacuna after $\beta \lambda \epsilon \phi a \rho a$, and not, with him, at the end of the first line. The supplement, which I have inserted, is placed here merely exempli gratia, until something better shall be suggested. It rests upon the words of the Scholium: ως ιερείον παρά τον βωμον έσφάγη $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \dot{\gamma} \dot{\rho} \nu \beta \omega \mu \dot{\rho} \nu \pi \rho \sigma \pi \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta} s$, made up with the help of Trach. 906: 'βρυχατο μέν βωμοίσι προσπίπτουσα; and I think that the repetition of $\beta \omega \mu o i \sigma i$, in the same place as $\beta\omega\mu\prime\alpha$ in the last line but one, gave occasion for the omission,

just as, conversely, interpolations have been made by this copyist, from a similar wandering of the eye. With regard to $\epsilon\kappa\epsilon\hat{\iota}$, I have added this, because I think it clear that the body is seen within the proscenium, and that the Ewangelus though he stands by the side of Ekkyklema, is not within it, but has come forward to the stage with the sacrificial knife in his hand, just as Orestes, in the Choephoræ, brings forth the fatal robe. This is also shown by his use of $\tau o \hat{\nu} \delta \epsilon$ (v. 1270) in speaking of Hæmon.

1275, δ 6. $\delta \epsilon i \lambda a i o s \epsilon \gamma \omega$, $\phi \epsilon \hat{v}$, $\phi \epsilon \hat{v}$.] As I think it quite impossible to make these words, without the addition of $\phi \epsilon \hat{v}$ $\phi \epsilon \hat{v}$ in the antistrophe, correspond to the $\tilde{v}\pi a \tau o s$ it ω which appears there, I have not scrupled to add these otherwise useless interjections, in the latter case. If any one prefers to omit them here, and so to avoid adding them in the antistrophe, I can have no objection. With regard to the quantity of the second syllable of $\delta \epsilon i \lambda a i o s$, supposing it to be susceptible of variation, which I do not deny, it seems to me inconceivable that Sophocles should not have pronounced in the same way this word, and its emphatic repetition in the following line.

1277. συγκέκραμαι δύα.] "I am mixed up with—entirely encompassed by—an inextricable calamity:" see Ajax 895: οἴκτῷ συγκέκραμένην. Electra 1485: σὺν κακοῖς μεμιγμένον. St. Paul, Rom. VII. 24: τίς με ρὐσεται ἐκ τοῦ σώματος τοῦ θανάτου τούτου; Plotin. IV. 3, 12: Ζεὺς δὲ πατηρ ἐλεήσας πονουμένας, θνητὰ αὐτῶν τὰ δεσμὰ ποιῶν, κ.τ.λ. And for the sense of δύη, see note on v. 932 supra.

- 1290. $\beta \rho \dot{\alpha} \chi \iota \sigma \tau a \kappa \alpha \kappa \dot{\alpha}$.] See other instances of this mode of secondary predication, in the note on Pind. O. IX. 104.
- 1291. aiaî aiaî.] As I observe that the interjections recur in corresponding places, I have substituted these

cries for the $i\tau\omega$, $i\tau\omega$, which are more in their place lower down.

- 1299. $\epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$.] I prefer Bothe's reading to the vulg. $\epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$, or to the correction $\epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \mu \hat{\eta} \nu$, which is worse still. The compound $\sigma \nu \gamma \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \nu \xi \hat{\alpha} \mu \eta \nu$ shows that the reference is plural.
- 1305. $\delta\pi\alpha \pi\acute{a}\nu\tau a \ \gamma \grave{a}\rho$.] The corrections which I have introduced into this line, appear to me more probable than the mere omission of $\pi \hat{a} \ \kappa a \wr \theta \hat{\omega}$, which Hermann and others have adopted. It seems to me pretty clear that $\pi\rho\grave{o}s$ $\pi\acute{o}\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma\nu$ is a gloss upon $\delta\pi a$, that $\delta\pi a \ \theta\hat{\omega}$ got corrupted into $\kappa a \wr \theta\hat{\omega}$, and this into κa or $\pi a \ \imath \delta\omega$, which was further suggested by $\imath\acute{\omega}$, and that $\pi\rho\acute{o}\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma\nu$ was omitted after $\pi\acute{o}\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma\nu$ had got into the text. With regard to the interjection which I have introduced, it is scarcely necessary to repeat the remark, that the interjections in these $\kappa\sigma\mu\mu\alpha\imath$ regularly recur in the same metrical situations. For $\theta\acute{e}\omega$ in Sophocles, see note on v. 601 supra.
- 1306. $\lambda \acute{\epsilon} \chi \rho \iota a$.] This adjective, which is connected with $\lambda \acute{\epsilon} \chi os$, $\lambda o \not \xi \acute{os}$, $\lambda \iota \kappa \rho \iota \phi \acute{\iota s}$, $\lambda \iota \kappa \rho \acute{os}$, $\lambda \acute{\iota} \gamma \delta \eta \nu$, liquus, obliquus, liegen, legen, &c., is the opposite to $\acute{o}\rho \theta \acute{os}$, and refers to lying down, or assuming a bent position, as contrasted with that of a man who is standing: cf. \cancel{Ed} . Col. 196: $\lambda \acute{\epsilon} \chi \rho \iota \acute{os} \gamma \acute{\epsilon} \pi \acute{a} \kappa \rho o \nu \lambda \acute{a} o \nu \beta \rho a \chi \dot{\nu} s \acute{o} \kappa \lambda \acute{a} \sigma a s$. I have therefore ventured to make use of the strong metaphor in Hamlet, Act I. Sc. 5:

The time is out of joint;—O cursed spite! That ever I was born to set it right.

Cf. Eurip. Hec. 1026: αλίμενον τις ως ες αντλον πεσων λέχριος εκπέση φίλας καρδίας.

INDEX.

[The Roman numerals indicate the pages of the Introduction, the Arabic figures refer to the verses illustrated in the Notes.]

Α, α

Æschylus Supplices 877, emended, 24-29: Agam. 1591, emended, 1241: Suppl. 976, explained, xxix. Archæresia, at what time held? Antigone, when acted. xiii. Article, force of. 185, 899, et passim. ἄγαλμα. 1083. äγειν. 4-6. αγείρειν στρατόν. 110. άγραυλος. 354. άγρηνόν. ΧΧΧΥΙΙΙ. άγχιστος, άγχιστεία. 174, 939. αίματηρός. 943, 4. αΐσσω. 352. αὶών. 580. άκάματος, 600-2. άκτή, of Attica and Eubœa. 588. άλαλάξαι. 133. άλημα. 320. άντιχαρείσα. 149. απευθύνω, απορθόω. 627. αράσσω. 943. άρδην. 429. άρμονία. 1173. αστοί. 289.

αστυνόμος. 352. ἄτη and ἄλγος. 4, 617. ἄτην ἄγειν, εἰς ἄτην ἄγειν. 4—6. ἄτρακτος. 943, 4. 1004, 5. (p. 215.) αὐδάω, of parentage. 949. αὐλή. 354, 1173. αὔω = αἴρω. 612.

Β, β.

 $\beta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \eta$, of frost and snow. 355.

C, κ, χ.

Chorus, its functions in the Antigone. xxii. Costume of Antigone, xxxii, iii. (see frontispiece): of Chorus, xxxiii: of Kreon, xxxiii: of Sentinel, xxxiv: of Hæmon, xxxvi: of Teiresias, xxxix: of Eurydike, xL: of the Messenger, xL. καί, emphatic. 280. καλός and μανθάνειν. 711-714. καλχαίνω, 20. καναχή. 130. Καπανεύς. 135. κάρα, in periphrasis. 1. κάρα νεῦσαι. 269. κατέχω. 599.

κήρυξ. 160. κλύω, ακούω. 965. кийдовтеч. 1199. κοινός, of consanguinity. 1. κομ Δεύω. 324. κόσμος, τὰ κοσμυύμενα. 668. κουφόνους. 342. κράτη, "sceptre." xxxiii. κτήμα, κεκτήσθαι and έχειν. 1243-5. κτήματα, 772. κωτίλλω. 747. χ , γ , π , $\chi \rho$, $\gamma \rho$, $\pi \rho$, confused. 24. χαλκόδετος αὐλή. 920. γάριν. 370. γείρ, of violent actions. 943, 4. χορός, χώρος. xxix. $\gamma \rho \hat{\eta} = \theta \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon i. 862.$

D, δ.

Dirke. 105.
dismal-fatal. 985.
δαιμόνιος. 372.
δεινός, of threats, 96: of power, 332.
δεξιόσειρος. 140.
δικαίως. 292.
δίκη έχθρά. 9 4.
δραχμή. 235.
δύη. 931—3. ΧΧΧΥΙΙΙ.
δυσάνεμος. 586.
δύσαυλος. 354.
δυσχείρωμα. 124—6.

Ε, ε, η.

Editions of the Antigone. xLiii, sqq.

Ekkyklema. xLi, 1267.

εθνος of lower animals. 344.
εἰκάθειν, not εἰκαθεῖν. 1064.

eixw. c. gen. 709. είμι, present. 607. еїрека. 19. έκμανθάνω. 176. έκπέμπω. 19. έκτελείν, έκτίνειν άθλον. 833. έμπαίζω. 782. έμπίπτει "Ερως, 772. έξανγώ. 388. έξέδρα. 1173. έπάγομαι. 360. έπάλληλος. 57, 58. έπαρκέω 605. έπὶ χθονὸς ἄρχειν. 727. έπιγιγνώσκω. 931--3. έπίστασις. 225. ĕ₩os. 20. έρεθίζω. 936. (add Eurip. Bacch. 148.) έρέσσω, έλίσσω. 158, 231. Έρινύς. 597. **ἔρμαιον.** 395. έστιοῦγος, 1048-51. έγειν έρωτα. 777. ήλακάτη, ήλάκατα. 1004, 5. ήλεκτρον. 1004, 5. ήνεμόεις. 352.

F.

Foining, of spears. 146.

G, y.

Genitive of price or value, 1001—3: for epithet, 114. γ, π, χ, γρ, πρ, χρ, confused, 24. γάρ. 178. γενεά, and γένος. 580.

н

Herodotus and Sophocles. xvi, 885.

Ι, ι.

Ismenian hill. xxv, 117. ἴλλω. 340. ἵππειον γένος, " mules." 340.

L, λ.

λαβύρινθος, λαύρειον. 1173. λέσχη. 160. λέχριος, λοξός. 1306. λύειν βλέφαρα. 1267.

Μ, μ.

St. Matth. vi. 13, explained. 17.
Menœkeus, Megareus, and Autophonus. xxvii, note.
mum. 507.
μετα, and ξύν. 115, 116.

Ν, ν.

Naval metaphors. 162, 189. Nominative absolute. 260. véos, euphemistic. 242.

O, o, w.

ὅπλον, and ρόπαλον. 115, 116. ὀργή. 352. ὀρθός, ὀρθόω. 162. ὀρθός, εὐθύς. 666. ὀρμαίνω. 20. ὅροι, ὀρίζειν νόμους. 450. ὀχμάζω. 350. οὐ and μή. 687—689.

ώς av. 215.

P, π, φ, ψ.
Pericles. xv, 352.
Pindar, P. 1. 84, explained, 289:
P. 11. 72, explained, 711—714.
Pleonasm. 2, 3; 86, 87.

Prolepsis. 135, 772, 778, 856, 928, et passim. Protagonist, as messenger. xx. παγκρατής υπνος. 600. $\pi \acute{a} \lambda \alpha_i$, of a short interval. 279. πάμπολις. 607. παρά. c. gen. 937. παράπηγυ. ΧΙ. παραστάτης. 662. πάρεδρος, 782. πάροδος, χχνίϊί. sqq. παστάς. 1173. πικρός, "piercing." 1199. πορφύρω. 20. προθείναι, προθέσθαι ἐκκλησίαν. προστίθημι, of additional obsequies. 24-29. πτέρυξ. 1267. φαῦλος. χχχίν. φεύγω. 263. φίλος, προσφιλής, 873. φονάν, 117. φρόνημα, 176, 352. φώς, of a warrior. 107. ψαύω. 834. ψυχρός, of fear. 88.

R, ρ. ἐεῦμα, ἐεῖν, of a crowd. 129. ἐιπή. 137, 937.

S, o.

ρόπαλον. 115, 6.

Samian war, date of. xiv.
Sophocles, Œd. C. 1245, sqq.
explained, 937: Œd. T. 147
explained, xxx.
σέβω. 721, 899.
σπλάγχνον. 1034.
στάσιμον. xxix, xxx.

στείχειν. 10. στέργω. 289. στυφλός, στυφελίζω, 139. συγγιγνώσκω. 901. συγκεκραμένος, μεμιγμένος κακοῖς. 1277. σώζω, σωτηρία. 186.

Τ, τ, θ.

Thebais, how it described the battles. xxv, xxvi.

Theocrasia. 1113, 14.

Thucydides, son of Melesias. xv.

Thymele. xxx.

Tritagonist. xx.

τάλις. 620.
τείνω, of sound and light. 124,
593.
τὸ μέλλον, adverbial. 357.
τόλμη. 370.
τριπόλιστος = τριπόλητος. 835.
θέω, in Sophocles. 600, 1305.
θησαυρός. 29.

U, V, v.

Varnish, Veronica. 1004, 5. ve-cors, ve-stibulum. 1173. Vulture, "the national undertaker." 1048—51. ὑπέροπλος. 130. ὑπίλλω. 507.

THE END.

By the same Author.

T.

THE THEATRE OF THE GREEKS, with a new Introduction and other Alterations. Fifth Edition.

II.

THE NEW CRATYLUS; or, Contributions towards a more accurate Knowledge of the Greek Language. Second Edition, enlarged and improved. (*Preparing for publication*).

Ш.

ΠΙΝΔΑΡΟΥ ΤΑ ΣΩΖΟΜΕΝΑ. Pindar's Epinician or Triumphal Odes; together with the Fragments of his lost Compositions, revised and explained.

IV.

VARRONIANUS: a Critical and Historical Introduction to the Philological Study of the Latin Language.

V.


CONSTRUCTIONIS GRÆCÆ PRÆCEPTA.

Preparing for Publication.

VI.

A COMPLETE GREEK GRAMMAR.







Select List No. III.

Works published by John W. Parker,

West Strand, London.



ARUNDINES Cami, sive Musarum Cantabrigiensium Lusus Canori, collegit atque edidit Henricus Drury, M.A. The Third Edition, thoroughly Revised and Enlarged. 12s.

Becker's Gallus; or Roman Scenes of the Time of Augustus, with Notes and Excursus illustrative of Manners and Customs. Translated by the Rev. F. METCALFE, M.A., Fellow of Lincoln College, Oxford. Post Octavo, with Illustrations. 12s.

Becker's Charicles; or, Illustrations of the Private Life of the Ancient Greeks. Translated by Rev. F. Metcalfe. Post Octavo, with Illustrations. 12s.

C. Cornelii Taciti Opera ad Codices Antiquissimos exacta et emendata, Commentario Critico exacta et emendata. Edidit Franciscus RITTER, Professor Bonnensis.

A New Edition of the Works of Tacitus, in Four Volumes, Octavo. The first two, containing the whole of the Annals, are now ready. The other two will appear in the course of the year.

The Fables of Babrius, from the newly-discovered Manuscript; with the Fragments of the lost Fables. Edited, with Notes, by G. C. Lewis, Esq., M.P., M.A., late Student of Christ Church. Post Octavo, 5s. 6d.

Pindar's Epinician Odes, and the Fragments of his Lost Compositions, revised and explained; with copious Notes and Indices. By the Rev. J. W. Donaldson, B.D., Head Master of King Edward's School, Bury St. Edmund's. Octavo, 162.

The Agamemnon of Æschylus, the Greek Text, with a Translation into English Verse, and Notes, critical and explanatory. By John Conington, B.A., Fellow of University College, Oxford.

The Antigone of Sophocles, in Greek and English, with critical and explanatory Notes. By J. W. Donaldson, B.D. Octavo.

The Phoedrus, Lysis, and Protagoras of Plato, literally translated from the Greek. By a Graduate of the University of Cambridge. Octavo.

Theocritus. Codicum Manuscriptorum Ope Recensuit et Emen davit Сикіs. Wordsworth, S.T.P., nuper Scholæ Harroviensis Magister. Octavo, 13s. 6d

The Iphigenia in Aulis of Euripides. Cambridge Edition, with English Notes. Octavo, 6s.

The Iphigenia in Tauris of Euripides. Cambridge Edition, with English Notes. Octavo, 5s.

A Commentary on the Book of the Acts of the Apostles By the Rev. W. Gilson Humphry, M.A., Fellow of Trinity College, Cambridge Examining Chaplain to the Bishop of London. Octavo, 7s.

The Cambridge Greek and English Testament in Parallel Columns on the same Page. New Edition, with Marginal References. 8s. 6d.

The Greek Text of the Acts of the Apostles, with Notes Original and Selected. By H. Robinson, D.D., Rector of Great Warley. Octavo, 8s.

Select Private Orations of Demosthenes, with English Notes. By the Rev. C. T. Penrose, M.A., Head Master of Sherborne School. 58.

The Frogs of Aristophanes, with English Notes. By the Rev. H. P. COOKESLEY. 75.

Homer's Iliad: With Anthon's Notes and Homeric Glossary. Edited by J. R. Major, D.D., Head Master of King's College School. 6s.

Xenophon's Anabasis, I. and II. With English Notes, by Dr. Hickie, Head Master of Hawkeshead School. 3s. 6d.

A complete Greek Grammar for the use of Schools. By J. W. Donaldson, B.D., Head Master of King Edward's School, Bury St. Edmund's, Author of the New Cratylus, &c.

The Aulularia of Plautus, with Notes by J. HILDYARD, B.D., Fellow of Christ's College, Cambridge. 7s. 6d.

The Menæchmei of Plautus, with a Glossary and Notes. By J. HILDYARD, B.D. 7s. 6d.

The Æneid of Virgil, with Anthon's Notes. Edited by J. R. MAJOR, D.D., Head Master of King's College, London. 7s. 6d.

Select Epistles of Cicero and Pliny. With English Notes. By the Rev. J. Edwards. 4s.

Classical Texts. Carefully revised from the best Editions.

Cicero de Senectute. 1s.
Cicero de Amicitia. 1s.
Cicero pro Plancio. 1s.
Cicero pro Milone. 1s.
Cicero pro Muræna. 1s.
Cicero de Officiis. 2s.
Ciceronis Oratio Philippica Secunda. 1s.
Taciti Germania. 1s.
Taciti Agricola. 1s.
Excerpta ex Annalibus Taciti. Edited
by Professor Pillans.

Cæsar de Bello Gallico.
Terentii Andria. 1s.
Virgilii Georgica. 1s. 6d.
Ovidii Fasti. 2s.
Platonis Phædo. 2s.
Platonis Menexenus. 1s.
Euripidis Bacchæ. 1s.
Sophoclis Œdipus Tyrannus, with
Notes. 2s. 6d.
Sophoclis Philoctetes, with Notes. 2s. 6d.
Excerpta ex Arriano. 2s. 6d.

The Speeches of Demosthenes, against Aphobus and Onetor.

Translated with Notes explanatory of the Athenian Laws and Institutions.

Kennedy, M.A., Fellow of Trinity College, Cambridge. Post Octavo, 9s.

Bœckh's Public Economy of Athens. Translated by G. C. Lewis, A.M., late Student of Christ Church. Octavo, 18s.

The New Cratylus; Contributions towards a more Accurate Knowledge of the Greek Language. By J. W. Donaldson, B.D., Head Master of King Edward's School, Bury St. Edmund's. Octavo, 17s.

Stemmata Atheniensia; Tables of Biography, Chronology, and History, to facilitate the Study of the Greek Classics. 5s.

A Selection from the Greek Verses of Shrewsbury School; with an Account of the Iambic Metre and Style of Greek Tragedy, with Exercises in Greek Tragic Senarii. New Edition, in the Press.

Characteristics of the Greek Philosophers, Socrates and Plato. By the Rev. John Philips Potter, A.M., late of Oriel College, Oxford. 4s. 6d.

Dahlmann's Life of Herodotus drawn out from his Book. Translated by G. V. Cox, M.A., Esquire Bedell, Oxford. Post Octavo, 5s.

A Life of Aristotle, including a Critical Discussion of some Questions of Literary History connected with his Works. By the Rev. J. W. Blakes-Ley, M.A., late Fellow and Tutor of Trinity College, Cambridge. 8s. 6d.

Schleiermacher's Introductions to the Dialogues of Plato; translated by the Rev. W. Dobson, M.A., Fellow of Trinity College, Cambridge. 12s. 6d.

Travels in the Track of the Ten Thousand Greeks; a Geographical and Descriptive Account of the Expedition of Cyrus and of the Retreat of the Ten Thousand, as related by Xenophon. By W. F. Ainsworth, F.G.S., Surgeon to the late Euphrates Expedition. Post Octavo, 7s. 6d.

The Classical Museum; a Journal of Philology, and of Ancient History and Literature. Vol. I., 12s. 6d.; also Nos. I. to IV., 4s. each.

4 Select Works published by John W. Parker.

The Student's Manual of Ancient History; containing the Political History, Geographical Position, and Social State of the Principal Nations of Antiquity. By W. C. TAYLOR, LL.D. Fourth Edition, 10s. 6d.

The Student's Manual of Modern History; The Rise and Progress of the Principal European Nations, their Political History, and the Changes in their Social Condition. By W. C. Taylor, LL.D. The Fourth Edition. 10s. 6d.

A Lexicon of the Hebrew Language; Containing: A Hebrew and English Lexicon, arranged according to the permanent Letters in each Word; An English and Hebrew Lexicon; With a Hebrew Grammar, a Vocabulary for each Chapter, and a Grammatical Analysis of every Word in the Book of Genesis. Also a Chaldee Grammar, a Lexicon of the Chaldee Words in the Old Testament, and Grammatical Analysis. By the Rev. T. Jarrett, M.A., Professor of Arabic, Cambridge. Octavo.

Hebrew Grammar; designed for the use of Schools and Students in the Universities. By the late Christopher Leo, of Cambridge. Octavo. 12s. 6d.

The Guide of the Hebrew Student; Containing Easy Passages, in pure Biblical Hebrew, with Keys and Glossary, for English Learners. By II. Bernard, Hebrew Teacher in the University of Cambridge. 10s. 6d.

An Analysis of the Text of the History of Joseph, upon the Principles of Professor Lee's Hebrew Grammar. By Alfred Ollivant, D.D., Regius Professor of Divinity, Cambridge. Octavo, 6s.

The Psalms in Hebrew, with a Critical, Exegetical, and Philological Commentary, intended for the use of Students. By G. Phillips, B.D., Fellow and Tutor of Queens' College, Cambridge. Two Volumes, Octavo. 32s.

The Book of Solomon, called Ecclesiastes—the Hebrew Text and a Latin Version—with Notes, Philological and Exegetical, and a Literal Translation from the Rabbinic of the Commentary and Preface of R. Moses Mendlessohn. By the Rev. Theodore Preston, M.A., Fellow of Trinity College. Octavo, 15s.

A Practical Arabic Grammar. By DUNCAN STEWART, Octavo, 16s.

The Elements of Syriac Grammar. By G. PHILLIPS, B.D., Fellow and Tutor of Queens' College, Cambridge. Second Editions, with Additions. Octavo, 10s.

The Chaldee Text of Daniel V., and the Syriac of Saint Matthew, VI. Analyzed; for the use of Students. By the Rev. T. R. Brown, M.A. Octavo, 3s. 6d.

Parker's Catalogue of Books, in all branches of Education, including those published under the sanction of the Committee of Council on Education, and the Publications of the Committee on General Literature and Education appointed by the Society for Promoting Christian Knowledge, may be had on application.



		·	
		·	



